Investigations of the Charge Limit Phenomenon in GaAs Photocathodes

Takashi Maruyama (SLAC)

Collaborators:

- J. Clendenin (SLAC)
- E. Garwin
- R. Kirby
- G. Mulhollan
- C. Prescott
- A. Subashiev (on leave from St. Petersburg Technical University)
- R. Prepost (Wisconsin)

Outline:

Introduction

- Charge limit vs. doping concentration
- Polarization with high surface doping
- Possible solutions Summary

Surface Charge Limit

Charge output is not proportional to light intensity



- Photon absorption excites electrons to conduction band
- Electrons can be trapped near the surface
- Electrostatic potential from trapped electrons raises affinity
- Increased affinity decreases emission probability
- Affinity recovers after electron recombination
- Increasing photon flux counterproductive at extremes

M. Woods, et al J. Appl. Phys. 9, 2295 (1994)
K. Togawa, et al Nucl. Instr. and Methods A365, 1 (1995)
A.S. Jaroshevich, et al, 7th Int. Workshop on Polarized Gas Targets and PolarizedBeams, Urbana, USA (1997)

A. Herrera-Gomez, et al J. Appl. Phys. 79, 7318 (1996)

ong Pulse Signal

-2.0

-2.5

0

2



time (s)

6

4

 10×10^{-9}

Charge Limit vs. Doping Concentration

Sample: thin unstrained GaAs



Doping concentration:

 $5 \cdot 10^{18}$, $1 \cdot 10^{19}$, $2 \cdot 10^{19}$, $5 \cdot 10^{19}$ cm⁻³

Laser:

Flash-lamp pumped Ti:sapphire Pulse length: 100 ~ 350 nsec

YAG pumped Ti:sapphire

Pulse length: 2 nsec

Two pulses with variable time separation -- Pump-probe technique

 $\lambda = 850 \text{ nm}$

Gun Test Laboratory



Faraday Cup Signal



FARC intensity (arb. units)

Subashiev's Charge Limit Model based on Surface Photovoltage

Bias effect on Yield "Shottky effect" $\begin{array}{c}
D \\
\hline
V \\
\hline
V \\
\hline
V \\
\hline
F \\
\hline
E_F
\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c}
dY \\
T \\
\hline
C \\
F \\
\hline
C \\
C \\
\hline
C \\$

Photovoltage effect on Yield



Restoring Current

 $j(U) = j_0 [exp(U / E_0) - 1]$

Bias Effect on Quantum Yield



The time variation of the photovoltage:

$$C\frac{dU}{q^2dt} = \alpha d(1-R)J - j(U)$$

C: surface capacitanceα: absorption coefficient*d*: cathode thickness*R*: surface reflectivity

$$\frac{Y}{Y_0} = 1 + \frac{E_0}{\tilde{\Delta}} \ln \left[\frac{1 + J / \tilde{j}_0 \exp[-(1 + J / \tilde{j}_0) \frac{t}{\tau}]}{(1 + J / \tilde{j}_0)} \right]$$

Photovoltage Build-up Time

$$T = \frac{\tau}{(1 + J / \tilde{j}_0)}$$

Photovoltage relaxation time

$$\tau = \frac{E_0 C}{q^2 j_0}$$

Saturation Yield

$$\frac{Y}{Y_0} = 1 - \frac{E_0}{\tilde{\Delta}} \ln(1 + J / \tilde{j}_0)$$

Saturation Current $J[1 - \frac{E}{D}ln(1 + \frac{J}{J})]$





Charge Restoration



Polarization with High Surface Doping



High doped layer thickness is reduced by anodization.

Polarization is measured as a function of the high doped layer thickness.

Cathode Test System with a load-lock



Anodization rate



13.1 Å / V

SIMS Analysis





Polarization and QE Measurements



Polarization vs High Doped Layer Thickness



~80 % polarization with 50 ~ 100 ${\rm \AA}$ of high surface doping.

Possible Solutions for Charge Limit

• Strained GaAs with high surface doping



Strained GaAsP with high surface doped GaAs cap





Charge Bleedoff Grid

- Tungsten choosen for robustness and for low reactivity
- Lithographic techniques used for deposition
- Line width = $10 \ \mu m$ with $23 \ \mu m$ spacing
- Line height = 30 nm



Current density enhancement using W grid QED 100 nm unstrained GaAs $1x10^{18}$ /cm³ Be doping



laser intensity (arb. units)

Depositting Finer Grid on GaAs



Summary

• Systematic study of the charge limit effect has been completed.

• Experimental results are in good agreement with Subashiev's model based on the surface photovoltage effect.

• The surface photovoltage effect diminishes at the doping concentration of 2 x 10^{19} cm⁻³.

• Possible solutions to the charge limit problem are described.