

CONNECTICUT—CARBON MONOXIDE—Continued

Designated area	Designation		Classification	
	Date	Type	Date	Type
Bridgewater Town, New Milford Town AQCR 041 Eastern Connecticut Intrastate. Middlesex County (part): All portions except cities and towns in Hartford Area New London County: Tolland County (part): All portions except cities and towns in Hartford Area Windham County: AQCR 044 Northwestern Connecticut Intrastate. Hartford County (part) Hartland Township Litchfield County (part): All portions except cities and towns in Hartford, New Haven, and New York Areas.	Unclassifiable/Attainment.		
	Unclassifiable/Attainment.		

* * * * *
 [FR Doc. 98-26453 Filed 10-2-98; 8:45 am]
 BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 60

[FRL-6168-9]

New Source Performance Standards (NSPS)—Applicability of Standards of Performance for Coal Preparation Plants to Coal Unloading Operations

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Interpretation of standards of performance.

SUMMARY: EPA issued an interpretation of the New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) for Coal Preparation Plants, 40 CFR part 60, subpart Y, on October 3, 1997, in response to an inquiry from the Honorable Barbara Cubin, United States House of Representatives. After a careful review of NSPS Subpart Y, the relevant regulations under Title V of the Clean Air Act, and associated documents, EPA issued an interpretation concluding that coal unloading that involves conveying coal to coal plant machinery is subject to the NSPS, and that fugitive emissions, if any, from coal dumping must be included in a determination of whether a coal preparation plant is a major source subject to Title V permitting requirements. The full text of the interpretation appears in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of today's document.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Chris Oh, United States Environmental Protection Agency (2223A), 401 M

Street, SW., Washington, D.C. 20460, telephone (202) 564-7004.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This interpretation does not supersede, alter, or in any way replace the existing NSPS Subpart Y—Standards of Performance for Coal Preparation Plants. This notice is intended solely as a guidance and does not represent an action subject to judicial review under section 307(b) of the Clean Air Act or section 704 of the Administrative Procedures Act.

Analysis Regarding Regulatory Status of Fugitive Emissions From Coal Unloading at Coal Preparation Plants

This analysis addresses the treatment of fugitive emissions from coal unloading at coal preparation plants. The first question is whether coal unloading is regulated under the New Source Performance Standard (NSPS) for coal preparation plants, 40 CFR part 60, subpart Y. The second question is whether fugitive emissions from coal unloading must be included in determining whether the plant is a major source subject to Title V permitting requirements. In this analysis, we use the term "coal unloading" to encompass "coal truck dumping" and "coal truck unloading," as well as dumping or unloading from trains, barges, mine cars, and conveyors.

In a February 24, 1995, letter to the Wyoming Department of Environmental Quality, signed by the Branch Chief for Air Programs, EPA Region VIII concluded that coal unloading is not regulated by NSPS Subpart Y (i.e., is not an "affected facility"). Region VIII approached the Title V issue by first determining whether coal unloading is part of the NSPS coal preparation plant source category. Having decided that coal unloading at the coal preparation plant site is part of the source category,

Region VIII concluded that fugitive emissions from coal unloading must be included in determining whether the plant is a major source subject to Title V permitting requirements.

Our independent review of NSPS Subpart Y and associated documents leads us to conclude that coal unloading that involves conveying coal to plant machinery is regulated under Subpart Y. Thus, we disagree with the Region VIII letter to the extent it says that this type of coal unloading is not an affected facility. We agree with Region VIII's conclusion that fugitive emissions from coal unloading must be included in determining whether the plant is a major source subject to Title V permitting requirements. However, the relevant Title V regulations and related provisions indicate that the analysis should focus on the "source" rather than the "source category." In other words, the central question is not whether coal unloading is within the NSPS source category. Rather, it is whether coal unloading at a coal preparation plant is part of the source that belongs to this source category.

Accordingly, this analysis primarily addresses two issues: whether coal unloading is an affected facility under NSPS Subpart Y, and whether coal unloading is part of the source belonging to the coal preparation plant NSPS source category. Underlying the second issue is the question of whether fugitive emissions associated with coal unloading should be included in major source determinations.

The question of whether fugitive emissions from coal unloading should be included in major source determinations has implications for permitting requirements under Title V of the Clean Air Act ("CAA" or "the Act"). Under the current Title V

implementing regulations, States must require "major sources" to obtain a permit. 40 CFR 70.3. "Major source," in turn, is defined as "any stationary source (or any group of stationary sources that are located on one or more contiguous or adjacent properties, and are under common control of the same person (or persons under common control)) belonging to a single major industrial grouping * * *" that is also a major source under section 112 or a major stationary source under section 302 or part D of Title I of the Act. 40 CFR 70.2. Relevant to the analysis here is the section 302(j) definition of major stationary source as any stationary source that emits or has the potential to emit 100 tons per year (tpy) or more of any air pollutant. Section 302(j) also provides that fugitive emissions count towards the 100 tpy threshold as determined by EPA by rule.

Pursuant to CAA section 302(j), the EPA has determined by rule that fugitive emissions count towards the major source threshold for all sources that belong to source categories regulated under the New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) as of August 7, 1980. 49 FR 43202, 43209 (October 26, 1984). Because coal preparation plants are regulated by an NSPS (40 CFR part 60, subpart Y) which was proposed on October 24, 1974 and promulgated on January 15, 1976, fugitive emissions from sources that belong to the coal preparation plant source category count towards this threshold. Thus, if coal unloading is part of the source belonging to the coal preparation plant source category, then fugitive emissions from coal unloading must be included in the major source determination.

After a careful review of NSPS Subpart Y, the relevant Title V regulations, and associated documents, we conclude that: (1) Coal unloading that involves conveying coal to plant machinery is an affected facility under NSPS Subpart Y; and (2) All coal unloading at a coal preparation plant is a part of the source belonging to the coal preparation plant source category. We also determine that all coal unloading at a coal preparation plant fits within the NSPS source category. Finally, we conclude that fugitive emissions from coal unloading must be counted in determining whether a coal preparation plant is a major source subject to Title V permitting requirements. The reasons for our conclusions are discussed below.

I. Is Coal Unloading an Affected Facility Under NSPS Subpart Y?

In NSPS Subpart Y, several emission points are identified and regulated as

part of a coal preparation plant. Subpart Y lists the following affected facilities: thermal dryers, pneumatic coal-cleaning equipment (air tables), coal processing and conveying equipment (including breakers and crushers), coal storage systems, and coal transfer and loading systems. Because coal unloading is not specifically listed, the relevant question is whether it is covered under one of the listed affected facilities.

EPA concludes that coal unloading that involves conveying coal to plant machinery fits within the definition of "coal processing and conveying equipment." 40 CFR 60.251(g) defines "coal processing and conveying equipment" as "any machinery used to reduce the size of coal or to separate coal from refuse, and the equipment used to convey coal to or remove coal and refuse from the machinery. This includes, but is not limited to, breakers, crushers, screens, and conveyor belts." The key phrases are "the equipment used to convey coal to * * * machinery" and "but is not limited to." While the "equipment" involved in coal unloading varies from plant to plant (the definition is written broadly enough to accommodate the differences), what is important is that the equipment perform the function of conveying. It should be noted that if the coal is unloaded for the purpose of storage, then the unloading activity is not an affected facility under NSPS Subpart Y. The coal must be directly unloaded into receiving equipment, such as a hopper, to be subject to the provisions of NSPS Subpart Y.

In addressing this question, EPA also reviewed a number of supplementary documents associated with NSPS Subpart Y.¹ The supplementary documents, with one exception, are consistent with our conclusion that coal unloading, if it involves conveying coal to plant machinery, is an affected facility.

The 1977 Inspection Manual identifies coal unloading areas as key areas for fugitive emissions. It addresses fugitive emissions from coal unloading in the context of both emission performance tests and periodic compliance inspections. The manual states that the emission performance

tests are "intended to serve as a basis for determining [the] compliance status of the plant during later inspections." The manual provides a checklist for recording test results; this checklist includes places for recording emission opacity percentages associated with unloading from trucks, barges, or railroads. The manual also instructs the inspectors to use the emissions test checklist for periodic compliance inspections. The inspectors are instructed to compare current plant operations with those recorded during the emissions performance tests. Clearly, this manual, which was issued less than a year after Subpart Y was promulgated, treats coal unloading as an affected facility.

The 1980 Review, in contrast, states that "[a] significant source of potential fugitive emission not regulated by current NSPS are coal 'unloading' or 'receiving' systems." This is later tempered by the statement that "coal unloading systems were not mentioned as affected facilities." The 1980 Review does not explore whether coal unloading, although not specifically listed, might be covered by the definition of "coal processing and conveying equipment."

The 1988 Review does not specifically address coal unloading as an affected facility, but it assumes that coal unloading is one of the sources of fugitive emissions covered by the NSPS. For example, the 1988 Review identifies truck dumps as one of the sources of fugitive emissions at a coal preparation plant and lays out the cost of controlling fugitive emission sources at the plant. These cost figures are used in calculating the cost effectiveness of the existing NSPS. This cost effectiveness calculation is based on the premise that complying with the NSPS means controlling fugitive emissions, including emissions from truck dumps.

In light of the above information, EPA concludes that coal unloading that involves conveying coal to machinery at coal preparation plants is an affected facility under the NSPS for coal preparation plants (40 CFR part 60, subpart Y) and is subject to all requirements applying to "coal processing and conveying equipment." EPA recognizes that past determinations on the applicability of Subpart Y to coal unloading varied from Region to Region. Therefore, we will notify all Regional Offices of this conclusion. In the Regions that have been exempting coal unloading from NSPS Subpart Y, no penalties will be sought for past violations. We expect that coal preparation plants will be able to control emissions from such coal

¹The documents used in this discussion are the following: EPA document number 340/1-77-022 (dated 11/77): "Inspection Manual for Enforcement of New Source Performance Standards: Coal Preparation Plants" ("1977 Inspection Manual"); EPA document number 450/3-80-022 (dated 12/80): "A Review of Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources—Coal Preparation Plants" ("1980 Review"); EPA document number 450/3-88-001 (dated 2/88): "Second Review of New Source Performance Standards for Coal Preparation Plants" ("1988 Review").

unloading in the future through use of add-on controls.

II. Is Coal Unloading Part of the Source That Belongs to the Source Category for Coal Preparation Plants?

Whether a facility has been regulated as an affected facility does not determine whether fugitive emissions from that facility are to be counted in determining whether the source as a whole is major under Title V. Rather, if the facility is part of a source that falls within a source category which has been listed pursuant to section 302(j) of the Act, then all fugitive emissions of any regulated air pollutant from that facility are to be included in determining whether that source is a major stationary source under section 302 or part D of Title I of the Act and accordingly required to obtain a Title V permit.

Section 302(j) of the Act provides that EPA may determine whether fugitive emissions from a "stationary source" count towards the major source threshold. For purposes of the 302(j) rulemaking, the term "stationary source" is defined as "any building, structure, facility, or installation which emits or may emit any air pollutant subject to regulation under the Act." 40 CFR 51.166(b)(5) and 52.21(b)(5). Building, structure, facility, or installation means "all of the pollutant emitting activities which belong to the same industrial grouping, are located on one or more contiguous or adjacent properties, and are under the control of the same person (or persons under common control) except the activities of any vessel." 40 CFR 51.166(b)(6) and 52.21(b)(6).

EPA has determined by rule that fugitive emissions count towards the major source threshold for all sources that belong to the source category regulated by NSPS Subpart Y. 49 FR 43202, 43209 (October 26, 1984). Under the definition of source used in the 302(j) rulemaking, all types of coal unloading at coal preparation plants are covered. Coal unloading normally belongs to the same industrial grouping as other activities at coal preparation plants, is located on contiguous or adjacent property, and is under common control. Therefore, EPA concludes that all coal unloading at a coal preparation plant is part of the source belonging to the source category for coal preparation plants.

Coal unloading of all types also fits within the NSPS source category. A survey of EPA Regional Offices indicated that the majority of the Regions treat coal unloading at a coal preparation plant as being within the NSPS source category. Coal unloading

that is regulated under Subpart Y is clearly within the source category. Common sense would dictate that coal unloading for temporary storage be treated no differently. It is performed at the same facility and is an integral part of the operations at that facility. The latter type of coal unloading is simply an optional first step in the coal preparation process.

EPA concludes that fugitive emissions from coal unloading must be counted in determining whether a coal preparation plant is a major source subject to Title V permitting requirements.

Dated: September 16, 1998.

Kenneth A. Gigliello,

Acting Director, Manufacturing, Energy and Transportation Division, Office of Compliance.

[FR Doc. 98-26632 Filed 10-2-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 82

[FRL-6171-9]

Protection of Stratospheric Ozone: Reconsideration of Petition Criteria and Incorporation of Montreal Protocol Decisions

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Partial withdrawal of direct final rule.

SUMMARY: With this action, due to receipt of adverse comments, EPA is withdrawing thirteen of the provision included in the direct final rule published in the **Federal Register** on August 4, 1998. EPA published both the direct final rule (63 FR41625) and a notice of proposed rulemaking (63 FR 41652) on August 4, 1998, to reflect changes in U.S. obligations under the Montreal Protocol on Substance that Deplete the Ozone Layer (Protocol) due to recent decision by signatory countries to this international agreement, to respond to a petition regarding the requirement in the petition process for imports of used class I controlled substances that a person must certify knowledge of tax liability, and to ease the burden on affected companies while continuing to ensure compliance with Title VI of the CAA and meet U.S. obligation under the Protocol.

DATES: The following provisions of the direct final rule published at 63 FR 41626 (August 4, 1998) are withdrawn, as of October 5, 1998.

(1) The addition to 40 CFR 82.3 of the definition for "individual shipment,"

(2) The addition to 40 CFR 82.3 of the definition for "national security allowances,"

(3) The addition to 40 CFR 82.3 of the definition for "non-objection notice,"

(4) The addition to 40 CFR 82.3 of the definition for "source facility,"

(5) The revision of newly designated 40 CFR 82.4(j),

(6) The addition of paragraph (t)(3) in newly designated 40 CFR 80.4(t),

(7) The addition of paragraph (u)(3) in newly designated 40 CFR 80.4(u),

(8) The addition of paragraph (a)(5) in revised 40 CFR 82.9(a),

(9) The addition of 40 CFR 82.9(g),

(10) The addition of 40 CFR 82.12(a)(3),

(11) The addition of 40 CFR

82.13(f)(2)(xvii), (g)(1)(xvii), and

(g)(4)(xv) and the revision of newly

designated 40 CFR 82.13(f)(3)(xiii),

(12) The revision of 40 CFR

82.13(g)(2) and (3), and

(13) The revision of 40 CFR 82.13(u).

ADDRESSES: Comments and materials supporting this rulemaking are contained in Public Docket No. A-92-13 at: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street SW, Washington, D.C. 20460. The Public docket is located in Room M-1500, Waterside Mall (Ground Floor). Dockets may be inspected from 8 a.m. until 12 noon, and from 1:30 p.m. until 3 p.m., Monday through Friday. A reasonable fee may be charged for copying docket materials.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Tom Land, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Stratospheric Protection Division, Office of Atmospheric Programs, 6205J, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC, 20460, (202)-564-9185.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: As stated in the **Federal Register** document, if adverse comments were received by September 3, 1998 on one or more of the provisions, a timely notice of withdrawal would be published in the **Federal Register**. EPA received adverse comments on the following thirteen provisions: (1) the addition to 40 CFR 82.3 of the definition for "individual shipment," (2) the addition to 40 CFR 82.3 of the definition for "national security allowances," (3) the addition to 40 CFR 82.3 of the definition for "non-objection notice," (4) the addition to 40 CFR 82.3 of the definition for "source facility," (5) the revision to newly designated 40 CFR 82.4(j) prohibiting the import of used class I controlled substance without a non-objection notice, (6) the addition to newly designated 40 CFR 82.4(t) of paragraph (t)(3), under which EPA would allocate