ANALYZING SEX

enhances all phases of research

- Report the sex of your subjects, even in single-sex studies
- Report the sex distribution of the cells, animals, or human subjects
- · Report how information on sex was obtained
- Disaggregate reported results by sex
- Ensure that sex variations are properly visualized in the tables, figures, and conclusions
- Avoid overemphasising sex differences. Are observed sex differences of practical significance? (Maney et al., 2016; Ribbon et al., 2014)
- Report all results: positive, negative, and inconclusive
- Consider following the SAGER publication guidelines (Heidari et al., 2016).
- Identify problem Design research Sex Collect Disseminate data Analyze
- Examine overlaps between and <u>variations within groups of different sexes</u> (see, e.g., Maney et al., 2016)
- Consider the source of any sex difference observed, including the role of environmental, genetic, hormonal, or anthropometric factors
- When examining sex differences, adjust for possible intersecting and confounding factors (e.g. age). Overlooking confounding factors may result in overemphasising sex differences
- In longitudinal studies, examine how observed sex variations evolve over time
- Analyze how observed sex differences may vary by factors such as age, ethnicity, socioeconomic status

- Sex may play a role in all studies involving human or non-human animals
- Perform a literature review to identify how sex may be of relevance to your study (Moerman et al., 2009).
- Consider whether sex is a covariate, confounder, or explanatory variable
- Consider what sex-related characteristics are of relevance to your study (e.g. genetic, physiological, hormonal, anthropometric, biomechanical, injury thresholds, levels of pain tolerance, etc.) (Tannenbaum et al., 2019)
- Consider how sex-related factors interact with gender, ethnicity, age, socioeconomic status, lifestyle, etc.
- Consider what opportunities have been missed in the past as a result of failing to analyze sex
 - Sex may serve as a direct <u>explanatory factor or act as a potential modulator</u> for associations between other factors; drawing a causal diagram helps make underlying assumptions explicit (see e.g. Buckley et al. 2017)
 - In experimental studies, consider factorial designs to reduce the sample size required for sex-based comparisons (Buch et al. 2017; Miller et al. 2019)
 - Consider how sex should be conceptualised in data collection; does your research concern physiological, hormonal, anthropometric, or biomechanical aspects? (Tannenbaum et al., 2019)
 - In longitudinal research, consider how reproductive history may influence the cohort under investigation; will, e.g., data acquisition be impacted if females get pregnant during the study?
 - Consider how to collect information on <u>intersex subjects</u> and <u>hermaphrodite animals</u>
 - Include adequate numbers of females and males and, where relevant, intersex or hermaphrodites of different configurations in research samples
 - Record information on factors that <u>intersect</u> with sex (e.g. age, life-style, socioeconomic status)
 - In experiments, consider how the sex of the researcher may impact research outcomes (Chapman et al. 2018)
 - In survey research, <u>questions about gender</u> should not be used as a proxy for birth sex
 - In product and systems design, data collection should pay careful attention to anthropometric, biomechanical, and physiological factors that vary by sex (Tannenbaum et al., 2019; Jingwen et al. 2012)

Works Cited

- Buch, T. et al. (2019). Benefits of a factorial design focusing on inclusion of female and male animals in one experiment. J. Mol. Med. 97, 871–877.
- Buckley, J. P., Doherty, B. T., Keil, A. P., & Engel, S. M. (2017). Statistical approaches for estimating sex-specific effects in endocrine disruptors research. *Environmental health perspectives*, 125(6), 067013.
- Chapman, C. D., Benedict, C., & Schiöth, H. B. (2018). Experimenter gender and replicability in science. Science advances, 4(1), e1701427.
- Jingwen, H. U., Rupp, J. D., & Reed, M. P. (2012). Focusing on vulnerable populations in crashes: recent advances in finite element human models for injury biomechanics research. *J. Automot. Saf. Energy*, *3*, 295-307.
- Maney, D. L. (2016). Perils and pitfalls of reporting sex differences. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 371(1688), 20150119.
- Miller, L. R. et al. (2017). Considering sex as a biological variable in preclinical research. FASEB J. 31, 29–34
- Moerman, C., Deurenberg, R., & Haafkens, J. (2009). Locating sex-specific evidence on clinical questions in MEDLINE: a search filter for use on OvidSP. BioMed Central Medicine Medical Research Methodology, 9(1), 25.
- Rippon, G., Jordan-Young, R., Kaiser, A., & Fine, C. (2014). Recommendations for sex/gender neuroimaging research: key principles and implications for research design, analysis, and interpretation. *Frontiers in human neuroscience*, 8, 650.