


*gluons
measure
size of
color
dipole*

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dk_t^2} \propto |\alpha_s(k_t^2)x_N G(u, k_t^2)|^2 \left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial k_t^2} \psi(\mathbf{x}, k_t) \right|^2$$


Key Ingredients in E791 Experiment



Brodsky Mueller
Frankfurt Miller Strikman

*Small color-dipole moment pion not absorbed;
interacts with each nucleon coherently*

QCD COLOR Transparency



Target left intact


Diffraction, Rapidity gap

- Fully coherent interactions between pion and nucleons.
- Emerging Di-Jets do not interact with nucleus.

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{N})$$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dq_t^2} \propto A^2 \quad q_t^2 \sim 0$$

$\sigma \propto A^{4/3}$



Measure pion LFWF in diffractive dijet production Confirmation of color transparency

A-Dependence results: $\sigma \propto A^\alpha$

<u>k_t range (GeV/c)</u>	<u>α</u>	<u>α (CT)</u>	
$1.25 < k_t < 1.5$	$1.64 +0.06 -0.12$	1.25	
$1.5 < k_t < 2.0$	1.52 ± 0.12	1.45	
$2.0 < k_t < 2.5$	1.55 ± 0.16	1.60	
<hr/>			Ashery E791
<hr/> α (Incoh.) = 0.70 ± 0.1			

Conventional Glauber Theory Ruled
Out!


Factor of 7

Color Transparency

Bertsch, Gunion, Goldhaber, sjb
A. H. Mueller, sjb

- Fundamental test of gauge theory in hadron physics
- Small color dipole moments interact weakly in nuclei
- Complete coherence at high energies
- Clear Demonstration of CT from Diffractive Di-Jets

E791 Diffractive Di-Jet transverse momentum distribution



Two Components

High Transverse momentum dependence $k_T^{-6.5}$
consistent with PQCD,
ERBL Evolution


Gaussian component
at small k_T similar
to AdS/CFT LFWF



Diffractive Dissociation of a Pion into Dijets

$$\pi A \rightarrow JetJetA'$$

- E791 Fermilab Experiment
Ashery et al
- 500 GeV pions collide on nuclei keeping it intact
- Measure momentum of two jets
- Study momentum distributions of pion LF wavefunction

$$\psi_{q\bar{q}}^{\pi}(x, \vec{k}_{\perp})$$






Ashery E791

Narrowing of x distribution at high jet transverse momentum

x : distribution of diffractive dijets from the platinum target for $1.25 \leq k_t \leq 1.5 \text{ GeV}/c$ (left) and for $1.5 \leq k_t \leq 2.5 \text{ GeV}/c$ (right). The solid line is a fit to a combination of the asymptotic and CZ distribution amplitudes. The dashed line shows the contribution from the asymptotic function and the dotted line that of the CZ function.




Ashery
E791

Possibly two components:
Perturbative (ERBL) + Nonperturbative (AdS/CFT)

$$\phi(x) = A_{\text{pert}}(k_\perp^2)x(1-x) + B_{\text{nonpert}}(k_\perp^2)\sqrt{x(1-x)}$$

Narrowing of x distribution at high jet transverse momentum


$$F_\pi(Q^2) = \int_0^1 dx \phi_\pi(x) \int_0^1 dy \phi_\pi(y) \frac{16\pi C_F \alpha_V(Q_V)}{(1-x)(1-y)Q^2}$$

***AdS/CFT:***

Increases PQCD leading twist prediction for $F_\pi(Q^2)$ by factor 16/9

Diffractive Dissociation of Proton into Quark Jets

Frankfurt, Miller,
Strikman



Measure Light-Front Wavefunction of
Proton

Minimal momentum transfer to nucleus
Nucleus left Intact!


Coulomb Exchange analogous to diffractive excitation

Electromagnetic Tri-Jet Excitation of Proton

$$ep \rightarrow e^- \text{jet} \text{jet} \text{jet}$$

Measure light-front
wavefunction of
proton



$$\frac{\partial}{\partial k_{\perp}} \Psi_{n=3}^p(x_i, \vec{k}_{\perp i}, \lambda_i)$$



Measurement of Charm Structure Function

J. J. Aubert et al. [European Muon Collaboration], "Production Of Charmed Particles In 250-Gev Mu+ - Iron Interactions," Nucl. Phys. B 213, 31 (1983).


First Evidence for
Intrinsic Charm



DGLAP / Photon-Gluon Fusion: factor of 30 too small

- EMC data: $c(x, Q^2) > 30 \times$ DGLAP
 $Q^2 = 75 \text{ GeV}^2, x = 0.42$
- High x_F $pp \rightarrow J/\psi X$
- High x_F $pp \rightarrow J/\psi J/\psi X$
- High x_F $pp \rightarrow \Lambda_c X$
- High x_F $pp \rightarrow \Lambda_b X$
- High x_F $pp \rightarrow \Xi(ccd)X$ (SELEX)

IC Structure Function: Critical Test of QCD



$|uudc\bar{c}|$ Fluctuation in Proton
 QCD: Probability $\sim \frac{\Lambda_{QCD}^2}{M_Q^2}$

$|e^+e^-\ell^+\ell^-|$ Fluctuation in Positronium
 QED: Probability $\sim \frac{(m_e\alpha)^4}{M_\ell^4}$

OPE derivation - M.Polyakov et al.

$\langle p | \frac{G_{\mu\nu}^3}{m_Q^2} | p \rangle$ vs. $\langle p | \frac{F_{\mu\nu}^4}{m_\ell^4} | p \rangle$ $c\bar{c}$ in Color Octet

Distribution peaks at equal rapidity (velocity)
 Therefore heavy particles carry the largest momentum fractions


$$\hat{x}_i = \frac{m_{\perp i}}{\sum_j^n m_{\perp j}}$$

High x charm!


Hoyer, Peterson, Sakai, sjb

Intrinsic Heavy-Quark Fock States

- Rigorous prediction of QCD, OPE
- Color-Octet Color-Octet Fock State!
- Probability $P_{Q\bar{Q}} \propto \frac{1}{M_Q^2}$ $P_{Q\bar{Q}Q\bar{Q}} \sim \alpha_s^2 P_{Q\bar{Q}}$ $P_{c\bar{c}/p} \simeq 1\%$
- Large Effect at high x
- Greatly increases kinematics of colliders such as Higgs production (Kopeliovich, Schmidt, Soffer, sjb)
- Severely underestimated in conventional parameterizations of heavy quark distributions (Pumplin, Tung)
- Many empirical tests



Leading Hadron Production from Intrinsic Charm





Coalescence of Comoving Charm and Valence Quarks
Produce J/ψ , Λ_c and other Charm Hadrons at High x_F

SELEX Λ_c^+ Studies – p_T Dependence

- Λ_c^+ production by Σ^- vs x_F shows harder spectrum at low p_T – consistent with an intrinsic charm picture.

(Vogt, Brodsky and Hoyer,
Nucl. Phys. B383,683 (1992))






Production of a Double-Charm Baryon

SELEX high x_F $\langle x_F \rangle = 0.33$

Production of Two Charmonia at High x_F



All events have $x_{\psi\psi}^F > 0.4$!

Excludes ‘color drag’ model




Fig. 3. The $\psi\psi$ pair distributions are shown in (a) and (c) for the pion and proton projectiles. Similarly, the distributions of J/ψ 's from the pairs are shown in (b) and (d). Our calculations are compared with the π^-N data at 150 and 280 GeV/c [1]. The $x_{\psi\psi}$ distributions are normalized to the number of pairs from both pion beams (a) and the number of pairs from the 400 GeV proton measurement (c). The number of single J/ψ 's is twice the number of pairs.

NA3 Data

$$\pi A \rightarrow J/\psi J/\psi X$$

Intrinsic charm contribution to double quarkonium hadroproduction *

R. Vogt^a, S.J. Brodsky^b

The probability distribution for a general n -parton intrinsic $c\bar{c}$ Fock state as a function of x and k_T written as


$$\frac{dP_{ic}}{\prod_{i=1}^n dx_i d^2 k_{T,i}} = N_n \alpha_s^4(M_{c\bar{c}}) \frac{\delta(\sum_{i=1}^n k_{T,i}) \delta(1 - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i)}{(m_h^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n (m_{T,i}^2/x_i))^2},$$

Excitation of Intrinsic Heavy Quarks in Proton


Amplitude maximal at small invariant mass, equal rapidity

$$x_i \sim \frac{m_{\perp i}}{\sum_j^n m_{\perp j}}$$

Produce forward, high x_F
 $\Upsilon(b\bar{b}), \Lambda_b(bud), B^+(\bar{b}u), B^0(\bar{b}d)$



800 GeV p-A (FNAL) $\sigma_A = \sigma_p^* A^\alpha$
PRL 84, 3256 (2000); PRL 72, 2542 (1994)



$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx_F}(pA \rightarrow J/\psi X)$$

Remarkably Strong Nuclear Dependence for Fast Charmonium

Violation of PQCD Factorization!


Violation of factorization in charm hadroproduction.

[P. Hoyer, M. Vanttilen \(Helsinki U.\)](#), [U. Sukhatme \(Illinois U., Chicago\)](#). HU-TFT-90-14, May 1990. 7pp.
Published in Phys.Lett.B246:217-220,1990


J/ ψ nuclear dependence vrs rapidity, \times Au, \times F

M.Leitch

PHENIX compared to lower energy measurements



Klein,Vogt, PRL 91:142301,2003
Kopeliovich, NP A696:669,2001



Huge
“absorption”
effect at
large x_F



Violates PQCD
factorization!

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx_F} (pA \rightarrow J/\psi X)$$

Hoyer, Sukhatme, Vanttinien

Diffraction 2008

Novel QCD Diffractive Physics

Stan Brodsky, SLAC

La Londe-les-Maures, September 13, 2008

J. Badier et al, NA3
Two Components




$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx_F}(pA \rightarrow J/\psi X) = A^1 \frac{d\sigma_1}{dx_F} + A^{2/3} \frac{d\sigma_{2/3}}{dx_F}$$

A^1 component

Identify with Fusion

Conventional PQCD
subprocesses


$$\frac{d\sigma_1}{dx_F}(\pi A \rightarrow J/\psi X)$$



Difraction 2008

NUCLEAR QCD Diffractive Physics


La Londe-les-Maures, September 13, 2008



J. Badier et al, NA3

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx_F}(pA \rightarrow J/\psi X) = A^1 \frac{d\sigma_1}{dx_F} + A^{2/3} \frac{d\sigma_{2/3}}{dx_F}$$

$A^{2/3}$ component



Identify with IC
High x_F

Remarkably Flat
Distribution

Excess beyond conventional PQCD subprocesses


Diffraction 2008

Novel QCD Diffractive Physics

Stan Brodsky, SLAC

La Londe-les-Maures, September 13, 2008

Color-Opaque IC Fock state interacts on nuclear front surface




$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx_F}(pA \rightarrow J/\psi X) = A^{2/3} \times \frac{d\sigma}{dx_F}(pN \rightarrow J/\psi X)$$

- IC Explains Anomalous $\alpha(x_F)$ not $\alpha(x_2)$ dependence of $pA \rightarrow J/\psi X$
(Mueller, Gunion, Tang, SJB)
- Color Octet IC Explains $A^{2/3}$ behavior at high x_F (NA3, Fermilab) Color Opaqueness
(Kopeliovitch, Schmidt, Soffer, SJB)
- IC Explains $J/\psi \rightarrow \rho\pi$ puzzle
(Karliner, SJB)
- IC leads to new effects in B decay
(Gardner, SJB)

Higgs production at $x_F = 0.8$

Measure $c(x)$ in Deep Inelastic Lepton-Proton Scattering



Hoyer, Peterson, SJB

Why is Intrinsic Charm Important for Flavor Physics?

- New perspective on fundamental nonperturbative hadron structure
- Charm structure function at high x
- Dominates high x_F charm and charmonium production
- Hadroproduction of new heavy quark states such as ccu, ccd at high x_F
- Intrinsic charm -- long distance contribution to penguin mechanisms for weak decay
- Novel Nuclear Effects from color structure of IC, Heavy Ion Collisions
- New mechanisms for high x_F Higgs hadroproduction
- Dynamics of b production: LHCb
- Fixed target program at LHC: produce bbb states


PHYSICAL REVIEW D **73**, 113005 (2006)

Diffractive Higgs production from intrinsic heavy flavors in the proton

Stanley J. Brodsky,^{1,*} Boris Kopeliovich,^{2,†} Ivan Schmidt,^{2,‡} and Jacques Soffer^{3,§}

¹


$$\frac{d\sigma(pp \rightarrow ppH)}{dx_2 d^2 p_1 d^2 p_2} = \frac{1}{(1-x_2)16\pi^2} |A(x_2, \vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2)|^2$$



$$A(x_2, \vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2) = \frac{8}{3\sqrt{2}} \int d^2 Q \frac{d^2 q}{q^2} \frac{d^2 k}{k^2} \alpha_s(q^2) \alpha_s(k^2) \delta(\vec{q} + \vec{p}_2 + \vec{k}) \delta(\vec{k} - \vec{p}_1 - \vec{Q})$$

$$\times \int d^2 \tau |\Phi_p(\tau)|^2 [e^{i(\vec{k} + \vec{q}) \cdot \vec{\tau}/2} - e^{i(\vec{q} - \vec{k}) \cdot \vec{\tau}/2}]$$

$$\times \int d^2 R d^2 r d^2 \rho H^\dagger(\vec{r}) e^{i\vec{q} \cdot \vec{r}/2} (1 - e^{-i\vec{q} \cdot \vec{r}}) \Phi_p^\dagger(\vec{\rho}) e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{\rho}/2} (1 - e^{-i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{\rho}}) \Psi_p(\vec{R}, \vec{r}, \vec{\rho}, z) e^{i\vec{Q} \cdot \vec{R}}.$$




$$\Psi_p(\vec{R}, \vec{r}, \vec{\rho}, z) = \Psi_{\text{IC}}(\vec{R}, z) \Psi_{\bar{c}c}(\vec{r}) \Psi_{3q}(\vec{\rho}).$$


$$\int_0^1 dz \int d^2R d^2r d^2\rho |\Psi_p(\vec{R}, \vec{r}, \vec{\rho}, z)|^2 = P_{\text{IC}},$$


$$\Psi_{\text{IQ}}(Q, z, \kappa) \propto \frac{z(1-z)}{Q^2 + z^2 m_p^2 + M_{\bar{Q}Q}^2(1-z)}.$$

$$H(\vec{r}) = i \frac{\sqrt{N_c G_F}}{2\pi} m_c \bar{\chi} \vec{\sigma} \chi \frac{\vec{r}}{r} \left[\epsilon Y_1(\epsilon r) - \frac{ir}{2} \Gamma_H M_H Y_0(\epsilon r) \right]$$




The distribution of produced Higgs particles over the fraction of the proton beam momentum. The dotted, dashed, and solid curves correspond to Higgs production from nonperturbative IC ($\beta = 1$), perturbative IC ($\beta = 0$), and IT, respectively.






The cross section of the reaction $pp \rightarrow Hp + p$ as a function of the Higgs mass. Contributions of IC (dashed line), IB (dotted line), and IT (solid line).




Particle ratio changes with centrality!



$pp \rightarrow HX$ at high p_T
*Proton created from
jet fragmentation*

Color Opaque



Crucial Test of Leading -Twist QCD: Scaling at fixed x_T

$$x_T = \frac{2p_T}{\sqrt{s}}$$


$$E \frac{d\sigma}{d^3 p}(pN \rightarrow \pi X) = \frac{F(x_T, \theta_{CM})}{p_T^{n_{eff}}}$$

Parton model: $n_{eff} = 4$

As fundamental as Bjorken scaling in DIS

Conformal scaling: $n_{eff} = 2 n_{active} - 4$


QCD prediction: Modification of power fall-off due to DGLAP evolution and the Running Coupling



Key test of PQCD: power-law fall-off at fixed x_T


$$pp \rightarrow \gamma X$$

$$E \frac{d\sigma}{d^3 p}(pp \rightarrow \gamma X) = \frac{F(\theta_{cm}, x_T)}{p_T^4}$$




$$\sqrt{s}^n E \frac{d\sigma}{d^3 p}(pp \rightarrow \gamma X) \text{ at fixed } x_T$$

Tannenbaum



Scaling of direct
photon
production
consistent with
PQCD

$\sqrt{s}^{6.3} \times E \frac{d\sigma}{d^3 p}(pp \rightarrow H^\pm X)$ at fixed x_T



Tannenbaum

Scaling
inconsistent with
PQCD

Diffraction 2008


Novel QCD Diffractive Physics

104

La Londe-les-Maures, September 13, 2008


Stan Brodsky, SLAC

$$E \frac{d\sigma}{d^3 p}(pp \rightarrow HX) = \frac{F(x_T, \theta_{cm} = \pi/2)}{p_T^n}$$




Clear evidence
for higher-twist
contributions

J. W. Cronin, SSI 1974



Protons produced in AuAu collisions at RHIC do not exhibit clear scaling properties in the available p_T range. Shown are data for central (0 – 5%) and for peripheral (60 – 90%) collisions.



$$E \frac{d\sigma}{d^3 p}(pN \rightarrow pX) = \frac{F(x_T, \theta_{CM})}{p_T^{n_{eff}}} x_T$$

Diffraction 2008


La Londe-les-Maures, September 13, 2008

Novel QCD Diffractive Physics

106

Stan Brodsky, SLAC

$$E \frac{d\sigma}{d^3 p}(pp \rightarrow HX) = \frac{F(x_T, \theta_{CM})}{p_T^{n_{eff}}}$$



Diffraction 2008

La Londe-les-Maures, September 13, 2008

x_T

ovel QCD Diffractive Physics


10⁷

Stan Brodsky, SLAC

Baryon can be made directly within hard subprocess

Coalescence within hard subprocess

$$b_{\perp} \simeq 1/p_T$$



Collision can produce 3
collinear quarks



$$\phi_p(x_1, x_2, x_3) \propto \Lambda_{QCD}^2$$


*Small color-singlet
Color Transparent
Minimal same-side energy*

$$n_{\text{active}} = 6$$


$$n_{\text{eff}} = 2n_{\text{active}} - 4$$

$$n_{\text{eff}} = 8$$

Baryon made directly within hard subprocess



$$\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 130 \text{ and } 200 \text{ GeV}$$



Proton power changes with centrality !

Diffraction 2008


Novel QCD Diffractive Physics

Stan Brodsky, SLAC

La Londe-les-Maures, September 13, 2008

Power-law exponent $n(x_T)$ for π^0 and h spectra in central and peripheral Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 130$ and 200 GeV

S. S. Adler, *et al.*, PHENIX Collaboration, *Phys. Rev. C* **69**, 034910 (2004) [nucl-ex/0308006].



Proton power changes with centrality !

*Proton production dominated by
color-transparent direct high n_{eff} subprocesses*


Diffraction 2008


Novel QCD Diffractive Physics

Stan Brodsky, SLAC

La Londe-les-Maures, September 13, 2008


Particle ratio changes with centrality!






proton trigger:
same-side particles
decreases with centrality

Proton production more dominated by color-transparent direct high- n_{eff} subprocesses




Lambda can be made directly within hard subprocess

Coalescence within hard subprocess





*Small color-singlet
Color Transparent
Minimal same-side energy*




Baryon Anomaly: Evidence for Direct, Higher-Twist Subprocesses

- **Explains anomalous power behavior at fixed x_T**
- **Protons more likely to come from direct higher-twist subprocess than pions**
- **Protons less absorbed than pions in central nuclear collisions because of color transparency**
- **Predicts increasing proton to pion ratio in central collisions**
- **Proton power n_{eff} increases with centrality since leading twist contribution absorbed**
- **Fewer same-side hadrons for proton trigger at high centrality**
- **Exclusive-inclusive connection at $x_T = 1$**

- Quarks and Gluons:
Fundamental constituents of hadrons and nuclei
- *Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD)*
- New Insights from higher space-time dimensions: *AdS/QCD*
- *Light-Front Holography*
- *Hadronization at the Amplitude Level*
- *Light Front Wavefunctions:* analogous to the Schrodinger wavefunctions of atomic physics



$$\Psi_n(x_i, \vec{k}_{\perp i}, \lambda_i)$$



Novel Aspects of QCD

- Heavy quark distributions **do not** derive exclusively from DGLAP or gluon splitting -- **component intrinsic to hadron wavefunction: Higgs at high x_F**
- Initial and final-state interactions **are not** power suppressed in hard QCD reactions
- LFWFs are universal, but measured nuclear parton distributions **are not** universal -- **antishadowing is flavor dependent**
- Hadroproduction at large transverse momentum **does not** derive exclusively from 2 to 2 scattering subprocesses

- **DDIS and Sivers Effect: Breakdown of Leading-Twist Factorization**
- **Physics of Hard Pomeron**
- **Measure Fundamental Hadron Wavefunction via Di-jet and Tri-jet Fragmentation**
- **Origin of Leading Twist Shadowing**
- **Non-Universal Antishadowing**
- **Heavy quark structure functions at high x**
- **Higgs production at large x_F**
- **Hadroproduction of new heavy quark states such as ccu, ccd at high x_F**
- **Novel Nuclear Effects from color structure of IC**
- **Fixed target program at LHC: produce bbb states**
- **Direct Hadroproduction at high p_T**