

December 20, 2001

(AR-18J)

Robert F. Hodanbosi, Chief
Division of Air Pollution Control
Ohio Environmental Protection Agency
122 South Front Street
P. O. Box 1049
Columbus, Ohio 43266-1049

Dear Mr. Hodanbosi:

I am writing this letter to provide guidelines on the content of an adequate statement of basis (SB) as we committed to do in our November 21, 2001, letter. The regulatory basis for a SB is found in 40 C.F.R. § 70.7(a) (5) and Ohio Administrative Code (OAC) 3745-77-08(A) (2) which requires that each draft permit must be accompanied by "a statement that sets forth the legal and factual basis for the draft permit conditions." The May 10, 1991, preamble also suggests the importance of supplementary materials.

"[United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA)]...can object to the issuance of a permit where the materials submitted by the State permitting authority to EPA do not provide enough information to allow a meaningful EPA review of whether the proposed permit is in compliance with the requirements of the Act." (56 FR 21750)

The regulatory language is clear in that a SB must include a discussion of decision-making that went into the development of the Title V permit and to provide the permitting authority, the public, and the USEPA a record of the applicability and technical issues surrounding issuance of the permit. The SB is part of the historical permitting record for the permittee. A SB generally should include, but not be limited to, a description of the facility to be permitted, a discussion of any operational flexibility that will be utilized, the basis for applying a permit shield, any regulatory applicability determinations, and the rationale for the monitoring methods selected. A SB should specifically reference all supporting materials relied upon, including the applicable statutory or regulatory provision.

While not an exhaustive list of what should be in a SB, below are several important areas where the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency's (OEPA) SB could be improved to better meet the intent of Part 70.

Discussion of the Monitoring and Operational Requirements

OEPA's SB must contain a discussion on the monitoring and operational restriction provisions that are included for each emission unit. 40 C.F.R. §70.6(a) and OAC 3745-77-07(A) require that monitoring and operational requirements and limitations be included in the permit to assure compliance with all applicable requirements at the time of permit issuance. OEPA's selection of the specific monitoring, including parametric monitoring and recordkeeping, and operational requirements must be explained in the SB. For example, if the permitted compliance method for a grain-loading standard is maintaining the baghouse pressure drop within a specific range, the SB must contain sufficient information to support the conclusion that maintaining the pressure drop within the permitted range demonstrates compliance with the grain-loading standard.

The USEPA Administrator's decision in response to the Fort James Camas Mill Title V petition further supports this position. The decision is available on the web at http://www.epa.gov/region07/programs/artd/air/title5/petitiondb/petitions/fort_james_decision1999.pdf. The Administrator stated that the rationale for the selected monitoring method must be clear and documented in the permit record.

Discussion of Applicability and Exemptions

The SB should include a discussion of any complex applicability determinations and address any non-applicability determinations. This discussion could include a reference to a determination letter that is relevant or pertains to the source. If no separate determination letter was issued, the SB should include a detailed analysis of the relevant statutory and regulatory provisions and why the requirement may or may not be applicable. At a minimum, the SB should provide sufficient information for the reader to understand OEPA's conclusion about the applicability of the source to a specific rule. Similarly, the SB should discuss the purpose of any limits on potential to emit that are created in the Title V permit and the basis for exemptions from requirements, such as exemptions from the opacity standard granted to emissions units under OAC rule 3745-17-07(A). If the permit shield is granted for such an exemption or non-applicability determination, the permit shield must also provide the determination or summary of the determination. See CAA Section 504(f) (2) and 70.6(f) (1) (ii).

Explanation of any conditions from previously issued permits that are not being transferred to the Title V permit

In the course of developing a Title V permit, OEPA may decide that an applicable requirement no longer applies to a facility or otherwise not federally enforceable and, therefore, not necessary in the Title V permit in accordance with USEPA's "White Paper for Streamlined Development of the Part 70 Permit Applications" (July 10, 1995). The SB should include the rationale for such a determination and reference any supporting materials relied upon in the determination.

I will also note that for situations that not addressed in the July 10, 1995, White Paper, applicable New Source Review requirements can not be dropped from the Title V permit without first revising the permit to install.

Discussion of Streamlining Requirements

The SB should include a discussion of streamlining determinations. When applicable requirements overlap or conflict, the permitting authority may choose to include in the permit the requirement that is determined to be most stringent or protective as detailed in USEPA's "White Paper Number 2 for Improved Implementation of the Part 70 Operating Permits Program" (March 5, 1996). The SB should explain why OEPA concluded that compliance with the streamlined permit condition assures compliance with all the overlapping requirements.

Other factual information

The SB should also include factual information that is important for the public to be aware of. Examples include:

1. A listing of any Title V permits issued to the same applicant at the plant site, if any. In some cases it may be important to include the rationale for determining that sources are support facilities.
2. Attainment status.
3. Construction and permitting history of the source.
4. Compliance history including inspections, any violations noted, a listing of consent decrees into which the permittee has entered and corrective action(s) taken to address noncompliance.

I do understand the burden that the increased attention to the SB will cause especially during this time when OEPA has been working so hard to complete the first round of Title V permit issuance. I do hope that you will agree with me that including the information listed above in OEPA's SB will only improve the Title V process. If you would like examples of other permitting authorities' SB, please contact us. We would be happy to provide you with some. I would also mention here that this additional information should easily fit in the format OEPA currently uses for its SB. We look forward to continued cooperation between our offices on this issue. If you have any questions, please contact Genevieve Damico, of my staff, at (312) 353-4761.

Sincerely yours,

/s/

Stephen Rothblatt, Chief
Air Programs Branch