Study of Timing and Efficiency Properties of the Hamamatsu H-8500 Photomultiplier

Thomas Hadig J. Schwiening, C. Field, G. Mazaheri, M. Jain, D. G. W. S. Leith, B. Ratcliff, and J. Va'vra Stanford Linear Accelerator Center IEEE NSS/MIC 2002 Conference November 12th, 2002

- Motivation
- Setup
- Timing Resolution
- Relative Efficiency Variations
- Conclusions and Outlook



Thomas Hadig, SLAC, IEEE NSS/MIC 2002, Nov 12th, 2002

Using PMTs in Cherenkov detector: DIRC particle identification subsystem in BaBar detector



Using PMTs in Cherenkov detector:

DIRC particle identification subsystem in BaBar detector ≈ 11000 EMI 9125FLB17 PMTs,

1.7 ns timing resolution, 30 mm diameter Measuring PMT position and photon arrival time Timing mainly used for signal vs. background separation



Using PMTs in Cherenkov detector:

DIRC particle identification subsystem in BaBar detector $\approx 11000~{\rm EMI}$ 9125FLB17 PMTs,

1.7 ns timing resolution, 30 mm diameter Measuring PMT position and photon arrival time Timing mainly used for signal vs. background separation



Event display without(top) and with(bottom) time cut

Using PMTs in Cherenkov detector:

DIRC particle identification subsystem in BaBar detector $\approx 11000~{\rm EMI}$ 9125FLB17 PMTs,

1.7 ns timing resolution, 30 mm diameter Measuring PMT position and photon arrival time Timing mainly used for signal vs. background separation For better performance:

- Better timing resolution
 - \rightarrow better background suppression
- Smaller PMT size \rightarrow smaller expansion region \rightarrow better geometric resolution
- Much better timing resolution ($\approx 100 \text{ ps}$)
 - \rightarrow correcting for chromatic uncertainty.

Looking for:

small, high efficiency PMT with very good timing resolution



Event display without(top) and with(bottom) time cut

Hamamatsu H-8500: Multi-anode PMT Geometry: 8×8 pads

size: $52 \text{ mm} \times 52 \text{ mm}$ effective area: $49 \text{ mm} \times 49 \text{ mm}$

Spectral response:

 $300\;\mathsf{nm}\dots650\;\mathsf{nm}$

Gain:

 10^{6}

Cross-talk:

3%

Transit time spread:

300 ps (all data taken from prelim. data sheet, April 2001)



setup with amplifier boards added

Light source

Pilas pico-second laser $\lambda = 635 \text{ nm}$ $\sigma_{\text{pulse}} < 35 \text{ ps}$ Operated in single photon mode

PMT

Hamamatsu H-8500 early pre-production



Light source

Pilas pico-second laser $\lambda = 635 \text{ nm}$ $\sigma_{\text{pulse}} < 35 \text{ ps}$ Operated in single photon mode PMT

Hamamatsu H-8500 early pre-production

Amplifier

Elantec EL2075C, $40 \times, 2$ GHz bandwidth



Light source

Pilas pico-second laser $\lambda = 635 \text{ nm}$ $\sigma_{\text{pulse}} < 35 \text{ ps}$ Operated in single photon mode Hamamatsu H-8500 early pre-production

Amplifier

PMT

Elantec EL2075C, 40×2 , 2 GHz bandwidth

Readout

Double threshold discrimination



Light source

Pilas pico-second laser $\lambda = 635 \text{ nm}$ $\sigma_{\text{pulse}} < 35 \text{ ps}$

Operated in single photon mode

PMT

Hamamatsu H-8500 early pre-production

Amplifier

Elantec EL2075C, 40×2 GHz bandwidth

Readout

Double threshold discrimination LeCroy 2228A, 22 ps per count TDC CAMAC based readout



Time Distribution

Electronics timing resolution:

- 22 ps TDC
- 35 ps FWHM Laser Thresholds:
- $\approx 14.8 \text{ mV}, 20.8 \text{ mV}$

Notes:

- High amplitude signals only
- Double threshold allows for time walk correction
- No correction for laser pulse width



Time Distribution

Electronics timing resolution:

- 22 ps TDC
- 35 ps FWHM Laser Thresholds:
- $\approx 14.8 \text{ mV}, 20.8 \text{ mV}$

Notes:

- High amplitude signals only
- Double threshold allows for time walk correction
- No correction for laser pulse width

Conclusions:

Double gaussian plus 2nd order polynominal Resolution $\approx 130~{\rm ps}$



Light source

Pilas pico-second laser $\lambda = 635 \text{ nm}$ $\sigma_{\text{pulse}} < 35 \text{ ps}$ Operated in single photon mode Motion Controller:

Repeatability $< 7 \ \mu$ m



Light source

Pilas pico-second laser $\lambda = 635 \text{ nm}$ $\sigma_{\text{pulse}} < 35 \text{ ps}$ Operated in single photon mode

Motion Controller:

Repeatability $< 7 \ \mu$ m

PMT

Hamamatsu H-8500 early pre-production

Laser Intensity Monitoring

Two standard PMTs used for calibration (Photonis XP2262B, EMI 9125FLB17)



Light source

Pilas pico-second laser $\lambda = 635 \text{ nm}$ $\sigma_{\text{pulse}} < 35 \text{ ps}$ Operated in single photon mode

Motion Controller:

Repeatability $< 7 \ \mu$ m

PMT

Hamamatsu H-8500 early pre-production

Laser Intensity Monitoring

Two standard PMTs used for calibration (Photonis XP2262B, EMI 9125FLB17)

Amplifier

Elantec, EL2075C, 40×2 , GHz bandwidth



Light source

Pilas pico-second laser $\lambda = 635 \text{ nm}$ $\sigma_{\text{pulse}} < 35 \text{ ps}$ Operated in single photon mode

Motion Controller:

Repeatability $< 7 \ \mu$ m

PMT

Hamamatsu H-8500 early pre-production

Laser Intensity Monitoring

Two standard PMTs used for calibration (Photonis XP2262B, EMI 9125FLB17)

Amplifier

Elantec, EL2075C, $40 \times, 2$ GHz bandwidth

Readout

CAMAC based readout Single threshold discrimination



Light source

Pilas pico-second laser $\lambda = 635 \text{ nm}$ $\sigma_{\text{pulse}} < 35 \text{ ps}$ Operated in single photon mode

Motion Controller:

Repeatability $< 7 \ \mu$ m

PMT

Hamamatsu H-8500 early pre-production

Laser Intensity Monitoring

Two standard PMTs used for calibration (Photonis XP2262B, EMI 9125FLB17)

Amplifier

Elantec, EL2075C, 40×2 , GHz bandwidth

Readout

CAMAC based readout Single threshold discrimination 500 ps per count TDC (LeCroy 2277) connected to Linux PC



Overview of Scans

Goal: To determine

- rel. efficiency variations of pads
- rel. efficiency variations within a pad
- visible structures of PMT

Scans:

- Across the PMT along a line
- Across the whole PMT
- Across a single pad

Note:

- "Rel. efficiency" is a convolution of:
- Cathode efficiency
- Anode efficiency
- Spectral efficiency



Scan of one Line across the PMT



Scan step size:

• 100 µm

Conclusions:

- Steep pad edges
- 2 main peaks per pad, addl. microstructure
- cross talk < 1% (addl. 3% electr. x-talk)



Scan of one Line across the PMT



Scan step size:

• 100 µm

Conclusions:

- Steep pad edges
- 2 main peaks per pad, addl. microstructure
- cross talk < 1% (addl. 3% electr. x-talk)
- Factor 2 to 4 difference in pad efficiency
- At pad boundary: charge sharing Thomas Hadig, SLAC, IEEE NSS/MIC 2002, Nov 12th, 2002



Scan of full PMT



Scan step size:

- 1.0 mm vertical
- 100 µm horizontal **Conclusions:**
- Strong variations of rel. efficiency (factor 2-4)



Scan of full PMT



Scan step size:

- 1.0 mm vertical
- 100 μ m horizontal **Conclusions:**
- Strong variations of rel. efficiency (factor 2-4)
- Obvious pad boundaries
- Pad structure visible



Detailed Scan of one Pad



Scan step size:

- $100 \ \mu m$ vertical
- 100 µm horizontal **Conclusions:**
- 4 high efficient regions
- Factor 2 variation within pad



Conclusions

- First look at new Hamamatsu H-8500 64-pad flat panel PMT.
- Early pre-production PMT shows good timing resolution (≈ 130 ps).
- Early pre-production PMT shows factor 2-4 rel. efficiency variations.
- Expectations for production: More uniform efficiency.

Outlook

- Repeat measurements with production-type PMT (Two newer PMTs are available at SLAC)
- Try different time correcting methods (ADC/TDC combination, constant fraction discriminator, ...)

Links

Time Setup Time Distribution Motion Setup Line Scan PMT Scan Pad Scan Single Threshold Time Time Distribution

Time Distribution

Electronics timing resolution:

• 25 ps TDC Threshold:

• $\approx 30 \text{ mV}$

Notes:

- More low amplitude signals
- No time talk correction
- No correction for laser pulse width

Conclusions:

• Resolution (uncorrected) Double Gaussian fit $\approx 300 \text{ ps}(700 \text{ ps})$



Time Distribution, **2D**



Threshold:

• $\approx 15 \text{ mV}$

Notes: