Mouse models of holoprosencephaly

Monica Hayhurst and Susan K. McConnell

Purpose of review

Holoprosencephaly (HPE) is the most common anomaly of forebrain development in humans. The pathogenesis of HPE results in a failure of the brain hemispheres to separate during early development. Here we review experimental models of HPE in which some of the genes known to cause HPE in humans have been disrupted in the mouse.

Recent findings

To date, mutations that cause HPE have been identified in seven genes. Three of these genes encode members of the Sonic hedgehog (SHH) signaling pathway, which regulates the development of ventral structures throughout the neuraxis. Two other HPE mutations affect signaling by Nodal ligands, which also play important roles in neural patterning. The roles of the two other known HPE genes are not yet clear. Analysis of genetically altered mice has revealed that mutations in other members of the SHH and Nodal signaling pathways also result in HPE phenotypes.

Summary

Studies of HPE in the mouse have provided a framework for understanding key developmental events in human brain development and may provide new candidate genes for human HPE. Despite this progress, fundamental mysteries remain about how molecules that pattern ventral brain regions ultimately disrupt the formation of the cerebral hemispheres in dorsal regions.

Keywords

brain patterning, forebrain, holoprosencephaly, Nodal, Sonic hedgehog

Curr Opin Neurol 16:135-141. © 2003 Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.

Department of Biological Sciences, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305, USA

Correspondence to Susan K. McConnell, Department of Biological Sciences, 385 Serra Mall, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305-5020, USA Tel: +1 650 725 8786; fax: +1 650 725 9832; e-mail: suemcc@stanford.edu

Current Opinion in Neurology 2003, 16:135-141

Abbreviations

BMPbone morphogenetic proteinCNScentral nervous systemSHHSonic hedgehog

© 2003 Lippincott Williams & Wilkins 1350-7540

Introduction

Holoprosencephaly is a devastating defect of brain development that results from mutations in genes required for the normal formation of the forebrain. During brain development, the rostral neural tube, which begins as a single fluid-filled chamber, bifurcates into the two cerebral hemispheres. Holoprosencephaly disrupts this bifurcation and results in a fusion of the two hemispheres. Studies of genetic defects in human patients have identified at least seven distinct genes that are mutated in holoprosencephaly; most of these are involved in known genetic pathways that govern the development of ventral brain structures. These two pathways involve the signaling molecules Sonic hedgehog (SHH) and Nodal, both of which can induce ventral cell fates in a mirror-symmetrical manner on either side of the midline. Although mutations in genes required for ventral midline development go far in explaining some of the defects in holoprosencephaly, fundamental mysteries remain about why and how failures in dorsal midline formation also occur in this disorder. Here we examine the molecular underpinnings of midline development as revealed by genetic mutations in the mouse. These studies collectively suggest that the disruption of pathways for ventral brain development results in anomalies consistent with human holoprosencephaly, including a failure in dorsal midline formation and the fusion of the two hemispheres.

Neural and genetic anomalies in holoprosencephaly

In the most severe cases of holoprosencephaly (alobar holoprosencephaly), hemispheric bifurcation fails to occur and the rostral brain forms a single holosphere, at times including the formation of a single cyclopic eye [1]. Within the telencephalon, both dorsal and ventral structures are fused and lack an obvious midline. In a less severe form of holoprosencephaly (semilobar holoprosencephaly), the anterior hemispheres fail to cleave but the posterior hemispheres are separated. In the most mild form (lobar holoprosencephaly), reasonably good hemispheric separation occurs but there is hypoplasia of the anterior cortical structures and variable cleavage of the basal ganglia and thalamic nuclei [1].

Holoprosencephaly has both environmental and genetic causes. For example, ingestion of the teratogen cyclopamine causes holoprosencephaly by disrupting signaling through the SHH pathway [2], which patterns the ventral midline throughout the central nervous system (CNS) [3]. Genetic studies have identified at least 12 loci

associated with familial or sporadic forms of holoprosencephaly, and seven of these loci have been definitively identified as specific genes [4]. Three of the known genes encode members of the SHH signaling pathway, which regulates ventral development in both the forebrain and the spinal cord [3,5-9]. Human mutations have been uncovered in Shh, which encodes a secreted signaling ligand localized at early stages to ventral domains in the developing neural tube; Patched (Ptc) [10], which encodes a receptor for SHH, and DispatchedA (DispA) [11[•]], which is required for the release and extracellular accumulation of Hedgehog (HH) proteins. Two additional holoprosencephaly mutations implicate the Nodal signaling pathway, which plays an important role in neural patterning. The transcriptional co-repressor tumor growth interacting factor (TGIF) [12] represses the activity of SMAD transcription factors, which are activated by Nodal signaling, and *Tdgf1/Cripto* encodes a membrane-associated protein that serves as a co-receptor for Nodal signaling. The two other known holoprosencephaly genes do not play an obvious role in either of the above pathways. Six3 encodes a homeodomain transcription factor expressed in the ventral forebrain [13], and Zic2 encodes a zinc-finger transcription factor homologous to odd-paired in Drosophila [14].

Sonic hedgehog and ventral brain development

Since the initial molecular identification of SHH in 1993, the signaling pathway by which SHH influences cell fate decisions has been well studied (Fig. 1). In the absence of ligand, the transmembrane protein Smoothened (SMO) is inhibited by the SHH receptor, Patched (PTC). Binding of SHH to PTC relieves this inhibition and initiates a rather complex series of intracellular events that converts GLI proteins into transcriptional activators [15]. SHH plays a well-characterized role in inducing ventral neuronal cell types along the entire rostrocaudal extent of the neuraxis, including the forebrain [8,16–19]. Below we review the phenotype of Shh mutant mice in the context of the developmental abnormalities seen in human holoprosencephaly. We then compare the Shh mutant phenotype with those found in mutations of other members of the SHH signaling pathway.

Mice bearing null mutations in *Shh* die shortly after birth, but before this time they develop defects resembling those in human holoprosencephaly cases involving *Shh* mutations. The loss of *Shh* function in mouse embryos is associated with a loss of ventral structures throughout the neural axis, including the ventral forebrain, as assessed by *Nkx2.1* expression [20,21^{••}] (Fig. 2). As in the mutant spinal cord, dorsal patterns of gene expression in the *Shh*-deficient forebrain expand to encompass regions normally fated to become ventral: for example, the entire brain vesicle expresses the dorsal telencephalic markers Emx1 [3] and Otx1 [21^{••}], which are normally excluded from ventral domains, and Pax6 and Otx2expand into the frontoventral regions, which normally show only low levels of expression [21^{••}]. Surprisingly, some markers normally expressed in lateral regions of the ventral telencephalon persist in *Shh* mutant animals. Both *Dlx2* and *Gsh2* are expressed in the mutant brain, but their patterns suggest that lateral structures have been displaced ventrally to encompass the midline [22^{••}] (Fig. 2).

Mice lacking Shh also develop cyclopia with an overlying proboscis, characteristic of severe holoprosencephaly [3]. The optic vesicle of Shh mutants is a single and continuous structure from its earliest appearance [3], suggesting that SHH plays a critical role in dividing a single eye field into two. During early development, Pax6 expression normally delineates a continuous region in the ventral diencephalon that is subsequently split into two regions, each of which gives rise to an optic vesicle. Removal of the prechordal plate (which expresses SHH) results in the maintenance of a single domain of Pax expression, whereas transplantation of this tissue is sufficient to suppress Pax6 [23]. In Shh mutant embryos, Pax6 is expressed in a continuous domain extending across the ventral midline of the neural tube [3], suggesting a failure in the normal bifurcation of the ventral eye field.

Sonic hedgehog and dorsal brain development

In the forebrains of *Shh* mutant mice, the formation of the dorsal midline is grossly disrupted [3]. During normal development, cells at the dorsal midline invaginate and divide the brain into left and right hemispheres. This invagination is accompanied by the formation of midline structures, including the choroid plexus and hippocampus. Midline structures fail to form in *Shh* mutant mice, and *Emx1*, which is normally excluded from the dorsal midline region, is expressed uniformly across the brain's dorsal surface [3].

How a ventrally localized signaling molecule, SHH, affects the development of cells located at a distance within the dorsal midline presents an intriguing mystery. Within the spinal cord, SHH acts in a concentration-dependent manner to induce distinct fates over relatively short distances [24]. *Shh* mutant mice show no obvious defects in the dorsal regions of the spinal cord, consistent with a local, ventral requirement for this signaling molecule. In contrast, *Shh* is required for normal dorsal midline development in the forebrain. It is possible that in the anterior neural tube, SHH plays a variety of inductive and regulatory roles that affect the establishment or maintenance of other local organizing





The release and extracellular accumulation of SHH is regulated by DispatchedA (Disp). In the absence of ligand, the activity of SMO is inhibited by PTC. Binding of SHH to PTC relieves this inhibition and leads to the conversion of GLI proteins into transcriptional activators. In the forebrain, GLI1 acts exclusively as an activator, whereas GLI2 can show a switch from repression to activation; however, telencephalic development is relatively normal in mice lacking both *Gli1* and *Gli2* [18]. GLI3 serves primarily as a repressor of SHH function, and forebrain development is largely rescued in *Shr*; *Gli3* double knockout mice. SHH can also bind to Megalin, but the role of this binding has yet to be elucidated fully.

This schematic is based on Fig. 4 from Litingtung and Chiang [19], reproduced with permission.

centers. For example, the loss of *Shh* leads to a failure of anterior neural cells to express the potent morphogen FGF8 [21^{••}], which may itself help to pattern anterior midline structures [25].

Surprisingly little is known about the molecular mechanisms that pattern the dorsal midline and partition the forebrain into two separate cerebral hemispheres. Cells in the dorsal midline region express bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) and Wnt ligands, which are thought to organize dorsal structures [25]. In particular, BMP ligands induce the expression of midline markers, suppress proliferation, and increase levels of cell death, all of which should play important roles in creating distinct hemispheres [26]. Fishell and colleagues (personal communication) have evidence that Bmp and Wnt gene expression is disrupted in Shh-deficient mice, consistent with the absence of a dorsal midline. However, Msx1 (a downstream target of BMPs) shows an expanded expression domain in Shh mutants, and the expression of Bmp2 and Bmp7 also show a moderate expansion [21^{••}]. Indeed, the process of hemispheric bifurcation appears to be more complicated than previously imagined; in contrast to Shh mutants, the cerebral hemispheres separate normally in mice bearing conditional mutations in Bmp4 [27] or a major BMP receptor Bmpr1a [28...], as well as in Wnt3a mutant mice [29]. The mechanisms by which Shh regulates hemispheric partitioning thus remain foggy at best.

The most direct link between SHH signaling and dorsal patterning is found in the antagonistic relationship between SHH and the dorsally expressed transcriptional regulator GLI3. GLI proteins are zinc-finger transcription factors that serve either as repressors or activators of Hedgehog target genes [30]. Although three Gli genes are expressed in the developing brain, telencephalic midline formation does occur in mice bearing homozygous mutations in both Gli1 and Gli2 [18]. These data suggest that the primary mediator of SHH signaling in the telencephalon must be GLI3, a potent repressor of SHH signaling. However, although much of the dorsal telencephalon is ventralized in Gh3 mutant mice and the midline expression of several *Bmp* genes is lost, two cerebral hemispheres do still form [18,22**,31]. Interestingly, dorsal-ventral patterning is largely rescued in mice that bear null mutations in both Shh and Gli3 [22...], suggesting that the main role of SHH in neural patterning may be to suppress the ventral expression of Gli3 and thus enable ventral phenotypes to develop.

The Sonic hedgehog signaling pathway and holoprosencephaly

Given that disruption of *Shh* results in severe holoprosencephaly in the mouse, it is not surprising that other components of the SHH signaling pathway are also required for normal forebrain development. However, the peculiar mechanisms that underlie SHH signaling predict that not all loss-of-function mutations in members of the SHH pathway should result in holoprosencephaly. For example, the SHH receptor PTC normally functions to repress signaling through SMO; repression is relieved when SHH binds to PTC (Fig. 1). The loss of PTC function results in a Figure 2. A summary of gene expression patterns in (a) wild-type mice and (b) Shh mutant mice



constitutive activation of SHH/SMO signaling [31]; consistent with this, Ptc1 null mutant mice show expanded ventral tissues [31]. Conversely, mutations that enhance PTC activity should decrease SHH activity and result in a holoprosencephaly phenotype. Indeed, missense mutations in PTC that cause holoprosencephaly in humans [10] are predicted to alter extracellular domains involved in SHH binding or intracellular regions that convert the PTC protein to an inactive state when SHH is present. Mice bearing such mutations in the forebrain have not yet been generated. The loss of SMO function, in contrast, should resemble the loss of SHH. Indeed, Smo knockout mice exhibit cyclopia and holoprosencephaly [32], but the brains of these mice have not been examined thoroughly, nor have human holoprosencephaly mutations been identified in this gene. The mutant mice die by embryonic day 9.5, consistent with a role for SMO in transmitting signals through multiple members of the Hedgehog family and not just SHH itself.

Several human holoprosencephaly mutations have been identified recently in the *DispA* gene [11[•]]. DISPA regulates SHH signaling by increasing the amount of the cleaved, active form of SHH protein available to neighboring cells [11[•]]. *DispA* mutant mice die by E9.5, but mutant embryos display midline defects and a fused eye field similar to defects seen in *Shh* and *Smo* knockout mice at early embryonic stages [11[•]].

A newly identified member of the SHH signaling pathway implicated in holoprosencephaly in mice is Megalin, a member of the low-density lipoprotein receptor family that binds SHH, and may serve either as a co-receptor for SHH or a regulator of SHH endocytosis and intercellular movement [33]. Mouse embryos deficient in *megalin* exhibit fused cerebral hemispheres [34], as expected if megalin is required for SHH signaling. To date no human holoprosencephaly mutations in *megalin* have been identified.

The Nodal signaling pathway and holoprosencephaly

Nodal signals play a role in many developmental processes, including mesoderm formation, specifying the anterior-posterior and left-right axes, and patterning the nervous system [35,36^{••},37,38]. Although no human holoprosencephaly mutations in nodal have yet been identified, mutations in both mouse and zebrafish suggest a role for Nodal signaling in the formation of ventral brain structures. Nodal mutant mice show severe holoprosencephaly [35]. This phenotype probably reflects an essential role for Nodal in establishing a domain of Shh expression in the rostral regions of the early embryo, because Nodal mutant mice fail to express Shh in areas anterior to the hindbrain. In addition, the prechordal plate, where Shh is normally expressed, is missing [35]. Similarly, Shh expression in the zebrafish forebrain depends on Nodal activity [36.], and mutations in the Nodal gene cyclops result in a fused telencephalic vesicle and cyclopia, presumably mediated by defects in the SHH pathway. Mice that are heterozygous for mutations in nodal and also heterozygous or homozygous for mutations in other genes (Smad2 or ActRIIA) likely to act in the Nodal pathway also exhibit variable cyclopic phenotypes [38,39].

The activity of Nodal signals can be regulated extracellularly by EGF-CFC co-factors, which include proteins encoded by the zebrafish *one-eyed pinhead (oep)* gene and the murine and human genes *Tdgf1 (Cripto)* and *Cfc1 (Cryptic)*. EGF–CFC proteins enhance the binding of Nodal proteins to type I and type II activinlike receptors, and thereby facilitate Nodal signaling. *Tdgf1/Cripto* is a recently characterized human holoprosencephaly gene [40]. In the mouse, mutations in *Cripto* lead to embryonic lethality by day 11.5 [41]; unfortunately, head development was not examined. However, mutation of the *Cripto* homolog *oep* in zebrafish leads to holoprosencephaly and cyclopia, consistent with a role for Nodal signaling in the early ventralization of the head region.

In each of the mutations discussed above, deficits in Nodal signaling appear to cause holoprosencephaly through a failure to induce Shh expression in the head. It is therefore surprising to find that in humans. missense mutations in TGIF cause holoprosencephaly [12]. TGIF is a transcription factor that inhibits signaling through Nodal/transforming growth factor beta pathways by blocking the action of SMAD proteins [42,43]. A loss of TGIF function would therefore be expected to enhance Nodal signaling; however, the phenotypes that result from mutations resemble those seen with a loss of Nodal function. No studies of brain development in TGIF mutant mice have yet been reported. However, we speculate that the requirement for TGIF in humans may not reflect its regulation of Nodal signaling, but rather might reflect a distinct role in inhibiting signals mediated by retinoic acid, which itself can inhibit Shh expression.

Prenatal exposure to retinoic acid in mice and humans causes telencephalic malformations within the holoprosencephaly spectrum [44], and human *TGIF* mutations can resemble these phenotypes [45]. TGIF can bind a retinoid receptor response element and thereby inhibit retinoic acid signaling [46]. Mutations in TGIF could thus potentially lead to a loss of repressor function, consequently mimicking the effect of excessive retinoic acid exposure. Because high doses of retinoic acid can downregulate *Shh* expression [47], it is conceivable that *Shh* expression is disrupted after the mutation of *TGIF*. However, this hypothesis remains to be tested in knockout mice.

Six3 and Zic2: outliers in holoprosencephaly

Six3 is closely related to Drosophila optix, a member of the Six/sine oculis gene family [48]. In the mouse, early Six3 expression demarcates the anterior border of the developing neural plate; later expression in the nervous system overlaps with that of Pax6, and is particularly prominent in the ventral eye field and in the optic recess, stalk, and vesicles [13]. No loss-of-function mutations for Six3 have yet been reported in mice; however, experiments in which levels of Six3 expression are reduced result in a failure of forebrain and eye development [49]. How Six3 regulates these developmental events is not known. One possibility is that Six3 enables cells to become competent to SHH signals [50]; thus, the absence of Six3 may preclude SHH from acting ventrally. Zic2 encodes a zinc finger transcription factor, which is a homolog of the Drosophila pair-rule gene odd-paired [51,52]. Zic2 is unique among holoprosencephaly genes in that its expression is localized to the dorsal and ventral midline regions of the telencephalon, rather than predominantly in the ventral regions as for other identified holoprosencephaly genes. This raises the possibility that Zic2 may serve as a key link between ventral signals in the telencephalon, such as SHH and Nodal, which somehow act at a distance to control the formation of dorsal structures.

Zic2 expression has been diminished in a partial lossof-function or 'knockdown' (kd) mouse line [52]. These mice show a strong holoprosencephaly phenotype in which the cerebral hemispheres are fused, and structures derived from the dorsal midline of the forebrain are missing or reduced [52]. In contrast to wild-type embryos, in which the dorsal midline contains few mitotic cells and many dying cells, processes that contribute to midline invagination, there are more mitotic and fewer apoptotic cells in Zic2^{kd/kd} mice [52]. In addition, the expression of Wnt3a, a roof plate marker, is reduced compared with wild-type animals [52]. However, unlike other mouse models for holoprosencephaly, Zic2 mutant mice show normal ventral neural tube formation, as revealed by Pax6 and Shh expression [52].

Remaining issues

Although mutational analysis in both human holoprosencephaly and in genetically engineered mice has focused on the SHH pathway, many questions remain. First, a known genetic locus has been identified for fewer than 20% of human cases of holoprosencephaly; one hopes that studies of mouse holoprosencephaly mutations will provide human geneticists with candidate genes for the majority of patients whose genetic lesions remain unknown. However, even for those patients with known mutations, huge gaps exist in our understanding of the mechanisms that underlie forebrain development. How defects in ventral brain patterning result in severe malformations of the dorsal brain regions remains a fundamental mystery that studies in the mouse may help to resolve. Furthermore, little is known about the developmental pathways followed by neurons and glial cells in the holoprosencephalic brain. Studies on gene expression in mice suggest that neurons in the dorsal regions adopt fates characteristic of neocortical regions, and that some aspects of ventral cell specification do occur. However, nothing is known about the neurotransmitter phenotypes, axonal connections, or functional properties of neurons in mice with holoprosencephaly. Further work on these models may thus not only identify new candidate genes, but may also provide an understanding of alterations in brain circuitry that will suggest new treatments or interventions in human holoprosencephaly.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Gord Fishell, Ami Okada, Elizabeth Alcamo, Bruce Schaar, and Song Wang for comments on the manuscript, and Gord Fishell for sharing unpublished data. Supported by NIH MH65261.

References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the annual period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
- •• of outstanding interest
- Kinsman SL, Plawner LL, Hahn JS. Holoprosencephaly: recent advances and new insights. Curr Opin Neurol 2000; 13:127–132.
- Cooper MK, Porter JA, Young KE, Beachy PA. Teratogen-mediated inhibition of target tissue response to Shh signaling. Science 1998; 280:1603–1607.
- 3 Chiang C, Litingtung Y, Lee E, et al. Cyclopia and defective axial patterning in mice lacking Sonic hedgehog gene function. Nature 1996; 383:407–413.
- 4 Wallis D, Muenke M. Mutations in holoprosencephaly. Hum Mutat 2000; 16:99–108.
- 5 Echelard Y, Epstein DJ, St-Jacques B, et al. Sonic hedgehog, a member of a family of putative signaling molecules, is implicated in the regulation of CNS polarity. Cell 1993; 75:1417–1430.
- 6 Ericson J, Briscoe J, Rashbass P, et al. Graded sonic hedgehog signaling and the specification of cell fate in the ventral neural tube. Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol 1997; 62:451–466.
- 7 Ericson J, Morton S, Kawakami A, et al. Two critical periods of Sonic Hedgehog signaling required for the specification of motor neuron identity. Cell 1996; 87:661–673.
- 8 Ericson J, Muhr J, Placzek M, et al. Sonic hedgehog induces the differentiation of ventral forebrain neurons: a common signal for ventral patterning within the neural tube. Cell 1995; 81:747–756.
- 9 Kohtz JD, Baker DP, Corte G, Fishell G. Regionalization within the mammalian telencephalon is mediated by changes in responsiveness to Sonic hedgehog. Development 1998; 125:5079–5089.
- 10 Ming JE, Kaupas ME, Roessler E, et al. Mutations in PATCHED-1, the receptor for SONIC HEDGEHOG, are associated with holoprosencephaly. Hum Genet 2002; 110:297–301.
- Ma Y, Erkner A, Gong R, et al. Hedgehog-mediated patterning of the mammalian embryo requires transporter-like function of dispatched. Cell 2002; 111:63–75.

This paper presents evidence that DISPA is critical for the release of soluble Hedgehog proteins.

- 12 Gripp KW, Wotton D, Edwards MC, et al. Mutations in TGIF cause holoprosencephaly and link NODAL signalling to human neural axis determination. Nat Genet 2000; 25:205–208.
- 13 Oliver G, Mailhos A, Wehr R, et al. Six3, a murine homologue of the sine oculis gene, demarcates the most anterior border of the developing neural plate and is expressed during eye development. Development 1995; 121:4045–4055.
- 14 Mizugishi K, Aruga J, Nakata K, Mikoshiba K. Molecular properties of Zic proteins as transcriptional regulators and their relationship to GLI proteins. J Biol Chem 2001; 276:2180–2188.
- 15 Ruiz IAA. The works of GLI and the power of hedgehog. Nat Cell Biol 1999; 1:E147–E148.
- 16 Ericson J, Muhr J, Jessell TM, Edlund T. Sonic hedgehog: a common signal for ventral patterning along the rostrocaudal axis of the neural tube. Int J Dev Biol 1995; 39:809–816.
- 17 Briscoe J, Pierani A, Jessell TM, Ericson J. A homeodomain protein code specifies progenitor cell identity and neuronal fate in the ventral neural tube. Cell 2000; 101:435–445.
- 18 Park HL, Bai C, Platt KA, et al. Mouse Gli1 mutants are viable but have defects in SHH signaling in combination with a Gli2 mutation. Development 2000; 127:1593–1605.
- 19 Litingtung Y, Chiang C. Control of *Shh* activity and signaling in the neural tube. Dev Dyn 2000; 219:143–154.

- 20 Pabst O, Herbrand H, Takuma N, Arnold HH. NKX2 gene expression in neuroectoderm but not in mesendodermally derived structures depends on sonic hedgehog in mouse embryos. Dev Genes Evol 2000; 210:47–50.
- Ohkubo Y, Chiang C, Rubenstein JL. Coordinate regulation and synergistic
 actions of BMP4, SHH and FGF8 in the rostral prosencephalon regulate morphogenesis of the telencephalic and optic vesicles. Neuroscience 2002; 111:1–17.

Studies of early brain development reveal a coordinated regulation between BMP, FGF and SHH-expressing signaling centers in the developing forebrain.

 Rallu M, Machold R, Gaiano N, et al. Dorsoventral patterning is established in
 the telencephalon of mutants lacking both *Gli3* and Hedgehog signaling. Development 2002; 129:4963–4974.

The authors show normal telencephalic patterning of SHH signaling by GLI3. Surprisingly, animals mutant for both *Shh* and *Gli3* show relatively normal dorsal-ventral patterning.

- 23 Li H, Tierney C, Wen L, et al. A single morphogenetic field gives rise to two retina primordia under the influence of the prechordal plate. Development 1997; 124:603–615.
- 24 Patten I, Placzek M. The role of Sonic hedgehog in neural tube patterning. Cell Mol Life Sci 2000; 57:1695–1708.
- 25 Hebert JM, Hayhurst M, Marks ME, et al. BMP ligands act redundantly to pattern the dorsal telecephalic midline. Genesis 2003 (in press).
- 26 Hebert JM, Mishina Y, McConnell SK. BMP signaling is required locally to pattern the dorsal telecephalic midline. Neuron 2002; 45:1029
- 27 Hebert JM, Hayhurst M, Marks ME, et al. BMP ligands act redundantly to pattern the dorsal telencephalic midline. Genesis 2003; (in press).
- 28 Hebert JM, Mishina Y, McConnell SKM. BMP signaling is required locally to

pattern the dorsal telencephalic midline. Neuron 2002; 35:1029–1041.
 Conditional knockouts of the BMP receptor *Bmpr1a* reveal a critical role for BMP signaling in the development of the most medial telecephalic derivative, choroid plexus.

- 29 Ikeya M, Lee SM, Johnson JE, et al. Wnt signalling required for expansion of neural crest and CNS progenitors. Nature 1997; 389:966–970.
- 30 Ruiz i Altaba A. Gli proteins encode context-dependent positive and negative functions: implications for development and disease. Development 1999; 126:3205–3216.
- 31 Goodrich LV, Milenkovic L, Higgins KM, Scott MP. Altered neural cell fates and medulloblastoma in mouse patched mutants. Science 1997; 277:1109– 1113.
- 32 Zhang XM, Ramalho-Santos M, McMahon AP. Smoothened mutants reveal redundant roles for Shh and Ihh signaling including regulation of L/R asymmetry by the mouse node. Cell 2001; 105:781–792.
- 33 McCarthy RA, Barth JL, Chintalapudi MR, et al. Megalin functions as an endocytic sonic hedgehog receptor. J Biol Chem 2002; 277:25660–25667.
- 34 Willnow TE, Hilpert J, Armstrong SA, et al. Defective forebrain development in mice lacking gp330/megalin. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1996; 93:8460– 8464.
- 35 Lowe LA, Yamada S, Kuehn MR. Genetic dissection of nodal function in patterning the mouse embryo. Development 2001; 128:1831–1843.
- Rohr KB, Barth KA, Varga ZM, Wilson SW. The nodal pathway acts
 upstream of hedgehog signaling to specify ventral telencephalic identity. Neuron 2001; 29:341–351.

In zebrafish, the loss of Nodal signaling results in the loss of ventral forebrain markers. SHH acts downstream of Nodal to pattern ventral structures.

- 37 Sampath K, Rubinstein AL, Cheng AM, et al. Induction of the zebrafish ventral brain and floorplate requires cyclops/nodal signalling. Nature 1998; 395:185–189.
- 38 Song J, Oh SP, Schrewe H, et al. The type II activin receptors are essential for egg cylinder growth, gastrulation, and rostral head development in mice. Dev Biol 1999; 213:157–169.
- 39 Nomura M, Li E. Smad2 role in mesoderm formation, left-right patterning and craniofacial development. Nature 1998; 393:786–790.
- 40 de la Cruz JM, Bamford RN, Burdine RD, et al. A loss-of-function mutation in the CFC domain of TDGF1 is associated with human forebrain defects. Hum Genet 2002; 110:422–428.
- 41 Xu C, Liguori G, Persico MG, Adamson ED. Abrogation of the *Cripto* gene in mouse leads to failure of postgastrulation morphogenesis and lack of differentiation of cardiomyocytes. Development 1999; 126:483–494.
- 42 Wotton D, Lo RS, Lee S, Massague J. A Smad transcriptional corepressor. Cell 1999; 97:29–39.

- 43 Wotton D, Lo RS, Swaby LA, Massague J. Multiple modes of repression by the Smad transcriptional corepressor TGIF. J Biol Chem 1999; 274:37105– 37110.
- 44 Sulik KK, Dehart DB, Rogers JM, Chernoff N. Teratogenicity of low doses of all-trans retinoic acid in presomite mouse embryos. Teratology 1995; 51:398– 403.
- 45 Orioli IM, Castilla EE, Ming JE, et al. Identification of novel mutations in SHH and ZIC2 in a South American (ECLAMC) population with holoprosencephaly. Hum Genet 2001; 109:1–6.
- 46 Bertolino E, Reimund B, Wildt-Perinic D, Clerc RG. A novel homeobox protein which recognizes a TGT core and functionally interferes with a retinoid-responsive motif. J Biol Chem 1995; 270:31178–31188.
- 47 Helms JA, Kim CH, Hu D, et al. Sonic hedgehog participates in craniofacial morphogenesis and is down-regulated by teratogenic doses of retinoic acid. Dev Biol 1997; 187:25–35.

- **48** Toy J, Yang JM, Leppert GS, Sundin OH. The *optx2* homeobox gene is expressed in early precursors of the eye and activates retina-specific genes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1998; 95:10643–10648.
- 49 Carl M, Loosli F, Wittbrodt J. Six3 inactivation reveals its essential role for the formation and patterning of the vertebrate eye. Development 2002; 129:4057–4063.
- 50 Kobayashi D, Kobayashi M, Matsumoto K, et al. Early subdivisions in the neural plate define distinct competence for inductive signals. Development 2002; 129:83–93.
- 51 Aruga J, Nagai T, Tokuyama T, et al. The mouse zic gene family. Homologues of the Drosophila pair-rule gene odd-paired. J Biol Chem 1996; 271:1043– 1047.
- 52 Nagai T, Aruga J, Minowa O, et al. Zic2 regulates the kinetics of neurulation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2000; 97:1618–1623.