THREE YEARS AFTER CORETTA SCOTT KING named me editor of her late husband’s papers, Taylor Branch published the first volume of his magnificent *America in the King Years* trilogy and became a beacon for my own scholarly endeavors.1 Appearing soon after the initial celebration of the national holiday commemorating the birthday of Martin Luther King, Jr., *Parting the Waters* received almost unanimous acclaim and a Pulitzer Prize, confirming that King’s historical stature would rise rather than wane with time. Brilliantly highlighting King’s prophetic leadership while still giving due attention to the grassroots militancy that had inspired my early scholarship, Branch’s achievement discouraged thoughts that my ongoing documentation of King’s life would culminate in a comparable saga combining biography and bottom-up history. Branch surrounded his protagonist with dozens of deftly drawn characters, ranging from courageous local leaders to well-meaning but often complacent John F. Kennedy administration officials who would have been surprised to learn that they were living in “the King years.” I was especially pleased that he featured the brash “field secretaries” of the Student Nonviolent Coordinating Committee (SNCC), the innovative group that grabbed my attention as an undergraduate and later became the subject of my first book.2

Branch’s recognition that King was part of a social movement beyond his control was especially evident in the second and third volumes of his trilogy, as his focus shifted from King to other figures who voiced the racial discord and political rancor of the increasingly tumultuous period from 1964 to 1968. His tendentious decision to devote a major portion of *Pillar of Fire* to Malcolm X’s final years strengthened my sense that Malcolm and King warranted comparative study as unorthodox religious leaders competing with limited success to provide ideological guidance for escalating grassroots freedom struggles.3 Moreover, Branch emphasized King’s role as a civil rights leader, but not to the exclusion of his broader social gospel mission.

The author wishes to acknowledge the research assistance of Sarah Overton, Nanka de Vries, and Carl Saucier-Bouffard.


displayed in his continued activism once the Selma to Montgomery March spurred passage of the landmark Voting Rights Act of 1965. At Canaan’s Edge gave ample attention to the less widely known ventures of King’s final years—the Chicago campaign and the Mississippi March of 1966, his public condemnation in 1967 of Lyndon B. Johnson’s Vietnam policies, and the Poor People’s Campaign of 1968. With piercing precision, Branch depicts King as beleaguered by erstwhile allies and unrelenting enemies—the mercurial Lyndon Johnson (“treating King variously to a Texas bear hug of shared dreams or a towering, wounded snit”), the craftily paranoiac J. Edgar Hoover (“Hoover’s FBI was blackmailing [King] toward suicide with surveillance tapes of his private life”), the incendiary Stokely Carmichael (who “sheepishly denied reports that he had called King and Roy Wilkins ‘Uncle Toms’ in recent speeches”), as well as King’s incorrigibly contentious colleagues in the Southern Christian Leadership Conference (“[King] tolerated the clash of head-strong lieutenants as a necessary by-product of frontier hardship and conviction”).

Yet at the end of Branch’s 2,000-page narrative, I found myself wanting more—not overwrought theory and analysis, but more reflection on the single overriding question that lingers at the conclusion: Who was King, really, and how does the answer to that question help us to understand his historical significance? At the start of his project, Branch advised that he did not intend to produce a biography but instead wanted to write “a history grounded in race,” with King “at its heart” as “the best and most important metaphor for American history in the watershed postwar years.” But his detailed portrait of King transcends metaphor, and his engrossing narrative abounds with implicit biographical as well as historical insights. It is a measure of Branch’s interpretive skills that his initial volume anticipated subsequent scholarship that crossed disciplinary lines to illuminate King’s extraordinary intellectual and oratorical qualities and his relationship to the modern African American freedom struggle. America in the King Years is undoubtedly a revealing mosaic of biographical miniatures and apt anecdotes; however, it lacks the concerted exploration of identity development that distinguishes the best biographies and the sustained analysis of social and political transformation that informs the best histories. The sparse comments of Branch’s epilogue are frustratingly elusive, as when he observes that King’s “oratory mined twin doctrines of equal souls and equal votes in
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the common ground of nonviolence, and justice refined history until its fires dimmed for a time.”7 While he concedes that anti-government Reaganism eventually “became the dominant idea in American politics, as a cyclical adjustment in history shifted the emphasis of patriotic language from citizenship to command, shrinking the public space,” I would appreciate a more cogent explanation of how and why the King years gave way to the Ronald Reagan years.8

Although my SNCC background prepared me to appreciate Branch as a social historian, my experiences as editor of King’s papers heightened my awareness of Branch’s strengths and limitations as a biographer, even if a reticent one. His trilogy was the product of prodigious archival research of the kind that informs my edition of The Papers of Martin Luther King, Jr., but the anecdotes that enliven his narrative were drawn more from after-the-fact interviews (mostly his own) than from contemporaneous documents.9 Nonetheless, Branch understands that King’s fundamental identity derives from his roots in liberal Christian theology, and more deeply in the black Baptist church. “In the quiet recesses of my heart, I am fundamentally a clergyman, a Baptist preacher,” King once wrote. “This is my being and my heritage for I am also the son of a Baptist preacher, the grandson of a Baptist preacher and the great-grandson of a Baptist preacher.”10 The engrossing opening section of Parting the Waters immerses readers in the African American setting that shaped King’s religious worldview before the arrest of Rosa Parks suddenly made him a public figure. A brief history of Montgomery’s Dexter Avenue Baptist Church culminates in the tumultuous social gospel ministry of Vernon Johns, whose abrupt resignation prompted Dexter’s deacons to choose King as a less confrontational alternative. Branch’s sketch of King’s family background and religious education sets the stage for his sudden rise to prominence as the resourceful, well-connected, and superbly articulate leader of a 381-day bus boycott. Branch’s depiction of King’s formative years stands up well and is generally consistent with the portrait of King that emerges in the subsequently published volumes of The Papers, which include hundreds of King’s student papers and other early writings that became available to researchers after Branch’s first volume had been published.11 King’s student papers must be used critically in any biographical treatment, especially given his tendency to appropriate
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the words of others. That being said, some of his papers are remarkably revealing—
candidly tracing the development of the religious ideas that guided his public min-
istry. Like other scholars, Branch drew insights from “Autobiography of Religious
Development,” the handwritten paper that King prepared as a twenty-one-year-old
second-year student at Crozer Theological Seminary in Pennsylvania. This fourteen-
page sketch previewed the basic themes of King’s public life, most notably his “anti-
capitalist feelings,” spurred by the sight of “numerous people standing in bread
lines”; his basic optimism about “human nature”; his rejection of religious funda-
mentalism as “contrary to the very nature of my being”; and his view of his own
religious faith as the “largely unconscious” “gradual intaking of the noble ideals” of
his family, rather than the product of a “crisis moment” of divine revelation.12 King’s
centrality in the southern mass protests of the 1950s and 1960s has often been over-
stated, but Branch demonstrates that King performed a crucial, inspirational role by
linking the limited goals of black grassroots activists to transcendent, widely shared
religious values.

Yet, while Branch provides a revealing portrait of King’s formative religious ex-
periences and of his complex relationship with his domineering yet supportive father,
the recent sixth volume of The Papers provides researchers with important additional
documentation of King’s religious development. These materials—some of which
were stored for decades after King’s death in the basement of his Atlanta home—add
to the portrait that Branch and other scholars have painted of King as a proponent
of the social gospel whose commitment to economic justice was informed but not
diminished by his theological search for an understanding of the power, ubiquity, and
resilience of evil.13 King has often been described as becoming increasingly radical
during his final years; however, his extant writings from the pre-Montgomery period
indicate that the Poor People’s Campaign marked a return to the social gospel con-
victions of his early ministry. One of his earliest seminary papers, written in 1948,
when he was nineteen—seven years before the start of the Montgomery boycott—
demonstrates that he was already committed to a ministry based on knowing “the
problems of the people that I am pastoring.” He confidently defined his pastoral
mission in a way that foreshadowed the 1968 Poor People’s Campaign: “I must be
concerned about unemployment, slumms [sic], and economic insecurity. I am a pro-
found advocator of the social gospel.”14 King was undoubtedly concerned about civil
rights reform, but his overriding interest in economic justice was already evident
before the era of mass civil rights activism.15 Branch draws attention to King’s close
relationship with Crozer professor George W. Davis, the steel union activist’s
go...
who was “the embodiment of Rauschenbush’s Social Gospel” and taught almost a third of King’s seminary courses.\textsuperscript{16} He also offers a brief but perceptive account of King’s ill-fated interracial romance—“King came hard to the judgment that the price of a mixed marriage was higher than he was willing to pay.”\textsuperscript{17} But he does not mention that King, along with a black Crozer classmate and their dates, had a potentially disastrous late-night encounter with an armed New Jersey tavern owner who refused to serve alcoholic beverages to the group.\textsuperscript{18} King’s newly available papers strengthen Branch’s portrayal of him as a skeptical preacher’s son who adjusted well to Crozer’s “world of religious, moral, and historical ideas he knew he loved in a way he could not yet define, with no prior obligation to buy any of it.”\textsuperscript{19} From the earliest stages of his seminary studies, King reconciled his strong religious faith with his critical assessment of biblical texts. While accepting the likelihood “that the whale did not swallow Jonah, that Jesus was not born [of] a virgin, or that Jesus never met John the Baptist,” he still sought scriptural guidance: “What moral implications do we find growing out of the Bible? What relevance does Jesus have in 1948 A.D.?”\textsuperscript{20}

It is possible that Branch and King himself placed too much emphasis on the academic aspects of King’s religious development, while understating his indebtedness to African American religious influences, but it is certainly the case that theological ideas indelibly shaped his intellectual worldview. \textit{Parting the Waters} draws attention to the crucial importance of theologian Reinhold Niebuhr in moving King from an uncritical acceptance of social gospel liberalism toward Niebuhr’s view of sin as a fundamental aspect of human nature. As he finished his seminary studies, King described himself as “leaning toward a mild neo-orthodox view of man” due to his experiences “with a vicious race problem.”\textsuperscript{21} Branch’s assessment of the impact of Niebuhr is both forthright—arguing that King changed “his fundamental outlook on religion”—and elusive: “Although the Niebuhr influence went to the heart of the public and private King and affected him more deeply than did any modern figure, including Gandhi, the connection between King and Niebuhr would be obscured by complicated twists of time, race, and popular imagery.”\textsuperscript{22} My own study of King’s Crozer papers suggests that while they are unquestionably a crucial source for biographical study, they are unlikely to offer definitive answers regarding the religious convictions that he brought into his public ministry. It may be the case that the most distinctive aspects of King’s intellect were his eclecticism and his lack of a coherent theology. Modern notions of historical exegesis did not completely assuage his teenage religious doubts, but he graduated from Crozer with intellectual tools that made possible his successful career as a politically engaged preacher. While distinguishing himself as a seminary student, King still questioned Baptist tenets and acknowledged that he had never experienced a crisis moment of surrender to faith. His decision to undertake studies in personalist theology with Boston University’s Edgar S.

\textsuperscript{16} Branch, \textit{Parting the Waters}, 74.
\textsuperscript{17} Ibid., 73–90.
\textsuperscript{19} Branch, \textit{Parting the Waters}, 73.
\textsuperscript{21} King, “How Modern Christians Should Think of Man” [29 November 1949–15 February 1950], ibid., 1: 274.
\textsuperscript{22} Branch, \textit{Parting the Waters}, 81.
Brightman grew out of his effort to reconcile his religious convictions with his doctrinal doubts:

How I long now for that religious experience which Dr. Brightman so cogently speaks of throughout his book. It seems to be an experience, the lack of which life becomes dull and meaningless. As I reflect on the matter, however, I do remember moments that I have been awe awakened; there have been times that I have been carried out of myself by something greater than myself and to that something I gave myself. Has this great something been God? Maybe after all I have been religious for a number of years, and am now only becoming aware of it.

King’s doctoral studies at Boston University were notable less for his theological writings than for his increasing adeptness in appropriating theological ideas to provide intellectual gloss for his sermons. Indeed, his eagerness to mine theological texts for rhetorical nuggets limited his prospects as an academic theologian and may provide a partial explanation for his tendency to plagiarize the ideas of more creative theologians. The most revealing expression of his broad vision of social justice can be found in his personal correspondence and early sermons. Because Branch was apparently unaware of King’s personal correspondence with his future wife, Coretta Scott, and ignored her involvement in peace and Progressive Party activities, his account of their relationship understates its political aspects. Eager to find a spouse who shared his political views, King was willing to overlook Baptist doctrine to marry a non-Baptist. “You would not have to be immersed,” he reassured his future wife. “There is no saving efficacy in water.” An early love letter he wrote to Scott that was published in the most recent volume of The Papers sheds light on his early attachment to radical political values. Rejecting Cold War anti-communism, the two students confided their leftist leanings to one another. “I imagine you already know that I am much more socialistic in my economic theory than capitalistic,” King announced in a July 1952 letter prompted by Scott’s gift to him of Edward Bellamy’s socialist fantasy Looking Backward, 2000–1887 (originally published in 1888). Asserting confidently that capitalism had “outlived its usefulness,” having “brought about a system that takes necessities from the masses to give luxuries to the classes,” he added that change “would be evolutionary rather than revolutionary. This, it seems to me, is the most sane and ethical way for social change to take place.” Although the public expression of such thoughts would have severely damaged his subsequent career as a civil rights leader, King felt secure in expressing his dissent from Cold War orthodoxy, informing his future wife that both capitalism and communism were inconsistent with true Christian values. He faulted Bellamy for failing “to see that man is a sinner, and . . . he will still be a sinner until he submits his life
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to the Grace of God. Ultimately our problem is [a] theological one.” Cautioning Scott against excessive optimism about the triumph of socialism, King observed, “It is probably true that capitalism is on its death bed, but social systems have a way of developing a long and powerful death bed breathing capacity. Remember it took feudalism more than 500 years to pass out from its death bed. Capitalism will be in America quite a few more years my dear.”

King was not quite so candid in his sermons as in his letters to Scott, but the sermons he delivered while assisting his father at Ebenezer during the summer of 1953 (soon after his marriage in June) addressed racial segregation and discrimination in the context of a wide-ranging critique of modernity and of the global struggle for peace with social justice. Several of these sermons criticized “false Gods”—science, nationalism, and materialism. Sharply criticizing American chauvinism and anticommunism, King offered blunt advice: “One cannot worship this false god of nationalism and the God of Christianity at the same time.” In another sermon he prepared that summer, he insisted that international peace was the “cry that is ringing in the ears of the peoples of the world,” but such peace could be achieved only when Christians “place righteousness first. So long as we place our selfish economic gains first we will never have peace. So long as the nations of the world are contesting to see which can be the most [imperialistic] we will [never] have peace. Indeed the deep rumbling of discontent in our world today on the part of the masses is [actually] a revolt against imperialism, economic exploitation, and colonialism that has been perpetuated by western civilization for all these many years.”

King’s expansive Christian worldview was perhaps most evident in the sermon “Communism’s Challenge to Christianity,” which he delivered in August 1953, and again in various forms later in his life. While rejecting communism as secularistic and materialistic, King nonetheless insisted that it was “Christianity’s most formidable competitor and only serious rival.” Marxian thought, he argued, should challenge Christians to express their own “passionate concern for social justice. The Christian ought always to begin with a bias in favor of a movement which protests against the unfair treatment of the poor, for surely Christianity is itself such a protest.” Asking whether Karl Marx could rightly be blamed for calling religion an opiate of the masses, he averred, “When religion becomes [so] involved in a future good ‘over yonder’ that it forgets the present evils ‘over here’ it is a dry as dust religion and needs to be condemned.”

Less than a year after King delivered his sermon on communism, he accepted the call to become the pastor at Dexter and began pushing gently yet consistently against the complacency of a congregation that had resisted the activism of his predecessor. He used his acceptance address as an occasion to assert his spiritual authority and to suggest the immensity of the task ahead. He cited the same social gospel credo (Luke 4:18–19) that his father had used in 1940 to describe the “true mission of the church”: “The spirit of the Lord is upon me, because he hath anointed me to preach the Gospel to the poor; he hath sent me to heal the broken-hearted, to preach de-

26 King Papers, 6: 125.
30 Vernon Johns, King’s sometimes abrasive predecessor at Dexter, actually focused his ministry more than did King on the economic issues that were central to social gospel Christianity.
liverance to the captives, and the recovering of sight to the blind, to set at liberty them that are bruised.”31 Only twenty-five, he challenged his congregation—for the most part his seniors—to expand their vision:

31 King Papers, 1: 33–34.
It is a significant fact that I come to the pastorate of Dexter at the most crucial hour of our world's history; At a time when the flame of war might arise at any time to redden the skies of our dark and dreary world; at a time when men know all [too] well that without the proper guidance the whole of civilization can be plunged across the abyss of destruction . . . Dexter, like all other churches, must somehow lead men and women of a decadent generation to the high mountain of peace and salvation.  

At this early stage, King’s religious convictions and social values had become the unifying threads of his life. His familial relationships, deep roots in the black Baptist church, theological studies, and early experiences as a preacher help to explain how a young, oratorically gifted minister quickly became an internationally known civil rights leader. King’s training and experiences as a Baptist minister informed his understanding of the far-reaching historical significance of the civil rights militancy sparked by the Supreme Court’s 1954 decision in *Brown v. Board of Education*. Viewed from this biographical perspective, his speeches and writings reveal a thread of intellectual consistency linking his formative years to his subsequent public life. If King had been willing and able to write a revealing and comprehensive autobiography, his inner thoughts might have served as a counterbalance to the FBI surveillance tapes that Branch and other historians have used to penetrate his pacific public persona as he confronted urban racial violence and antiwar protests. Increasingly depicted from the perspective of his associates, political rivals, and FBI spies, Branch’s King rarely finds moments for reflection during his last years. Instead, Branch’s difficult-to-verify anecdotes suggest King’s inner turmoil, as in his description of King’s response to quarreling among his SCLC colleagues about plans for a Poor People’s Campaign:

“Late one night, King literally howled against the paralyzed debate. “I don’t want to do this any more!” he shouted alone. “I want to go back to my little church!” He banged around and yelled, which summoned anxious friends outside his room until [Andrew] Young and [Ralph] Abernathy gently removed his whiskey and talked him to bed.”

While such recollections are titillating, Branch is on stronger ground when he recounts those occasions when King openly voiced the inner turmoil of his final year. King’s sermons, especially those at Atlanta’s Ebenezer Baptist Church, and his off-the-record talks to SCLC staff members provided opportunities for him to ponder the overall meaning of his life as a Christian minister who had been called unexpectedly to lead a historic freedom struggle. Branch mentions, for example, King’s explicit call for “democratic socialism” and his reference to the “inseparable triplets” of racial injustice, poverty, and war” at a November 1967 SCLC gathering at the
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Penn Center in South Carolina. Also revealing was King’s subsequent reference at an SCLC gathering in February 1968 to his enthusiasm for the social gospel during his early ministry: “I read Das Kapital and The Communist Manifesto years ago when I was a student in college.” He again cited the same biblical passage his father had used almost four decades earlier. “I didn’t get my inspiration from Karl Marx. I got it from a man named Jesus, a Galilean saint who said he was anointed to heal the broken-hearted. He was anointed to deal with the problems of the poor.” One of the more intriguing and stirring of King’s sermons was “Unfulfilled Dreams,” delivered two weeks after the SCLC talk. Speaking at Ebenezer Baptist Church in Atlanta before church members who had known him since childhood, King linked the eternal, universal struggle between good and evil to the internal “civil war” that was “at the heart of human nature” and that served as a barrier “whenever we set out to dream our dreams and to build our temples” of peace. “I don’t know about you, but I can make a testimony,” he continued. “You don’t need to go out saying that Martin Luther King is a saint. Oh, no. I want you to know this morning that I’m a sinner like all of God’s children. But I want to be a good man. And I want to hear a voice saying to me one day, ‘I take you in and I bless you, because you tried. It is well that it was within thine heart.’”

Branch completes his epic word portrait with King’s stunning oration at Mason Temple in Memphis on the eve of his assassination. Displaying his singular awareness of the historical and global context of the modern African American freedom struggle, King inspired thousands of striking sanitation workers by assuring them that of all the great periods in history, he would choose to live during the time of their travail, even though the world was “messed up” and the nation was “sick”: “Strangely enough, I would turn to the Almighty and say, ‘If you allow me to live just a few years in the second half of the twentieth century, I will be happy.’” He reaffirmed the prophetic global vision that had always guided his ministry:

The masses of people are rising up. And wherever they are assembled today, whether they are in Johannesburg, South Africa; Nairobi, Kenya; Accra, Ghana; New York City; Atlanta, Georgia; Jackson, Mississippi; or Memphis, Tennessee, the cry is always the same: “We want to be free.” And another reason that I’m happy to live in this period is that we have been forced to a point where we are going to have to grapple with the problems that men have been trying to grapple with through history . . . It is no longer a choice between violence and nonviolence in this world; it’s nonviolence or nonexistence . . . And also in the human rights revolution, if something isn’t done and done in a hurry, to bring the colored peoples of the world out of their long years of poverty, their long years of hurt and neglect, the whole world is doomed. Now I’m just happy that God has allowed me to live in this period, to see what is unfolding.
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During the two decades since the publication of *Parting the Waters*, the ever-expanding library of King scholarship has grown in size and analytical sophistication. The King Papers Project—now part of the King Research and Education Institute at Stanford University—continues to expand the universe of King-related documents available to researchers. It is a measure of Branch’s monumental achievement that he has produced what is still the most comprehensive account of King’s life within the larger context of the African American freedom struggle. Without intending to write a definitive biography, Branch has written a history of the King years that will encourage future biographers to seek a still deeper understanding of King’s evolving thoughts during his tumultuous times.
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