Note: Analytical Form of Hadronic Form Factor for Arbitrary Twist

• Form factor for a string mode with scaling dimension τ , Φ_{τ} in the SW model

$$F(Q^2) = \Gamma(\tau) \frac{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{Q^2}{4\kappa^2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\tau + \frac{Q^2}{4\kappa^2}\right)}.$$

- For $\tau = N$, $\Gamma(N+z) = (N-1+z)(N-2+z)\dots(1+z)\Gamma(1+z)$.
- Form factor expressed as N-1 product of poles

$$F(Q^{2}) = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{Q^{2}}{4\kappa^{2}}}, \quad N = 2,$$

$$F(Q^{2}) = \frac{2}{\left(1 + \frac{Q^{2}}{4\kappa^{2}}\right)\left(2 + \frac{Q^{2}}{4\kappa^{2}}\right)}, \quad N = 3,$$

...

$$F(Q^{2}) = \frac{(N-1)!}{\left(1 + \frac{Q^{2}}{4\kappa^{2}}\right)\left(2 + \frac{Q^{2}}{4\kappa^{2}}\right)\cdots\left(N - 1 + \frac{Q^{2}}{4\kappa^{2}}\right)}, \quad N.$$

• For large Q^2 :

$$F(Q^2) \rightarrow (N-1)! \left[\frac{4\kappa^2}{Q^2}\right]^{(N-1)}$$

61

Chile LHC January 8, 2010

LF Holography and QCD

Spacelike and timelike pion form factor

Preliminary



Light-Front Representation of Two-Body Meson Form Factor

• Drell-Yan-West form factor

$$\vec{q}_{\perp}^2 = Q^2 = -q^2$$

$$F(q^2) = \sum_{q} e_q \int_0^1 dx \int \frac{d^2 \vec{k}_\perp}{16\pi^3} \,\psi_{P'}^*(x, \vec{k}_\perp - x\vec{q}_\perp) \,\psi_P(x, \vec{k}_\perp).$$

• Fourrier transform to impact parameter space $\dot{b_{\perp}}$

$$\psi(x,\vec{k}_{\perp}) = \sqrt{4\pi} \int d^2 \vec{b}_{\perp} \ e^{i\vec{b}_{\perp}\cdot\vec{k}_{\perp}} \widetilde{\psi}(x,\vec{b}_{\perp})$$

• Find ($b=|ec{b}_{\perp}|$) :

$$F(q^2) = \int_0^1 dx \int d^2 \vec{b}_\perp e^{ix\vec{b}_\perp \cdot \vec{q}_\perp} |\tilde{\psi}(x,b)|^2 \qquad \text{Soper}$$
$$= 2\pi \int_0^1 dx \int_0^\infty b \, db \, J_0 \left(bqx\right) \, \left|\tilde{\psi}(x,b)\right|^2,$$

Chile LHC January 8, 2010

LF Holography and QCD

63



Holographic Mapping of AdS Modes to QCD LFWFs

• Integrate Soper formula over angles:

$$F(q^2) = 2\pi \int_0^1 dx \, \frac{(1-x)}{x} \int \zeta d\zeta J_0\left(\zeta q \sqrt{\frac{1-x}{x}}\right) \tilde{\rho}(x,\zeta),$$

with $\widetilde{\rho}(x,\zeta)$ QCD effective transverse charge density.

• Transversality variable

$$\zeta = \sqrt{x(1-x)\vec{b}_{\perp}^2}$$

• Compare AdS and QCD expressions of FFs for arbitrary Q using identity:

$$\int_0^1 dx J_0\left(\zeta Q \sqrt{\frac{1-x}{x}}\right) = \zeta Q K_1(\zeta Q),$$

the solution for $J(Q,\zeta) = \zeta Q K_1(\zeta Q)$!

• Electromagnetic form-factor in AdS space:

$$F_{\pi^+}(Q^2) = R^3 \int \frac{dz}{z^3} J(Q^2, z) \, |\Phi_{\pi^+}(z)|^2 \, ,$$

where $J(Q^2, z) = zQK_1(zQ)$.

• Use integral representation for ${\cal J}(Q^2,z)$

$$J(Q^2, z) = \int_0^1 dx \, J_0\left(\zeta Q \sqrt{\frac{1-x}{x}}\right)$$

• Write the AdS electromagnetic form-factor as

$$F_{\pi^+}(Q^2) = R^3 \int_0^1 dx \int \frac{dz}{z^3} J_0\left(zQ\sqrt{\frac{1-x}{x}}\right) |\Phi_{\pi^+}(z)|^2$$

• Compare with electromagnetic form-factor in light-front QCD for arbitrary Q

$$\left|\tilde{\psi}_{q\overline{q}/\pi}(x,\zeta)\right|^2 = \frac{R^3}{2\pi} x(1-x) \frac{\left|\Phi_{\pi}(\zeta)\right|^2}{\zeta^4}$$

with
$$\zeta = z, \ 0 \le \zeta \le \Lambda_{\rm QCD}$$

Chile LHC
January 8, 2010

LF Holography and QCD 65



Light-Front Holography: Unique mapping derived from equality of LF and AdS formula for current matrix elements

Chile LHC January 8, 2010

LF Holography and QCD 66

Gravitational Form Factor in Ads space

• Hadronic gravitational form-factor in AdS space

$$A_{\pi}(Q^2) = R^3 \int \frac{dz}{z^3} H(Q^2, z) |\Phi_{\pi}(z)|^2,$$

Abidin & Carlson

where $H(Q^2,z)=\frac{1}{2}Q^2z^2K_2(zQ)$

• Use integral representation for ${\cal H}(Q^2,z)$

$$H(Q^2, z) = 2\int_0^1 x \, dx \, J_0\left(zQ\sqrt{\frac{1-x}{x}}\right)$$

Write the AdS gravitational form-factor as

$$A_{\pi}(Q^2) = 2R^3 \int_0^1 x \, dx \int \frac{dz}{z^3} \, J_0\left(zQ\sqrt{\frac{1-x}{x}}\right) \, |\Phi_{\pi}(z)|^2$$

 $\bullet\,$ Compare with gravitational form-factor in light-front QCD for arbitrary Q

$$\left|\tilde{\psi}_{q\overline{q}/\pi}(x,\zeta)\right|^2 = \frac{R^3}{2\pi} x(1-x) \frac{\left|\Phi_{\pi}(\zeta)\right|^2}{\zeta^4},$$

Identical to LF Holography obtained from electromagnetic current

Chile LHC January 8, 2010



Light-Front Holography: Map AdS/CFT to 3+1 LF Theory

Relativistic LF radial equation!

Frame Independent

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{d^2}{d\zeta^2} + \frac{1-4L^2}{4\zeta^2} + U(\zeta) \end{bmatrix} \phi(\zeta) = \mathcal{M}^2 \phi(\zeta)$$

$$\zeta^2 = x(1-x)\mathbf{b}_{\perp}^2.$$

$$U(\zeta) = \kappa^4 \zeta^2 + 2\kappa^2 (L+S-1)$$
G. de Teramond, sjb

confining potential:

Chile LHC January 8, 2010

LF Holography and QCD 68

$$\begin{split} H^{LF}_{QCD} & \text{QCD Meson Spectrum} \\ (H^0_{LF} + H^I_{LF}) |\Psi \rangle = M^2 |\Psi \rangle & \text{Coupled Fock states} \\ [\frac{\vec{k}_{\perp}^2 + m^2}{x(1-x)} + V^{LF}_{\text{off}}] \psi_{LF}(x, \vec{k}_{\perp}) = M^2 \psi_{LF}(x, \vec{k}_{\perp}) & \text{Effective two-particle equation} \\ -\frac{d^2}{d\zeta^2} + \frac{-1 + 4L^2}{\zeta^2} + U(\zeta, S, L)] \psi_{LF}(\zeta) = M^2 \psi_{LF}(\zeta) & \text{Azimuthal Basis } \zeta, \phi \end{split}$$

$$U(\zeta,S,L)=\kappa^2\zeta^2+\kappa^2(L+S-1/2)$$

Semiclassical first approximation to QCD

Γ_

Confining AdS/QCD potential Derivation of the Light-Front Radial Schrodinger Equation directly from LF QCD

$$\mathcal{M}^2 = \int_0^1 dx \int \frac{d^2 \vec{k}_\perp}{16\pi^3} \frac{\vec{k}_\perp^2}{x(1-x)} \left| \psi(x, \vec{k}_\perp) \right|^2 + \text{interactions}$$
$$= \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{x(1-x)} \int d^2 \vec{b}_\perp \, \psi^*(x, \vec{b}_\perp) \left(-\vec{\nabla}_{\vec{b}_\perp \ell}^2 \right) \psi(x, \vec{b}_\perp) + \text{interactions.}$$

Change variables

ge
$$(\vec{\zeta}, \varphi), \ \vec{\zeta} = \sqrt{x(1-x)}\vec{b}_{\perp}: \quad \nabla^2 = \frac{1}{\zeta}\frac{d}{d\zeta}\left(\zeta\frac{d}{d\zeta}\right) + \frac{1}{\zeta^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\varphi^2}$$

$$\mathcal{M}^{2} = \int d\zeta \,\phi^{*}(\zeta) \sqrt{\zeta} \left(-\frac{d^{2}}{d\zeta^{2}} - \frac{1}{\zeta} \frac{d}{d\zeta} + \frac{L^{2}}{\zeta^{2}} \right) \frac{\phi(\zeta)}{\sqrt{\zeta}} + \int d\zeta \,\phi^{*}(\zeta) U(\zeta) \phi(\zeta)$$
$$= \int d\zeta \,\phi^{*}(\zeta) \left(-\frac{d^{2}}{d\zeta^{2}} - \frac{1 - 4L^{2}}{4\zeta^{2}} + U(\zeta) \right) \phi(\zeta)$$

Chile LHC January 8, 2010

LF Holography and QCD

70

• Find (L = |M|)

$$\mathcal{M}^2 = \int d\zeta \,\phi^*(\zeta) \sqrt{\zeta} \left(-\frac{d^2}{d\zeta^2} - \frac{1}{\zeta} \frac{d}{d\zeta} + \frac{L^2}{\zeta^2} \right) \frac{\phi(\zeta)}{\sqrt{\zeta}} + \int d\zeta \,\phi^*(\zeta) \,U(\zeta) \,\phi(\zeta)$$

where the confining forces from the interaction terms is summed up in the effective potential $U(\zeta)$

• Ultra relativistic limit $m_q \to 0$ longitudinal modes X(x) decouple and LF eigenvalue equation $H_{LF} |\phi\rangle = \mathcal{M}^2 |\phi\rangle$ is a LF wave equation for ϕ



- Effective light-front Schrödinger equation: relativistic, frame-independent and analytically tractable
- Eigenmodes $\phi(\zeta)$ determine the hadronic mass spectrum and represent the probability amplitude to find *n*-massless partons at transverse impact separation ζ within the hadron at equal light-front time
- Semiclassical approximation to light-front QCD does not account for particle creation and absorption but can be implemented in the LF Hamiltonian EOM or by applying the L-S formalism

Chile LHC January 8, 2010

LF Holography and QCD

7I





Example: Pion LFWF

• Two parton LFWF bound state:

$$\widetilde{\psi}_{\overline{q}q/\pi}^{HW}(x,\mathbf{b}_{\perp}) = \frac{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}\sqrt{x(1-x)}}{\sqrt{\pi}J_{1+L}(\beta_{L,k})} J_L\left(\sqrt{x(1-x)} \,|\,\mathbf{b}_{\perp}|\beta_{L,k}\Lambda_{\rm QCD}\right) \theta\left(\mathbf{b}_{\perp}^2 \le \frac{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}^{-2}}{x(1-x)}\right),$$

$$\widetilde{\psi}_{\overline{q}q/\pi}^{SW}(x,\mathbf{b}_{\perp}) = \kappa^{L+1} \sqrt{\frac{2n!}{(n+L)!}} \left[x(1-x) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}+L} |\mathbf{b}_{\perp}|^{L} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\kappa^{2}x(1-x)\mathbf{b}_{\perp}^{2}} L_{n}^{L} \left(\kappa^{2}x(1-x)\mathbf{b}_{\perp}^{2}\right).$$



Fig: Ground state pion LFWF in impact space. (a) HW model $\Lambda_{
m QCD}=0.32$ GeV, (b) SW model $\kappa=0.375$ GeV.

Chile LHC January 8, 2010



Consider the AdS_5 metric:

$$ds^{2} = \frac{R^{2}}{z^{2}} (\eta_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu} - dz^{2}).$$

 ds^2 invariant if $x^\mu
ightarrow \lambda x^\mu$, $z
ightarrow \lambda z$,

Maps scale transformations to scale changes of the the holographic coordinate z.

We define light-front coordinates $x^{\pm} = x^0 \pm x^3$.

Then
$$\eta^{\mu\nu} dx_{\mu} dx_{\nu} = dx_0^2 - dx_3^2 - dx_{\perp}^2 = dx^+ dx^- - dx_{\perp}^2$$

and

$$ds^2 = -\frac{R^2}{z^2}(dx_{\perp}^2 + dz^2)$$
 for $x^+ = 0$.

- ds^2 is invariant if $dx_{\perp}^2 \to \lambda^2 dx_{\perp}^2$, and $z \to \lambda z$, at equal LF time.
- Maps scale transformations in transverse LF space to scale changes of the holographic coordinate z.
- Holographic connection of AdS_5 to the light-front.
- The effective wave equation in the two-dim transverse LF plane has the Casimir representation L^2 corresponding to the SO(2) rotation group [The Casimir for $SO(N) \sim S^{N-1}$ is L(L + N 2)].

Chile LHC January 8, 2010

LF Holography and QCD

74



Prediction from AdS/CFT: Meson LFWF



$$\kappa \sqrt{x(1-x)}$$

$$\phi_M(x,Q_0) \propto \sqrt{x(1-x)}$$

Connection of Confinement to TMDs

Chile LHC January 8, 2010

LF Holography and QCD

75

Stan Brodsky SLAC

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 80, 055014 (2009)

Meson wave function from holographic models

Alfredo Vega,¹ Ivan Schmidt,¹ Tanja Branz,² Thomas Gutsche,² and Valery E. Lyubovitskij^{2,*} ¹Departamento de Física y Centro de Estudios Subatómicos, Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María, Casilla 110-V, Valparaíso, Chile ²Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Tübingen, Kepler Center for Astro and Particle Physics, Auf der Morgenstelle 14, D–72076 Tübingen, Germany $\psi_{c\bar{c}}(x,\vec{k}_{\perp})$ $m_c = 1.5 \,\,{\rm GeV}, \kappa = 0.894 \,\,{\rm GeV}$ 0.06 0.04 0.02 250 500 0.2 k_{\perp} 750 0.4 0.6 Х 1000 0.8 $\psi_{q_1\bar{q}_2}^{(1)}(x,\mathbf{k}_{\perp}) = \frac{4\pi A_1}{\kappa_1\sqrt{x(1-x)}} \exp\left(-\frac{\mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2}{2\kappa_1^2x(1-x)} - \frac{\mu_{12}^2}{2\kappa_1^2}\right).$ $\mu_{12}^2 = \frac{m_1^2}{r} + \frac{m_2^2}{1 - r}.$

Chile LHC January 8, 2010



Example: Evaluation of QCD Matrix Elements

• Pion decay constant f_{π} defined by the matrix element of EW current J_W^+ :

$$\left\langle 0 \left| \overline{\psi}_u \gamma^+ \frac{1}{2} (1 - \gamma_5) \psi_d \right| \pi^- \right\rangle = i \frac{P^+ f_\pi}{\sqrt{2}}$$

with

$$\left|\pi^{-}\right\rangle = \left|d\overline{u}\right\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{C}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{c=1}^{N_{C}} \left(b_{c\ d\downarrow}^{\dagger} d_{c\ u\uparrow}^{\dagger} - b_{c\ d\uparrow}^{\dagger} d_{c\ u\downarrow}^{\dagger}\right) \left|0\right\rangle.$$

• Find light-front expression (Lepage and Brodsky '80):

$$f_{\pi} = 2\sqrt{N_C} \int_0^1 dx \int \frac{d^2 \vec{k}_{\perp}}{16\pi^3} \,\psi_{\bar{q}q/\pi}(x,k_{\perp}).$$

- Using relation between AdS modes and QCD LFWF in the $\zeta \rightarrow 0$ limit

$$f_{\pi} = \frac{1}{8} \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} R^{3/2} \lim_{\zeta \to 0} \frac{\Phi(\zeta)}{\zeta^2}$$

• Holographic result ($\Lambda_{\rm QCD} = 0.22$ GeV and $\kappa = 0.375$ GeV from pion FF data): Exp: $f_{\pi} = 92.4$ MeV

$$f_{\pi}^{HW} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{8J_1(\beta_{0,k})} \Lambda_{\text{QCD}} = 91.7 \text{ MeV}, \ f_{\pi}^{SW} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{8} \kappa = 81.2 \text{ MeV},$$

Chile LHC January 8, 2010

LF Holography and QCD

77

Hadron Distribution Amplitudes



- Fundamental gauge invariant non-perturbative input to hard exclusive processes, heavy hadron decays. Defined for Mesons, Baryons
- Evolution Equations from PQCD, OPE, Conformal Invariance

Lepage, sjb Efremov, Radyushkin.

Sachrajda, Frishman Lepage, sjb

Braun, Gardi

• Compute from valence light-front wavefunction in lightcone gauge $\int_{-\infty}^{Q} t^{2} \vec{t} dt = t$

$$\phi_M(x,Q) = \int^Q d^2 \vec{k} \ \psi_{q\bar{q}}(x,\vec{k}_\perp)$$

Chile LHC January 8, 2010

LF Holography and QCD 78

Second Moment of Píon Dístribution Amplitude

$$<\xi^2>=\int_{-1}^1 d\xi \ \xi^2\phi(\xi)$$

$$\xi = 1 - 2x$$

Lattice (I) $<\xi^2>_{\pi}=0.28\pm0.03$

Lattice (II) $\langle \xi^2 \rangle_{\pi} = 0.269 \pm 0.039$

$$<\xi^2>_{\pi}=1/5=0.20$$
 $\phi_{asympt} \propto x(1-x)$
 $<\xi^2>_{\pi}=1/4=0.25$ $\phi_{AdS/QCD} \propto \sqrt{x(1-x)}$

Donnellan et al.

Stan Brodsky

SLAC

Chile LHC January 8, 2010

ERBL Evolution of Pion Distribution Amplitude



Chile LHC January 8, 2010

LF Holography and QCD 80

Baryons Spectrum in "bottom-up" holographic QCD
 GdT and Sjb hep-th/0409074, hep-th/0501022.

Baryons ín Ads/CFT



• Action for massive fermionic modes on AdS_{d+1} :

$$S[\overline{\Psi}, \Psi] = \int d^{d+1}x \sqrt{g} \,\overline{\Psi}(x, z) \left(i\Gamma^{\ell}D_{\ell} - \mu\right) \Psi(x, z).$$

• Equation of motion: $(i\Gamma^{\ell}D_{\ell}-\mu)\Psi(x,z)=0$

$$\left[i\left(z\eta^{\ell m}\Gamma_{\ell}\partial_m + \frac{d}{2}\Gamma_z\right) + \mu R\right]\Psi(x^{\ell}) = 0.$$

Chile LHC January 8, 2010



• Baryons Spectrum in "bottom-up" holographic QCD GdT and Brodsky: hep-th/0409074, hep-th/0501022.

Baryons in Ads/CFT

• Action for massive fermionic modes on AdS₅:

$$S[\overline{\Psi}, \Psi] = \int d^4x \, dz \, \sqrt{g} \, \overline{\Psi}(x, z) \left(i\Gamma^\ell D_\ell - \mu \right) \Psi(x, z)$$

• Equation of motion: $\left(i\Gamma^\ell D_\ell-\mu
ight)\Psi(x,z)=0$

$$\left[i\left(z\eta^{\ell m}\Gamma_{\ell}\partial_m + \frac{d}{2}\Gamma_z\right) + \mu R\right]\Psi(x^{\ell}) = 0$$

• Solution $(\mu R = \nu + 1/2)$

$$\Psi(z) = C z^{5/2} \left[J_{\nu}(z\mathcal{M})u_+ + J_{\nu+1}(z\mathcal{M})u_- \right]$$

• Hadronic mass spectrum determined from IR boundary conditions $\psi_{\pm} \left(z = 1/\Lambda_{\rm QCD}\right) = 0$

$$\mathcal{M}^+ = \beta_{\nu,k} \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}, \quad \mathcal{M}^- = \beta_{\nu+1,k} \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$$

with scale independent mass ratio

• Obtain spin-J mode $\Phi_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_{J-1/2}}$, $J > \frac{1}{2}$, with all indices along 3+1 from Ψ by shifting dimensions Chile LHC January 8, 2010 **EF Holography and QCD SLAC**



From Nick Evans

Baryons

Holographic Light-Front Integrable Form and Spectrum

• In the conformal limit fermionic spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ modes $\psi(\zeta)$ and spin- $\frac{3}{2}$ modes $\psi_{\mu}(\zeta)$ are two-component spinor solutions of the Dirac light-front equation

$$\alpha \Pi(\zeta) \psi(\zeta) = \mathcal{M} \psi(\zeta),$$

where $H_{LF} = \alpha \Pi$ and the operator

$$\Pi_L(\zeta) = -i\left(\frac{d}{d\zeta} - \frac{L + \frac{1}{2}}{\zeta}\gamma_5\right),\,$$

and its adjoint $\Pi^{\dagger}_{L}(\zeta)$ satisfy the commutation relations

$$\left[\Pi_L(\zeta), \Pi_L^{\dagger}(\zeta)\right] = \frac{2L+1}{\zeta^2} \gamma_5.$$

Chile LHC January 8, 2010

LF Holography and QCD 83

Soft-Wall Model

• Equivalent to Dirac equation in presence of a holographic linear confining potential

$$\left[i\left(z\eta^{\ell m}\Gamma_{\ell}\partial_m + \frac{d}{2}\Gamma_z\right) + \mu R + \kappa^2 z\right]\Psi(x^{\ell}) = 0.$$

• Solution $(\mu R = \nu + 1/2, d = 4)$

$$\Psi_{+}(z) \sim z^{\frac{5}{2}+\nu} e^{-\kappa^{2}z^{2}/2} L_{n}^{\nu}(\kappa^{2}z^{2})$$

$$\Psi_{-}(z) \sim z^{\frac{7}{2}+\nu} e^{-\kappa^{2}z^{2}/2} L_{n}^{\nu+1}(\kappa^{2}z^{2})$$

• Eigenvalues

$$\mathcal{M}^2 = 4\kappa^2(n+\nu+1)$$

• Obtain spin-J mode $\Phi_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_{J-1/2}},\,J>rac{1}{2},$ with all indices along 3+1 from Ψ

by shifting dimensions

Chile LHC January 8, 2010

LF Holography and QCD 84

• Note: in the Weyl representation ($i\alpha = \gamma_5\beta$)

$$i\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \gamma_5 = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{pmatrix}.$$

• Baryon: twist-dimension 3 + L ($\nu = L + 1$)

$$\mathcal{O}_{3+L} = \psi D_{\{\ell_1} \dots D_{\ell_q} \psi D_{\ell_{q+1}} \dots D_{\ell_m\}} \psi, \quad L = \sum_{i=1}^m \ell_i.$$

• Solution to Dirac eigenvalue equation with UV matching boundary conditions

$$\psi(\zeta) = C\sqrt{\zeta} \left[J_{L+1}(\zeta \mathcal{M})u_+ + J_{L+2}(\zeta \mathcal{M})u_- \right].$$

Baryonic modes propagating in AdS space have two components: orbital L and L + 1.

• Hadronic mass spectrum determined from IR boundary conditions

$$\psi_{\pm} \left(\zeta = 1 / \Lambda_{\text{QCD}} \right) = 0,$$

given by

$$\mathcal{M}_{\nu,k}^{+} = \beta_{\nu,k} \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}, \quad \mathcal{M}_{\nu,k}^{-} = \beta_{\nu+1,k} \Lambda_{\text{QCD}},$$

with a scale independent mass ratio.

Chile LHC January 8, 2010





Fig: Light baryon orbital spectrum for Λ_{QCD} = 0.25 GeV in the HW model. The **56** trajectory corresponds to L even P = + states, and the **70** to L odd P = - states.

Chile LHC January 8, 2010



Non-Conformal Extension of Algebraic Structure (Soft Wall Model)

• We write the Dirac equation

$$(\alpha \Pi(\zeta) - \mathcal{M}) \,\psi(\zeta) = 0,$$

in terms of the matrix-valued operator Π

$$\Pi_{\nu}(\zeta) = -i\left(\frac{d}{d\zeta} - \frac{\nu + \frac{1}{2}}{\zeta}\gamma_5 - \kappa^2\zeta\gamma_5\right),\,$$

and its adjoint $\Pi^\dagger,$ with commutation relations

$$\left[\Pi_{\nu}(\zeta), \Pi_{\nu}^{\dagger}(\zeta)\right] = \left(\frac{2\nu+1}{\zeta^2} - 2\kappa^2\right)\gamma_5.$$

• Solutions to the Dirac equation

$$\psi_{+}(\zeta) \sim z^{\frac{1}{2}+\nu} e^{-\kappa^{2}\zeta^{2}/2} L_{n}^{\nu}(\kappa^{2}\zeta^{2}),$$

$$\psi_{-}(\zeta) \sim z^{\frac{3}{2}+\nu} e^{-\kappa^{2}\zeta^{2}/2} L_{n}^{\nu+1}(\kappa^{2}\zeta^{2}).$$

• Eigenvalues

$$\mathcal{M}^2 = 4\kappa^2(n+\nu+1)$$

Chile LHC January 8, 2010





 $4\kappa^2$ for $\Delta n = 1$ $4\kappa^2$ for $\Delta L = 1$ $2\kappa^2$ for $\Delta S = 1$



 \mathcal{M}^2

Parent and daughter 56 Regge trajectories for the N and Δ baryon families for $\kappa=0.5~{\rm GeV}$

Chile LHC January 8, 2010





E. Klempt *et al.*: Δ^* resonances, quark models, chiral symmetry and AdS/QCD

H. Forkel, M. Beyer and T. Frederico, JHEP 0707 (2007) 077.
H. Forkel, M. Beyer and T. Frederico, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E 16 (2007) 2794.

Chile LHC January 8, 2010



SU(6)	S	L	Baryon State											
56	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$N\frac{1}{2}^{+}(939)$											
	$\frac{2}{3}$	0	$\Delta \frac{3}{2}^{+}(1232)$											
70	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	$N\frac{1}{2}^{-}(1535) N\frac{3}{2}^{-}(1520)$											
	$\frac{3}{2}$	1	$N\frac{1}{2}^{-}(1650) N\frac{3}{2}^{-}(1700) N\frac{5}{2}^{-}(1675)$											
	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	$\Delta \frac{1}{2}^{-}(1620) \ \Delta \frac{3}{2}^{-}(1700)$											
56	$\frac{1}{2}$	2	$N\frac{3}{2}^{+}(1720) N\frac{5}{2}^{+}(1680)$											
	$\frac{3}{2}$	2	$\Delta_{\frac{1}{2}}^{+}(1910) \ \Delta_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}(1920) \ \Delta_{\frac{5}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}(1905) \ \Delta_{\frac{7}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}(1950)$											
70	$\frac{1}{2}$	3	$N\frac{5}{2}^{-}$ $N\frac{7}{2}^{-}$											
	$\frac{3}{2}$	3	$N\frac{3}{2}^{-}$ $N\frac{5}{2}^{-}$ $N\frac{7}{2}^{-}(2190)$ $N\frac{9}{2}^{-}(2250)$											
	$\frac{1}{2}$	3	$\Delta \frac{5}{2}^{-}(1930) \ \Delta \frac{7}{2}^{-}$											
56	$\frac{1}{2}$	4	$N\frac{7}{2}^+$ $N\frac{9}{2}^+(2220)$											
	$\frac{3}{2}$	4	$\Delta \frac{5}{2}^{+} \qquad \Delta \frac{7}{2}^{+} \qquad \Delta \frac{9}{2}^{+} \qquad \Delta \frac{11}{2}^{+} (2420)$											
70	$\frac{1}{2}$	5	$N\frac{9}{2}^{-}$ $N\frac{11}{2}^{-}(2600)$											
	$\frac{3}{2}$	5	$N\frac{7}{2}^{-} \qquad N\frac{9}{2}^{-} \qquad N\frac{11}{2}^{-} \qquad N\frac{13}{2}^{-}$											

Chile LHC January 8, 2010



Space-Like Dirac Proton Form Factor

• Consider the spin non-flip form factors

$$F_{+}(Q^{2}) = g_{+} \int d\zeta J(Q,\zeta) |\psi_{+}(\zeta)|^{2},$$

$$F_{-}(Q^{2}) = g_{-} \int d\zeta J(Q,\zeta) |\psi_{-}(\zeta)|^{2},$$

where the effective charges g_+ and g_- are determined from the spin-flavor structure of the theory.

- Choose the struck quark to have $S^z = +1/2$. The two AdS solutions $\psi_+(\zeta)$ and $\psi_-(\zeta)$ correspond to nucleons with $J^z = +1/2$ and -1/2.
- For SU(6) spin-flavor symmetry

$$F_1^p(Q^2) = \int d\zeta J(Q,\zeta) |\psi_+(\zeta)|^2,$$

$$F_1^n(Q^2) = -\frac{1}{3} \int d\zeta J(Q,\zeta) \left[|\psi_+(\zeta)|^2 - |\psi_-(\zeta)|^2 \right],$$

where $F_1^p(0) = 1$, $F_1^n(0) = 0$.

Chile LHC January 8, 2010

LF Holography and QCD

• Scaling behavior for large Q^2 : $Q^4 F_1^p(Q^2) \rightarrow \text{constant}$ Prote

Proton
$$\tau = 3$$



SW model predictions for $\kappa = 0.424$ GeV. Data analysis from: M. Diehl *et al.* Eur. Phys. J. C **39**, 1 (2005).

Chile LHC January 8, 2010





Scaling behavior for large Q^2 : $Q^4 F_1^n(Q^2) \rightarrow \text{constant}$

SW model predictions for $\kappa = 0.424$ GeV. Data analysis from M. Diehl *et al.* Eur. Phys. J. C **39**, 1 (2005).

Chile LHC January 8, 2010

LF Holography and QCD

93

Stan Brodsky

Neutron $\tau = 3$

Spacelike Pauli Form Factor

Preliminary

From overlap of L = 1 and L = 0 LFWFs





January 8, 2010

LF Holography and QCD

95

SLAC

Light-Front QCD

Heisenberg Matrix Formulation

$$L^{QCD} \to H_{LF}^{QCD}$$

Physical gauge: $A^+ = 0$



$$H_{LF}^{QCD}|\Psi_h\rangle = \mathcal{M}_h^2|\Psi_h\rangle$$

Eigenvalues and Eigensolutions give Hadron Spectrum and Light-Front wavefunctions



Light-Front QCD Heisenberg Equation

 $H_{LC}^{QCD} |\Psi_h\rangle = \mathcal{M}_h^2 |\Psi_h\rangle$

	n	Sector	1 qq	2 gg	3 qq g	4 qā qā	5 99 9	6 qq gg	7 qq qq g	8 qq qq qq	99 99 9	10 qq gg g	11 qq qq gg	12 qq qq qq g	13 ବବିବବିବବିବବି
ζ _{k,λ}	1	qq				1 ×	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	2	gg		X	~	•	~~~{``		•	•		•	•	•	•
p,s' p,s	3	qq g	>-	>		~~<	+	~~~<		•	•	Tr.	٠	•	•
(a)	4	qq qq	K+↓	٠	>		•		-	Y Y	•	•		•	•
¯p,s' k,λ	5	gg g	•	<u></u>		•		~~<	•	•	~~~<		•	•	•
wit	6	qq gg	₹ 		<u>}</u> ~~		$\rightarrow$		~~<	•		-		•	•
k̄,λ΄ p,s	7	qq qq g	٠	٠	<b>**</b>	>-	•	>		~	٠		-	1 X	•
(2)	8 (	qā dā dā	•	٠	•		•	•	>		٠	•		-	Y.
p,s' p,s	9	gg gg	•		•	•	<u></u>		•	•		~~<	•	•	•
	10	qq gg g	•	٠		•		>		•	>		~	•	•
	11 (	qā dā ga	•	•	•		•		>-		٠	>		~~<	•
(c)	12 q	q dd dd d	•	•	•	•	•	٠	>	>-	•	•	>		~~<
L	13 qā	ସି ସସି ସସି ସସି	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	K	•	•	•	>	

Use AdS/QCD basis functions

Chile LHC January 8, 2010



Use AdS/CFT orthonormal Light Front Wavefunctions as a basis for diagonalizing the QCD LF Hamiltonian

- Good initial approximation
- Better than plane wave basis
- DLCQ discretization -- highly successful 1+1 Pauli, Hornbostel, Hiller, McCartor, sjb
- Use independent HO LFWFs, remove CM motion
- Similar to Shell Model calculations
- Hamiltonian light-front field theory within an AdS/QCD basis. J.P. Vary, H. Honkanen, Jun Li, P. Maris, A. Harindranath,
   G.F. de Teramond, P. Sternberg, E.G. Ng, C. Yang, sjb



# New Perspectives for QCD from AdS/CFT

- LFWFs: Fundamental frame-independent description of hadrons at amplitude level
- Holographic Model from AdS/CFT : Confinement at large distances and conformal behavior at short distances
- Model for LFWFs, meson and baryon spectra: many applications!
- New basis for diagonalizing Light-Front Hamiltonian
- Physics similar to MIT bag model, but covariant. No problem with support 0 < x < 1.
- Quark Interchange dominant force at short distances

LF Holography and QCD

99



## Running Coupling from Modified AdS/QCD

### Deur, de Teramond, sjb

• Consider five-dim gauge fields propagating in AdS $_5$  space in dilaton background  $arphi(z)=\kappa^2 z^2$ 

$$S = -\frac{1}{4} \int d^4x \, dz \, \sqrt{g} \, e^{\varphi(z)} \, \frac{1}{g_5^2} \, G^2$$

• Flow equation

$$\frac{1}{g_5^2(z)} = e^{\varphi(z)} \frac{1}{g_5^2(0)} \quad \text{or} \quad g_5^2(z) = e^{-\kappa^2 z^2} g_5^2(0)$$

where the coupling  $g_5(z)$  incorporates the non-conformal dynamics of confinement

- YM coupling  $\alpha_s(\zeta) = g_{YM}^2(\zeta)/4\pi$  is the five dim coupling up to a factor:  $g_5(z) \to g_{YM}(\zeta)$
- Coupling measured at momentum scale Q

$$\alpha_s^{AdS}(Q) \sim \int_0^\infty \zeta d\zeta J_0(\zeta Q) \,\alpha_s^{AdS}(\zeta)$$

Solution

$$\alpha_s^{AdS}(Q^2) = \alpha_s^{AdS}(0) e^{-Q^2/4\kappa^2}.$$

where the coupling  $\alpha_s^{AdS}$  incorporates the non-conformal dynamics of confinement



Running Coupling from Light-Front Holography and AdS/QCD

Deur, de Teramond, sjb, (preliminary)



Deur, de Teramond, sjb, (preliminary)



Deur, de Teramond, sjb, (preliminary)

### Running Coupling for Static Potential from AdS/QCD



Chile LHC January 8, 2010

LF Holography and QCD

Applications of Nonperturbative Running Coupling from AdS/QCD

- Sivers Effect in SIDIS, Drell-Yan
- Double Boer-Mulders Effect in DY
- Diffractive DIS
- Heavy Quark Production at Threshold

All ínvolve gluon exchange at small momentum transfer





Chile LHC January 8, 2010

LF Holography and QCD 106

Fínal-State Interactions Produce Pseudo T-Odd (Sivers Effect)

- Leading-Twist Bjorken Scaling!
- Requires nonzero orbital angular momentum of quark
- Arises from the interference of Final-State QCD Coulomb phases in S- and Pwaves; e-Wilson line effect -- gauge independent ecurrent quark jet Relate to the guark contribution to the target proton anomalous magnetic moment and final-state QCD phases quark final state **QCD** phase at soft scale! interaction New window to QCD coupling and running gluon mass in the IR spectato system proton 11-2001 8624A06 **QED S and P Coulomb phases infinite -- difference of phases finite!**
- Alternate: Retarded and Advanced Gauge: Augmented LFWFs Pasquini, Xiao, Yuan, sjb
   Mulders, Boer Oiu, Sterman

Chile LHC January 8, 2010

LF Holography and QCD

Hwang, Schmidt, sjb Collins

**Stan Brodsky** 

SI AC

 $\mathbf{i} \ \vec{S} \cdot \vec{p}_{jet} \times \vec{q}$ 

# Features of Soft-Wall AdS/QCD

- Single-variable frame-independent radial Schrodinger equation
- Massless pion (m_q = 0)
- Regge Trajectories: universal slope in n and L
- Valid for all integer J & S. Spectrum is independent of S
- Dimensional Counting Rules for Hard Exclusive Processes
- Phenomenology: Space-like and Time-like Form Factors
- LF Holography: LFWFs; broad distribution amplitude
- No large Nc limit
- Add quark masses to LF kinetic energy
- Systematically improvable -- diagonalize H_{LF} on AdS basis

Chile LHC January 8, 2010



Formation of Relativistic Anti-Hydrogen

## Measured at CERN-LEAR and FermiLab



**Coalescence of** Off-shell **co-moving positron and antiproton**.

Wavefunction maximal at small impact separation and equal rapidity

"Hadronization" at the Amplitude Level

Chile LHC January 8, 2010

LF Holography and QCD

Hadronization at the Amplitude Level



### **Construct helicity amplitude using Light-Front Perturbation theory; coalesce quarks via LFWFs**

Chile LHC January 8, 2010

LF Holography and QCD

# Features of LF T-Matrix Formalism "Event Amplitude Generator"

• Coalesce color-singlet cluster to hadronic state if

$$\mathcal{M}_n^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{k_{\perp i}^2 + m_i^2}{x_i} < \Lambda_{QCD}^2$$

- The coalescence probability amplitude is the LF wavefunction  $\Psi_n(x_i, \vec{k}_{\perp i}, \lambda_i)$
- No IR divergences: Maximal gluon and quark wavelength from confinement

$$x_i P^+, x_i \vec{P}_{\perp} + \vec{k}_{\perp i}$$

$$P^+, \vec{P}_{\perp}$$

$$P^+ = P^0 + P^z$$
Chile LHC
January 8, 2010
LF Holography and QCD
III
SLAC

# Features of LF T-Matrix Formalism "Event Amplitude Generator"

- Same principle as antihydrogen production: off-shell coalescence
- coalescence to hadron favored at equal rapidity, small transverse momenta
- leading heavy hadron production: D and B mesons produced at large z
- hadron helicity conservation if hadron LFWF has L^z =0
- Baryon AdS/QCD LFWF has aligned and anti-aligned quark spin



# Chiral Symmetry Breaking in AdS/QCD

**Erlich et** 

al.

• Chiral symmetry breaking effect in AdS/QCD depends on weighted z² distribution, not constant condensate

$$\delta M^2 = -2m_q < \bar{\psi}\psi > \times \int dz \ \phi^2(z)z^2$$

- z² weighting consistent with higher Fock states at periphery of hadron wavefunction
- AdS/QCD: confined condensate
- Suggests "In-Hadron" Condensates

## de Teramond, Shrock, sjb



LF Holography and QCD

II3

# "One of the gravest puzzles of theoretical physics"

#### DARK ENERGY AND THE COSMOLOGICAL CONSTANT PARADOX

A. ZEE  $\,$ 

Department of Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA Kavil Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA zee@kitp.ucsb.edu

$$(\Omega_{\Lambda})_{QCD} \sim 10^{45}$$
  
 $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.76(expt)$   
 $(\Omega_{\Lambda})_{EW} \sim 10^{56}$ 

QCD Problem Solved if Quark and Gluon condensates reside within hadrons, not LF vacuum

Shrock, sjb

#### VOLUME 9, NUMBER 2

#### Chiral magnetism (or magnetohadrochironics)

Aharon Casher and Leonard Susskind Tel Aviv University Ramat Aviv, Tel-Aviv, Israel (Received 20 March 1973)

#### I. INTRODUCTION

The spontaneous breakdown of chiral symmetry in hadron dynamics is generally studied as a vacuum phenomenon.¹ Because of an instability of the chirally invariant vacuum, the real vacuum is "aligned" into a chirally asymmetric configuration.

On the other hand an approach to quantum field theory exists in which the properties of the vacuum state are not relevant. This is the parton or constituent approach formulated in the infinitemomentum frame.² A number of investigations have indicated that in this frame the vacuum may be regarded as the structureless Fock-space vacuum. Hadrons may be described as nonrelativistic collections of constituents (partons). In this framework the spontaneous symmetry breakdown must be attributed to the properties of the hadron's wave function and not to the vacuum.³

Líght-Front (Front Form) Formalísm



Use Dyson-Schwinger Equation for bound-state quark propagator: find confined condensate

 $< \overline{b}|\overline{q}q|\overline{b} > \text{not} < 0|\overline{q}q|0 >$ 

Chile LHC January 8, 2010

LF Holography and QCD

116

#### Pion mass and decay constant.

Pieter Maris, Craig D. Roberts (Argonne, PHY), Peter C. Tandy (Kent State U.). ANL-PHY-8753-TH-97, KSUCNR-103-97, Jul 1997. 12pp. Published in Phys.Lett.B420:267-273,1998. e-Print: nucl-th/9707003

#### **Pi- and K meson Bethe-Salpeter amplitudes.**

Pieter Maris, Craig D. Roberts (Argonne, PHY) . ANL-PHY-8788-TH-97, Aug 1997. 34pp. Published in Phys.Rev.C56:3369-3383,1997. e-Print: nucl-th/9708029

#### Concerning the quark condensate.

K. Langfeld (Tubingen U.), H. Markum (Vienna, Tech. U.), R. Pullirsch (Regensburg U.), C.D. Roberts (Argonne, PHY & Rostock U.), S.M. Schmidt (Tubingen U. & HGF, Bonn). ANL-PHY-10460-TH-2002, MPG-VT-UR-239-02, Jan 2003. 7pp. Published in Phys.Rev.C67:065206,2003. e-Print: nucl-th/0301024

 $-\langle \bar{q}q \rangle_{\zeta}^{\pi} = f_{\pi} \langle 0 | \bar{q}\gamma_5 q | \pi \rangle \,.$ 

Valid even for  $m_q \to 0$  $f_{\pi}$  nonzero

Chile LHC January 8, 2010

LF Holography and QCD

117

#### de Teramond, Sjb

In presence of quark masses the Holographic LF wave equation is  $(\zeta = z)$ 

$$\left[-\frac{d^2}{d\zeta^2} + V(\zeta) + \frac{X^2(\zeta)}{\zeta^2}\right]\phi(\zeta) = \mathcal{M}^2\phi(\zeta),\tag{1}$$

and thus

$$\delta M^2 = \left\langle \frac{X^2}{\zeta^2} \right\rangle. \tag{2}$$

The parameter a is determined by the Weisberger term

$$a = \frac{2}{\sqrt{x}}.$$

Thus

$$X(z) = \frac{m}{\sqrt{x}} z - \sqrt{x} \langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle z^3,$$
(3)

and

$$\delta M^2 = \sum_i \left\langle \frac{m_i^2}{x_i} \right\rangle - 2 \sum_i m_i \langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle \langle z^2 \rangle + \langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle^2 \langle z^4 \rangle, \tag{4}$$

where we have used the sum over fractional longitudinal momentum  $\sum_{i} x_{i} = 1$ .

Mass shift from dynamics inside hadronic boundary

Chile LHC January 8, 2010



### Quark and Gluon condensates reside within

### hadrons, not LF vacuum

- Bound-State Dyson-Schwinger Equations
- Spontaneous Chiral Symmetry Breaking within infinitecomponent LFWFs

Maris, Roberts, Tandy

> Casher Susskind

- Finite size phase transition infinite # Fock constituents
- AdS/QCD Description -- CSB is in-hadron Effect
- Analogous to finite-size superconductor!
- Phase change observed at RHIC within a single-nucleus-nucleus collisions-- quark gluon plasma!
- Implications for cosmological constant -- reduction by 45 orders of magnitude!
   Shrock, sjb

"Confined QCD Condensates"

Chile LHC January 8, 2010

LF Holography and QCD

- Color Confinement: Maximum Wavelength of Quark and Gluons
- Conformal symmetry of QCD coupling in IR
- Conformal Template (BLM, CSR, ...)
- Motivation for AdS/QCD
- QCD Condensates inside of hadronic LFWFs
- Technicolor: confined condensates inside of technihadrons -- alternative to Higgs
- Simple physical solution to cosmological constant conflict with Standard Model

Shrock and sjb

Chile LHC January 8, 2010

LF Holography and QCD

# Features of AdS/QCD LF Holography

- Based on Conformal Scaling of Infrared QCD Fixed Point
- Conformal template: Use isometries of AdS5
- Interpolating operator of hadrons based on twist, superfield dimensions
- Finite Nc = 3: Baryons built on 3 quarks -- Large Nc limit not required
- Break Conformal symmetry with dilaton
- Dilaton introduces confinement -- positive exponent
- Origin of Linear and HO potentials: Stochastic arguments (Glazek); General 'classical' potential for Dirac Equation (Hoyer)
- Effective Charge from AdS/QCD at all scales
- Conformal Dimensional Counting Rules for Hard Exclusive Processes
- Use CRF (LF Constituent Rest Frame) to reconstruct 3D Image of Hadrons (Glazek, de Teramond, sjb)

Chile LHC January 8, 2010



# Conformal Template

- BLM scale-setting: Retain conformal series; nonzero β-terms set multiple renormalization scales. No renormalization scale ambiguity. Result is scheme-independent.
- Commensurate Scale Relations between observables based on conformal template -- prime tests of QCD independent of theory conventions
- **BLM** scales for three-gluon coupling; multi-scale problems
- Analytic scheme for coupling unification
- Direct high  $p_T$  processes, baryon anomaly, intrinsic heavy quarks
- IR Fixed point -- conformal symmetry motivation for AdS/CFT
- Light-Front Schrödinger Equation: analytic first approximation to QCD
- Dilaton-modified AdS₅: Predict Hadron Spectra, Light-Front Wavefunctions, Form Factors, Hadronization at Amplitude Level
- Non-Perturbative running QCD coupling -- new range of QCD phenomena such as Sivers and Diffractive DIS fraction calculable; IR fixed point

Chile LHC January 8, 2010

