.....

THE COMP

The state

Alter States

in

VEGETATION CONTROL PROGRAM CY1968 (U)

L. (C) INTRODUCTION

A. BACKGROUND:

1. In 1963, CG I US Corps (GP) proposed the use of herbicides in the DMZ to improve observation and fields of fire and to dany hostile forces the concealment provided by vegetation. A feasibility study was requested and ultimately provided by the U. S. Army Biological Laboratories, Fort Detrick, Maryland. The study recommended that applications of herbicides be made using C-123 aircraft. Due to the possibility of accusations of armistice violations, and a resulting potential propaganda harvest by the Communist world, approval was denied by CINCUNC. However, the then ROK VI Corps Chemical Officer has reported that in late 1963 a small quantity of a commercial herbicide (2, 4, D) was used in selected areas such as observation posts and guard posts to clear fields of fire. Lacking specific technical guidance, ROKA forces applied 2, 4, D to grassy areas unaware that 2, 4, D is specific for broad leaf vegetation and has little or no effect upon annual and perennial grasses.

2. In October 1965, the 2nd U. S. Infantry Division requested that herbicides be investigated for use in controlling growth within the anti-infiltration barrier. It was pointed out that certain chemicals, i.e. Post Engineer R&U herbicides and TO/E equipment were already on-hand and capable of employment. The request was staffed and once again denied due to possible adverse North Korean or third-country reactions.

3. In early 1967, as part of a general review of the DMZ defenses, UNG/USFK found that cover, provided North Korean inflitration or raiding parties by the vegetation within the DMZ and contiguous areas, had grown unencumbered since the Armistice and was an important part of the DMZ defensive problem. Dense uncontrolled growth significantly hampers UNC defensive operations while enemy inflitration operations are enhance.

DINT

1.1

SARA STATES

3

5

3.

CONFIDENTIA

Effective use of night vision devices was affected by dense foliage and frequently movements of UN Forces into defensive positions were being hampered. It was decided to study how best to control vegetation, provide selected cleared areas and yet stay within the Armistice agreement. Various means including hand clearing, mechanical clearing, and use of herbicides were studied with regards to effectiveness, initial and recurring costs, and other pertinent factors to include adverse Communist and third-country reactions. As part of this evaluation, tests of herbicides were conducted in small selected areas near, but South of, the DMZ South tape to establish the parameters for vegetation control in Korea. Based on test results, plans were to be prepared for future full scale application in the area between the DMZ South tape and the Civilian Control Line.

4. The planning for the herbicide testing in Korea revealed the desirability of obtaining State Department approval of the program. This approval was requested by a Country team message. Numerous messages were dispatched during the period May through September 1967. During this period, the political implications were carefully analyzed and the U. S. Mission to the United Nations (USUN) was contacted for comment. Based on USUN support and Country team assurance that political implications were manageable. Secretary of State, in September 1967, authorized discussion of the program with the ROK Government. These discussions provided the acceptance of the program by the ROK Prime Minister and on 20 September 1967, permission for herbicide testing in Korea was granted.

5. As a result of the 20 September 1967 State Department Authority to implement herbicide testing plans, HQ Eighth U.S. Army (EUSA) issued implementing instructions to First ROK Army (FROKA) and I US Corps (GP) to make test applications of available herbicides Monuron (Telvar) and 2,4, D on flat terrain (2nd US Inf Div) and in the mountains (21st ROK Inf Div). Despite the lateness of the growing season, it was decided that these applications were necessary in order to train personnel, evaluate available dispensing equipment, test North Korean, ROK and thirdcountry reactions. A summary of test results is attached as Annex A.

3882

1. 19

CONFIDENTIAL

6. Based on the assumption that Secretaries of State and Defense would approve a herbicide program in Korea and I US Corps (GP) were alerted on 16 January 1968 to initiate planning for a comprehensive vegetation control program.

7. On 4 March 1968, COMUSKOREA was authorized to employ herbicides as part of the vegetation control program in Korea. To preclude the possibility of unfavorable propaganda and to insure that defoliants would be properly employed with a margin of safety, CG EUSA directed that the following restraints be placed on the vegetation control program (Annex B, appendix 1):

(a) Defoliants will not be employed North of the Southern boundary of the DMZ.

(b) During application, care will be taken to insure that there is neither run-off nor spray drift into areas North of the Southern boundary of the DMZ.

(c) Defoliants should not be applied during precipitation or when rain is expected within 12 hours after application.

(d) Extreme caution will be exercised to avoid damage to food crops.

(e) Defoliants will not be dispensed from aircraft of any type.

(f) A KMAG representative will be physically present whenever and wherever defoliants are employed.

8. Planning Conferences were held on 3-4 March 1968 in the Engineer Operations Division EUSA to review FROKA and I US Corps (GP) plans and to coordinate details for anticipated implementation. Action personnel from EUSA G-3, G-4, and Engineer, HQ KMAG, Det L KMAG, HQ FROKA, HQ I US Corps (GP) and HQ And US Inf Division attended the conference.

ONFIDENTIAL

2 A. P. M. M. T. M.

2

The state

÷

60

As a result of the planning conferences, detailed plans were approved and on 10 March 1968, the EUSA directive for the Vegetation Control Program CY68(U) was released with instructions to implement on order (Annex B, appendix I).

ONEID

9. By 20 March 1968, material and equipment began to arrive in the country and in an orderly manner was distributed forward to using units. At this point, confusing information was received from supply agencies in CONUS concerning the soil-applied herbicide, Monuron. The original CIGCOREP requirement requested UROX 22, however, EUSA G-4 was informed that Monuron (Telvar) was being shipped. Since there is a wast difference in the amount of active ingredient in Telvar and UROX 22, different application rates are used; therefore, 259 lbs of UROX 22 per acre as compared with 50 lbs of Telvar per acre. Accordingly, plans and allocations were quickly changed. However, on 8 April 1968, supplies of Monuron arrived in Korea and were confirmed as UROX 22, a pelletized form of Monuron.

10. In mid March, comprehensive briefings on vegetation control including technical information on herbicides, means of application, and expected results were presented to key personnel of HQ I.US Corps (GP) and 2nd US Inf Division by Senior Chemical Advisor, Headquarters, KMAG. These briefings' specifically presented the restraints and controls directed by JCS and CINC/UNC. The Chemical Advisor, Detachment L, KMAG presented identical briefings in bilingual format to key personnel of HQ FROKA, each ROK Corps HQ, and KMAG Detachments West, Center, and East.

17. On 31 March 1968, CG EUSA ordered implementation of the Vegetation Control Program CY68 to be initiated on or about 15 April 1968 (Annex B, appendix 2).

b. GENERAL:

la. In March 1967, at the direction of the CG EUSA, a study group was organized for the purpose of conducting a study

CNEIDENTIAL

1

to determine the requirements for clearing vegetation and foliage in areas contiguous to and immediately south of the southern boundary of the DMZ. The EUSA Engineer was assigned responsibility for this task and was assisted as required by representatives from EUSA G-3, G-4, and KMAG. As defoliation was included as a part of the CIGOCOREP plan which was actioned prior to completion of the study groups findings, a formal documented study was never staffed nor published. The decision to employ defoliants overteek the need for staffing the study; however, it has been retained in the Operations Division, EUSA Engineer Section for historical value in two complete copies. It has been used as a reference document in the preparation of pertain pertions of this repeat.

Ib. Although the EUSA Engineer Study was neither staffed nor published, its primary conclusion was that the use of chemical centrol of vegetation along the DMZ, in conjunction with manual and mechanical means, is practical, manageable, politically acceptable, and if appropriate chemicals are supplied is within the current capability of EUSA and the Republic of Korea Army. The comparison of techniques and resultant estimated costs in funds and manpower revealed the following comparison: (Annex C, Comparison and Estimated Costing of Clearing Techniques.)

Technique of Clearing		Cost per Acre	Man-hours per Acre		
Manual		\$467	227		
Mechanical		\$1.60	20		
Chemical		\$408	25		

2. The planning responsibility for vegetation control was delegated to EUSA Engineers rather than to a staff agency within EUSA headquarters where a staff chemical officer was assigned, However, technical advice and assistance was provided by the Senior Chemical Advisor, KMAG and efforts were closely coordinated between EUSA Engineer, Nuclear-CB Division G-3, and Chemical Advisory Section, Headquarters, KMAG.

ONFIDEN

,

-

13

Y.St. Alleria

i.

CONFIDENTIAL

. WEATHER, VEGETATION, SOIL AND TERRAIN:

A summary analysis of weather, vegetation, soil and terrain extracted from the EUSA Engineer Study "Clearance of Vegetation and Foliage in the DMZ Area" (U), 31 January 1968 is attached as Annex D.

d. CHARACTERISTICS OF HERBICIDES:

1 9 ... t. · pt

1. In March 1967, representatives of the Plant Sciences Laboratory, U.S. Army Biological Laboratories, Fort Detrick, Maryland visited Korea and inspected typical vegetation growth in selected areas contiguous to the DMZ. Based upon this - offer evaluation, the Plant Sciences Laboratory recommended the use of Agents Orange and Blue and a soil applied herbicide to control general and specific vegetation growth in Korea.

2. Considering both the field evaluation conducted in October 1967 and the recommendation of the Plant Sciences Laboratory, requirements for Agents Orange and Blue, and Monuron were included in the CIGCOREP Plan. A discussion of the technical characteristics of the recommended herbicides is attached as Annex E.

e. EQUIPMENT AND MATERIEL:

1. Requirements for equipment and materiel to support the Vegetation Control Program were established by the CIGCOREP Plan of which portions were approved and funded in early January 1968. A summary of regetation control equipment and materiel requirements is attached as Annex F.

2. Discussion:

(a) As Monuron UROX 22 is spread by hand or mechanical broadcast, no particular problem was anticipated in its application. 50 Mechanical BORAX weed killer dispensers were received to be used in mechanical broadcast of this agent. A photograph of this dispenser is attached as Figure F-1.

and the many services of the service

CONFIDENTIAL

(b) Both agents Orange and Blue are applied as a liquid spray. In addition, it had been anticipated that Monuron Telvar, which is applied in a liquid suspension, would be used in Korea. Accordingly, a requirement was established for a portable light-weight hypro-type pump spray apparatus with the expability of dispersing a liquid spray and a wettable powder suspension. The actual equipment received were 22 standard gasoline engine-driven insectiaids sprayers commonly used in Engineer Entomotogial Services. Upon test operation of the sprayer, it was determined that it was satisfactory for spraying agents Orange and Blue, but not estable of spraying a wettable powder suspension. Ultimately, this problem was solved by the receipt of pelistized Monuron UROX, rather than Monuron Telvar. A photograph of this sprayer is attached as Figure F-2.

(c) Based on the limited herbicide testing conducted in October 1967, FROKA recommanded the use of hand-held insecticide sprayers for small area spraying of liquid agents. 200 of these 2 and 3 gallon sprayers were provided for this purpose. A photograph of these sprayers is attached as Figure F-3.

CIGCOREP Plan, within ROKA and U.S. units there were available several types of TOE and TA equipment which were used for application of liquid defoliants as follows:

(1) ROKA had available 48 ca M8A2 Decontamination Trailers which were used to spray Agents Orange and Blue and to supplement storage and transportation of water for mixing and application. The M8A2 Decontamination Trailer consists of a 200 gallon capacity tank and a 25 HP GED pump unit mounted on a 11/2 ton trailer. A single hose reel allows the operator to move approximately 50 feet from the trailer and direct a liquid spray through the adjustable Beam type spray gun at a rate of 20 gallons per minute. A photograph of this equipment is attached at Figure F-4. (2) ROKA had available 10 ea M106 "Mitey Mite" dispensers which were used to supplement liquid spray capabilities. The M106 Dispenser is a commercial, back-pack, agricultural duster-sprayer that has been adapted for military use. It consists of a compact two-cycle gasoline engine which powers a blower to disperse liquid or powdered defoliants er riot control agents through a six foet flexible hose. A self contained tank provides the capability of dispersing 3 gallons of liquid agent, total weight of the disperser is 25 lbs less fuel or agent. A photograph of this disperser is attached as Figure F-5.

NEIDENTIAL

to small areas, the FROKA Chemical Officer deviced a field expedient consisting of crimping a perforated metal cap to the end of a flexible nozzle, then by attaching the flexible nozzle to a 5 gallon "Gil" gasoline can, the liquid agent was literally poured over vegetation similar to the manner a garden watering can is used. A photograph of this expedient is attached as Figure F-6.

11. (C) DEFOLIANT OPERATIONS

BEST COPY

(a))

1

A GENERAL

1. Prior to initiation of defoliant operations, a comprehensive briefing was prepared to inform commanders and staffs of the technical aspects of defoliant operations and to specifically delineate controls and restraints pertaining to application of defoliants. The Senior Chemical Advisor, HQ KMAG, assisted by EUGA Engineer Project Officer, briefed the commanders and staff personnel of HQ 2nd U.S. Inf Division and I US Corps (GP) on 21 and 22 March 1968, Senior Chemical Advisor, Detachment L, KMAG presented this same briefing in bi-lingual format to the commanders and staffs of Headquarters FROKA, each ROK Corps, and Detachments East, West, and Center in early April.

ě.

:5

01

2. To insure a coordinated effort in planning defoliant operations, a series of planning conferences were held in late March 1968 in the EUSA Engineer Operations Division. Those in attendance were representatives of EUSA Engineer, G-3, and G-4, Headquarters and Detachment L KMAG, I US Corps (GP), 2nd US Inf Div., FROKA and ROKA. For guidance in planning operations, conferees were instructed to prepare plans for defoliant operations along the following guidelines:

(a) Defoliant applications will be made only in the area north of the Civilian Control Line (CCL) and south of the southern boundary of the DMZ (South Tape).

> (b) Priorities of applications were established as

follows:

(1) Priority 1: A 100 meter strip on each side of the DMZ Security Fence System.

(2) Priority 2: Tactically significant areas in the vicinity of OP's, CP's and other vital areas. In order to preserve natural camouflage, manual clearing would be accomplished first and then defeliants would be applied to improve firing lanes and to deny concealment to would be infiltrators.

> (3) Priority 3: A 30 meter strip on each side of tactically significant roads in the forward areas.

(c) Based upon planning guidance, FROKA and I US Corps (GP) submitted plans for implementation listing quantities required by priority. See attached Annex G. Plans submitted were based on the planned receipt of Monuron Telvar (80% active ingredient) which required an application rate of 50 pounds per acre. The Eighth Army execution order informed appropriate action officers of the change in type of material and application rate and advised that quantities of Agent Orange and Blue be shifted into Priority 1 requirements to fill in the shortfall of 6, 340 acres caused by the change in types of defoliant.

ONFIDENTIA

dif

(d) By 10 April, supplies of defeliants and material for vegetation control were on-hand in forward locations in preparation of planned implementation date of 15 April 1968. A summary of material allocations is attached at Annex H.

OBSERVATIONS

1. Defeliant applications were initiated on 15 April 1968 with the application of Monuron in Priority 1 areas (see Annex L for photographic coverage). No particular difficulties were found in dispensing Monuron as it is spread by hand similar to the manner by which Korean farmers spread seeds or fertilizer. The usual technique involved was that an area selected. for Monuron application twis divided into several lanes and each man walked along his assigned lane spreading Monuron by hand or the mechanical spreader along approximately 5 meters on each side of his marked lane. Supplies of Monuron were spotted throughout the area to facilitate individual resupply along assigned lanes.

2. As of 38 April 1968, Monuron applications were completed in I US Corps (GP) area. In I US Corps (GP) area, the terrain is generally flat with some rolling hills along the DMZ summer and is relatively accessible by vehicular traffic; however, in the FROKA area the terrain becomes increasingly difficult moving eastward from the CHORWON Valley. In the extreme eastern area of the DMZ in the III ROK Corps area, some application sites were a four hour foot march from the nearest road. Work in these inaccessible areas progressed very slowly but improved when materiel and men were flown in by helicopter when available,

> 3. The action of Monuron is dependent upon rainfall to soak the active ingredient into the soil and penetrate the plant root zone. Almost coincidental with the application of Monuron, there was a minor drought in most of the areas which resulted in an unusually delayed response time to the defoliant. Once absorbed into the plants, the initial response to Monuron is very

(348)

「「「「「「「「」」」」」

they used it

Afterwards

Leaf.

similar to reaction to fertilizer and very little visible evidence is exhibited except a slight yellowing is apparent and then slowly foliage begins to turn brown and eventually defoliates completely as if the vegetation had been struck by a killing frost. In those areas where annual and perennial grasses appear, most vegetation did not even emerge leaving a strip almost devoid of all plants.

4. As Monuron is an all-purpose defoliant rather than a selective defoliant it effectively kills annual and perennial grasses which may lead to soil erosion problems in future years in those areas where it was applied along the DMZ Security System Trace.' To counter this problem's soil erosian program' has been planned in which sheep feacue and white dutch clover will be planted in susceptible areas to prevent serious erosion problem. This plan is being carefully coordinated at the working level to insure that seed and fertilizer will not be applied to areas that have been previously treated with Monuron. In this respect, it would appear that in future defoliant programs the use of a selective defoliant to control broadleaf vegetation and not affect grasses has merit. There are several off the shelf commercial herbicides that are specific for use against broadleaf vegetation but do not affect grasses. Agent WHITE, known commercially as TORDON 101; has been used in Vietnam for specific problem areas in which it was desired to preserve grasses. The use of liquid WHITE or pelletized formulation such as Tordon 10K along the DMZ Security System Trace should That means , the more satisfactory than the use of Monuron in that annual and perennial grasses would not be affected and once the bushy woody growth is reduced grasses would remain to prevent serious erosion problems. The remaining grasses could then be controlled through manual or mechanical means utilizing the jeep and tractor drawn mowers and other equipment provided by the CICCOREP Plan.

> 5. Application of the liquid Agents Blue and Orange began in mid May 1968 upon the emergence of foliage.

> > . 11

ONFIDENTIA

the.

(all

The

Drenching Method

X

(a) Agent Oranget

(1) Agent Orange was mixed with diesel oil at a ratio of 3 gallons of Orange to 50 gallons of diesel which was recommended as the application rate per acre to preclude regrowth of resistant vegetation. The only significant problem area involved was the lack of adequate spray equipment. Most application areas were relatively inaccessible to vehicular traffic which precluded the use of the M8A2 Decontamination Trailer. As only 22 liquid defoliant spray sets were available, expedient means of applying Orange were devised. Generally speaking, the expedient devices to apply liquid defoliants were ineffective in that they resulted in a waste of materiel as there is no way, other than by visual estimation, of accurately measuring an application rate per acre. In applying liquid defoliants, the most critical factor is liquid particle size. In aerial application, as employed in Vietnam, undiluted Agent Orange is sprayed from aircraft through specifically designed equipment to produce an aerial spray of the optimum particle size to facilitate absorption through the leaf surfaces of foliage. There is no visible evidence of plant damage for a period of several weeks as the agent is being translocated throughout the plant. Then, dramatically and vividly, the systemic herbicide Both and killing of the plant. Both methods of liquid dissemination used in Korea produced large droplets of liquid, in fact, the expedient methods of Orange application resulting in literally pouring the agent/oil mixture on foliage. The high concentration of diesel oil in the mixture immediately caused a browning of foliage which, in most cases, prevented the absorption of Agent Orange and its subsequent translocation. As a result of this "drenching" vegetation was defoliated and most of it eventually died; however, in some cases where controlled burning was not used to clear defoliated areas, there was some regrowth by mid July. In the opinion of Dr. James W. Brown, Plant Sciences Laboratory, U. S. Army Biological Laboratories, Fort Detrick, Maryland, the diesel oil was primarily responsible for defoliation and retarded growth rather than the Agent Orange. In an attempt to achieve optimum particle size from ground spraying operations, units were

advised to spray Orange in a fine mist and to direct the spray high into the air allowing the mist to settle on foliage. Although this procedure increased the risk of undesired spray drift, the effectiveness of Orange was increased. In regard to spray drift, it was realized that under certain conditions when the windspeed exceeds 5 knots per hour, that there was the possibility of spray being carried downwind and damaging sensitive vegetation. In the 2nd US Infantry Division area, the "fine spray" technique was employed successfully between the Imjin River and the South Tape where no farming is allowed. This technique was used only when distance and wind speed and direction precluded drift into the DMZ, and it was noted that sensitive foliage (primarily locust trees) within 100 to 200 meters downwind of the application area were frequently effected by spray drift.

(2) Although in advisory visits and briefings to key ROKA personnel, it was stressed that Agent Orange is a specific systemic herbicide for broadleaf plants and is ineffective against most annual and perennial grasses, a large quantity of Agent Orange was applied to grassy areas with the result that the growth of grass was retarded by the effect of diesel oil and within two to three weeks the grass began to grow again. This procedure in effect was a waste of time, manpower, and materiel in that although the application sites were tactically important, vegetation could have best been controlled by manual clearance or controlled burning.

> (3) Used properly, Agent Orange produces excellent results and in those areas covered with woody growth, broad leaved plants, vines, and trees, produced good results within a minimum period of time and required less effort than would be required by manual methods.

(4) There were no serious problem areas in maintaining the equipment used to apply Agent Orange. Spray equipment was thoroughly cleaned and flushed at the end of each day's operations; therefore, deterioration of rubber components, as expected, from the Orange/oil mixture was an minimized. There were some cases reported of checking and

cracking of rubber gaskets in the 2 or 3 gallon hand-held insecticide sprayer. In some instances, the 180 GPH. Insecticide Sprayers were damaged by rough handling in which the pressure value indicator glass was broken and the carrying frame bent. In mid June, 3 of the 22 sprayers were deadlined because of engine failure and there were no repair parts available in Korea. Five new engine assemblies were procured from CONUS and direct exchange of engines was performed by Ind S&T Battalien, 2nd U. S. Infantry Division. For future operations, a supply of repair parts and direct exchange parts should be stocked in-country to facilitate maintenance.

which is a so the Agent Blues of

Hpr

31.1 14:25 No.008 P.15

BEST COPY

2.

Lins

(1) Agent Blue was mixed with water at the ratio of three gallons of Blue to 50 gallons of water for spray application. The majority of locations sprayed with Agent Blue were located along readsides and areas easily reached by vehicles; consequently, the MSA2 Decontamination Trailers were used extensively for spraying Blue. As Agent Blue is particularly effective against rice and other cereal grain crops, precautions were taken to avoid damaging adjacent crop areas.

· Mit he is so we

NAIT DENAFK'COLD

wild rice and a tail grass commonly referred to as "Buffalo Grass" which grows in abundance in abandoned rice paddies and along rivers and streams. It was highly affective in drying out these grasses with a high water content and preparing them for controlled burning. In general, Agent Blue causes dessication of broad leaf and narrow leaf vegetation ultimately causing affective defoliation, but it does not necessarily cause plant kill in all cases. In those areas where applications of Blue were followed up by controlled burning, vegetation clearance was complete; in those areas not burned off, there has been coasiderable regrowth.

> 6. (a) Not all plant species react similarly to defoliants. The differential susceptibility may be a function of time of treatment, nature of the leaf surface, variable capacity for

> > FIDENTIAL

1FC: 202-865-1113

absorption and translocation of the defeliant, plant chemistry, or the nature of the defeliant itself. Thus one can expect different reactions of vegetative types, some will be easily defeliated and killed while others will be comparatively resistant to the defeliant. Locust, treas and some oak appeared

BEST COPY

Pro Mar R. Siles Vana

to be extremely sensitive to Agent Orange. However, some grasses exhibited regrowth after the initial application of Agent Blue requiring a second application of the agent by mid summer.

(a produced the said three defoliants produced the said expected results with the exception that regrowth following Blue and Orange application was greater than anticipated. In measuring the overall tactical effectiveness of the defoliation project, one must consider the priorities that were directed by higher headquarters. Application of all three agents along the DMZ Security System fence line (Priority one) was tactically the most sound as it provided a clear area for observation and fields of fire and to a certain degree improved the effectiveness of night vision devices by producing an area of high contrast. When applied in Priority 2 areas around OP's and CP's, frequently an entire area was cleared thus exposing these installations to with enemy observation. Applications in Priority 3 areas were not too effective because the width of the area covered was usually less than 30 meters on each side of the road. This distance is not adequate to afford protection from ambush.

7. There were no serious major accidents or incidents as a result of defoliant application nor were there any safety problems in handling any of the material. The most serious______ accident occurred immediately prior to the application phase of the program when the Chemical Officer, 6th ROK Infantry Div was accidentally killed by a booby trap as he was returning from a reconnaissance of planned application areas.

(a) There were several minor incidents in which ROKA personnel detonated uncharted mines and booby traps, but no serious injuries were sustained.

15

NFIDENT

10

(₃₈)

1

4

(b) There were no problems encountered in the handling, storage or application of defoliant materials. It had been anticipated that some of the defoliants could possibly cause eye, nose, throat, and skin irritation; however, this effect was minimized by the wearing of gauge masks and gloves when handling the material and by washing upon completion of application. X

8. Ground application of defoliants requires extensive manpower and time. In addition to manpower actively employed in application, overhead is required to provide security and to provide mixing, filling and transportation of defoliant material to application sites ... Use, of relatively unsophisticated spray equipment results in estimated application rates, Durther, Agent Orange applied by ground spray means is not as effective as it could be. Use of an aerial spray system such as the AGRINAUTIC system developed for the UH-1 series helicopter would result in a more efficient plant response, require less time in application, and require considerably less manpower support than ground application means. The AGRINAUTRIC spray apparatus is not limited to liquid spray but can also be used to disperse pelletised solid herbicides such as Monuron UROX 22, BROMACIL, and TORDON 10 K which are soil-applied defoliants The average application rate for ground applied . liquid agents was approximately 200 acres per week depending upon the ruggedness of the terrain. By contrast, one UH-1 helicopter equipped with the AGRINAUTIC sprayer, flying at a height varying from 12 feet to 50 feet at 90 knots per hour, could spray a swath 100 feet wide with Agent Blue at an application rate of 3 gallons per acre for a total area coverage of 65 acres in approximately 2 1/2 minutes in one sortie. A minimum of four sorties per working day would thus yield an area coverage of 250 acres which would require more than seven working days by ground application means. Swath width can be adjusted by varying the flight heights and more positive control of spray drift can be accomplished by flying at low altitudes but at a reduced swath width. Nossles can be calibrated and adjusted to spray optimum particle sizes. The AGRINAUTICS sprayer is designed for rapid installation in the UH-1 B/D helicopter and can easily

16

FIDENT

Gitt

(96)

Are the state of the state

be removed in the field within a minimum of time should the helicopter be required for another type of mission. A' technical description of the AGRINAUTICS, Model 3090, is attached as Annex I.

CONFIDENTIA

х

9. The Chemical Officer, FROKA, monitored the entire program in the FROKA area in an outstanding professional manner. The corps and divisions Chemical Officers, slong with the regimental Chemical Officers, actively supervised defoliant applications. The overall staff planning and the initial plans submitted were complete and thorough in every detail. Initially, all commanders including corps, division and regimental displayed a great deal of interest and enthusiasm in the program; unfortunately, this enthusiasm was the result of the concept that defoliants would be applied in those areas considered to be most tactically significant, i.e. north of the South Tape within the DMZ. In initial plans for application, FROKA had planned to apply defoliants to extensive areas within the DMZ and adjacent to the MDL. The FROKA Chemical Officer was repeatedly briefed on the restraints and controls directed by CG EUSA but his efforts to prepare plans based on application only in the area between the South Tape and the Civilian Control Line were thwarted by the fact that the corps and division commanders involved in the project chose to make their own interpretation of directives. Thus, from the outset of planning, the division Chemical Officers prepared their plans based on guidelines received from the division commander which were contrary to the published guidelines. At this point, it was detected that corps commanders were exerting pressure and using personal influence to FROKA and ROKA Headquarters to lift the restrictions. It was only after positive statements were made by the CG FROKA to the effect that he was a soldier and was duty-bound to obey directives from higher headquarters and that he expected the same from his subordinate commanders that it became apparent to all commanders that violations would be reported and appropriate command action taken. Once commanders accepted the fact that they were bound to obey the restraints and control, planning proceeded in an orderly manner in accordance with Eighth U. S. Army guidance. However, it was readily apparent that once the commanders were finally convinced that

17

AUNTERIN

(.....

ź

ONFIDENTIAL

restrictions on the use of defaliants within the DMZ would not be relaxed, they lost all interest in the program and shifted their interest to projects which they considered more important. In an advisory visit to a ROK Corps headquarters, the commander openly stated to the Senior Chemical Advisor, KMAG that he could not understand why the United States would spend such a large amount of money for expensive defoliants and then waste them by not allowing him to use them in the DMZ where they ware needed and desired. However, in almost the same breath, he stated he was impressed with the results of Monuron and desired to use additional quantities of Monuron in future defoliant operations.

the many and a star and and a star 10. (a) In spite of the apparent loss of interest by commanders, the Chemical Officers in the field were highly motivated and enthusiastic concerning the use of defoliants. For them it was an opportunity to prove that a technical service was capable of providing operational support in a tactical mission. During the planning period, an outstanding training program was conducted on the use of defoliants and the equipment provided for the operation. Personnel turbulence during the five month application phase necessitated repetition of training, consequently, all ROKA personnel who participated in the project were well trained and prepared.

> (b) Initially, the main battle area (MBA) divisions experienced difficulty in defoliant application in that a lack of organization was evident. This was no doubt due to last minute changes in the plans, the lack of command interest when it was finally realized the defoliants could not be utilized as desired, and a reluctance on the part of lower unit commanders to detail their troops to this project when they had so many other high priority projects confronting them. However, within a short period following the initial shaky start, the operation was adequately organized and, in general, followed the planned schedule in an orderly manner.

(c) The physical stamina of the individual Korean soldier was remarkably demonstrated by the tedious and hard labor performed by troops in applying defoliants. Working in

CONFIDENTIAL

difficult terrain for long hours, and transporting their equipment and materiel on their backs, they diligently performed their job in the face of many dangers such as uncharted minefields and even friendly booby traps literally under the noses of observing North Korean troops.

e. OPERATIONAL CONSIDERATIONS

1. Command, Control, and Coordination:

(a) General

in. : . 41/

BEST COPY

Balan . Ans & com a

1,---

14:25 NO.008

02.4

HbL

1619

technical assistance and supervision of the vegetation control program by CG EUSA. This responsibility required KMAG to assume an operational mission, yet it is organized and staffed only to provide an advisory mission. As of April 1968, there were only four Chemical Corps Officers assigned to KMAG, two in the Chemical Advisory Section, HQ KMAG, one assigned to Det L, KMAG and one assigned to Det F, KMAG. The Chemical Advisory Det F KMAG is assigned specific logistical advisory duties and due to distance and travel limitations did not participate in the mission.

(2) As stated previously, responsibility for planning defoliant operations was delegated to the Engineer EUSA since that section had been originally tasked to make the feasibility study. At the time that the CG EUSA authorized the application of defoliants and stipulated that ROKA troops apply defoliants, the decision was made to task KMAG with supervision of the program. This was done for several reasons; BG James H. Batte, Senior Logistics Advisor, KMAG, as a Chemical Corps Officer, has had extensive experience in many technical fields of chemical operations; further, KMAG is a subordinate element of Eighth U. S. Army, thus reducing administratively the span of control. Execution orders and implementing instructions of a directive nature were released by PkP Div., G-3 EUSA. Other matters of an informative nature were released through Senior Chemical Advisor, HQ KMAG for the Chief, KMAG.

19

1-268-505

.

הריאה ואהאירה

" I AN

Gett

(****

(b) KMAG Supervision

(1) Guidance from CG EUSA directed that whenever and wherever ROKA troops applied defoliants, a KMAG representative would be physically present to provide technical assistance and guidance. KMAG supervisory responsibilities were discharged in the following manner:

JNEID

A In the I US Corps (GP), direct supervision of ROKA working teams was provided by Chemical Corps Officers and sulisted personnel assigned to the U. S. 54th CBRE Detachment and the Chemical Section, 2d U. S. Infantry Division. The Deputy Chemical Advisor, HQ KMAG was placed on TDY to the HQ I US Corps (GP) and maintained daily contact with working teams applying defoliants.

b In the FROKA area, KMAG supervisors were detailed from advisory personnel assigned to the subordinate detachments of Detachment L, Detachments East, West, and Center.

(2) To provide guidance for KMAG personnel assigned supervision duties in defoliant application and to provide further guidance to ROK application teams, a comprehensive Standing Operating Procedure for vegetation control was published in bi-lingual format and distributed in sufficient quantities to be issued to working personnel. A copy of the SOP is attached as Annex J.

> (3) There were some problem areas concerning KMAG supervision at the onset of defoliant applications which can be attributed to last minute changes in plans and the fact that U. S. personnel monitoring a ROKA operation was not entirely palatable to ROKA commanders. Initially when it was learned by ROKA commanders that KMAG supervision would be present throughout the entire operation, a considerable number of questions were tactfully asked by ROKA personnel as to why. When it was finally realised that the KMAG personnel were assigned by higher headquarters to assist and guide the defoliation

> > 20

ONCIDENTIAL

program, they were quickly accepted as members of the defoliation team and excellent relationships were developed at the working level. This, plus the fact that there was no serious injuries, accidents or incidents involving KMAG supervisors, indicates that the supervisory role of the operation was a success.

(4) As a parallel it was equally unpaintable to U.S. personnel in I US Corps that ROKA personnel only would make actual application of defoliants, a mission that U.S. Chemical Corps personnel are trained in and fully qualified to perform. However, as with ROKA personnel, when it was realised that this was a mandatery requirement it was accepted as such. Only one significant problem developed. In the 2d U.S. Inf Div area defoliant application was conducted by personnel of the 98th ROK Regimental Combat Team. Administrative delays due to elearance and access into areas north of the Imjin River plus the time consumed traveling to and from application areas significantly increased the time required to complete operations in the division area; Use of U.S. troops whose compounds are located north of the Imjin River could have reduced the time required to apply defoliants.

exert its tell on the overall advisory function did, however, of the test of KMAG supervision did, however, of the exert its tell on the overall advisory function of Detachment L, KMAG. During the height of the defoliant operations, 15 June through 1 July when a massive effort was mounted to apply defoliants prior to the beginning of the rainy season, it was estimated by Detachment L, that its advisory function was reduced by 70% in order to accomplish its supervisory mission over FROKA defoliant operations.

2. Intelligence Implications:

(a) It has been assumed that the North Koreans would use any vegetation control program in or adjacent to the DMZ as the basis on which to charge the UNC with violating the Armistice Agreement and further would probably charge that the use of defoliants would be either "chemical" or "germ" warfare similar to charges by North Vietnam resulting from

NEIDENIT

the employment of defoliants in Vietnam. On 12 January 1968, news releases originating from the ROK Ministry of National Defense openly indicated the ROK Government's intention to employ defoliants in the DME area (Fig II-I). Though not acknowledged by the United Nations Command, these releases provided a factual test of ROK, North Korean, and third-country reaction. As of this date, the only reaction that has been registered was at a meeting of the Military Armistice Commission as discussed in the 22 January 1968 Stars and Stripes news release (Fig II-2).

(b) Within ROKA, all information concerning defoliation plans and operations were classified as "SECRET" with a limited distribution on a need-to-know basis. Defoliant application teams employed deceptive measures in those areas under observation from North Korean outposts by labeling equipment and supplies with the code words "CORN" for Monuron, "RICE" for Agent Blue, and "BEANS" for Agent Orange to give the impression that the working teams were planting crops.

(c) In mid-July, one EM of a FROKA unit that had been assigned to a defoliant application team defected to North Korea, however, there has been no information which would indicate that he revealed defoliation plans to the North Koreans.

(d) As of this date, there has been no reported indication that North Korea is aware of the testing and subsequent application of defoliants. Present indications are that the North Koreans have not exploited the use of defoliants in the vicinity of the DMZ by UNC personnel.

3. Coverage Accomplished (see Annex K): Although the total requirement of priority application totaled 24, 115 acres. The quantities of defoliant materials received provided a coverage capability of only 19, 984 acres. Based on reports submitted from I US Corps (GP) and FROKA, a total of 18, 150 acres were reported covered which reflects a shortfall of 1, 834 acres. This discrepancy in reported coverage can be explained by several considerations as follow:

22

CONFID

	_			
_				

0) (0)

(a) Field expedient methods of mixing and applying defoliants resulted in rough approximation. For example, in FROKA it appears that the average actual application rate varied for each agent as follows:

Agent Recommended Application Rate Average Application Rate

Momiron Orange Blue

1. . 3

250 lbs per acre 5 gallons per acre 3 gallons per acre

95 lbs per acre 3.3 gallons per acre 5.5 gallons per acre

(b) Areas treated with defoliants were not measured or approximated as acre plots but were visually estimated as 100 meter or 30 meter wide strips based on the priority designation of the area.

(c) In the case of Momiron applications, the low average application rate could be responsible along with the lack of rain for the delayed response time seen in Monuron treated areas. In the case of Orange and Blue, it appears that there were slightly heavy applications which may account for the extremely rapid responses gained from these agents.

4. Cost Estimation and Comparison on Techniquest

(a) Cost estimates of defoliation operations were developed from statistics obtained in actual defoliant applications in a 44 day period in which 3, 345 ROKA personnel were engaged in applying defoliants over an area of 1, 658 acres.

(b) Cost estimates of manual clearing were developed from data obtained by FROKA from manual clearing operations in the summer of 1967 in which 425 square kilometers were cleared at the expense of approximately 600,000 man days over a 30 day period.

(c) Estimates of both funded and unfunded costs indicate that clearing vegetation by the application of defoliants can be accomplished at a total cost of \$165 per acre. If the cost of troop labor is funded on the assumption that the labor force

is always available, and will be used as the requirement distates, then the average cost of defailants is estimated as \$63 and 710 manhours par acre.

(d) A comparison of techniques and regultant costs and manpower per acre reveal the following comparison (See Annez M),

(1) Funded and unfunded cost estimates:

Technique Cost Per Acre

. . .

Man Hours Expended

~ & · · · · · · · - Manual -utik \$0, 12,(105, 019 actes) AM - Long 14, 800,000 -----Defoliante \$165 (1, 658 acres) 1.177.440

(2) Funded Costs Only:

Technique Gost Per Acre

None

Man Hours Per Acre

4.6

710

Manual Defoliants \$63 (average)

(e) Regardless of which method of vegetation clear-White ance is employed, the most critical factor is the use of manpower the rather than costs in that ROKA Forces are faced with many high priority projects such as Main Battle Area construction and hardening of defensive positions which require a staggering amount

of manpower to accomplish; consequently, the use of military labor results in a significant decrease in military operations due to the impact of committing troop labor to such tasks.

(f) The conditions in Korea pertaining to accessibility, working hasards (due to minefields, and obstacles), vegetation and practicability (due to cost, labor and management) appear to dictate that no one method of clearing vegetation is best. A proper amalgamation of three methods: manual, mechanical and defoliants appear to be the best technique of vegetation clearance.

III. (C) TINDINGS:

BEST COPY

(all pri

APT 5,91 14:25 No.008 P.26

a. That cleared areas to improve visibility, increase contrast for night vision devices, and the reduction of cover and concealment for infiltration are an essential requirement in the DMZ Security System.

b. Monuron applications in the vicinity of the DMZ Security System fance contributed significantly to the strengthening of the defensive capability along the fance line. However, removal of vegetation cover may cause erosion problems in the future.

by regrowth of vegetation in those areas that defoliation was not followed up by controlled burning. The application of these agents in areas other than the DMZ fence trace was of doubtful tactical value. In addition, the drenching of vegetation with Agent Orange/oil mixture and the use of Orange on grassy areas were a wasts of time and effort.

d. That the use of defoliants was a costly program of marginal success because:

And the second state of the southern boundary of the DMZ along the most logical approach routes and clearly the most desirable location for their use from the tactical point of view. As a result, a resource that could have had a very favorable impact from a tactical viewpoint was expended at considerable time and effort in far less critical areas.

> 2. The political value of the project was negated when, after providing the defoliants and selling the program to ROKA, the use of defoliants was restricted to the point where commanders lost interest in the project and turned their support and interest to other priority projects. Additionally, U. S. military personnel were used to monitor and report on the activities of the ROKA Forces. Although it is felt that the rapport of the advisorcounterpart relationship did not suffer under this arrangement, there were some minor feelings of mistrust at times.

CUNFIDENTIAL

151: 303-892-1712

NALT DENAEK*COTO.

GONFIDENTIAL

BEST COPY

1.00

That due to a last minute change in the type of defoliant allocated, there exists a requirement to continue control of vegetation by the use of a soil applied defoliant to the remaining 6,240 acres along the DMZ Security System trace.

f. That KMAG supervision of the defoliation program severly tasked the overall advisory mission to the point that the Detachment L advisory effort was reduced by 70% during the peak of the operation.

g. That the ground application of defoliants requires a massive amount of manpower and time. In addition, in the case of Agents Orange and Blue, the dilution, mixing, and liquid spray reduced the overall effectiveness of the defoliants and led to significant regrowth of vegetation.

h. That there has been no reported indication that North Korea is aware of the use of defoliants and there have been no attempts by North Korea to make a political harvest of propaganda by accusing the UNC of Armistice violations or the accusations of employing "chemical" or "biological" warfare as was anticipated.

i. That the serial application of defoliants, particularly pelletized defoliants, is feasible and desirable for use in those strategies areas adjacent to the DMZ Security System fence under carefully controlled conditions and under the proper meteorological conditions.

j. That the estimated cost of defoliant operations is \$63 and 710 man hours per acre.

k. That insofar as a combination of practicability and costs are concerned, neither clearing by the use of defoliants nor the clearing by manual means can stand alone due to the consideration of weather, terrain, vegetation, and enemy and friendly capabilities. A careful combination of the two methods using the best one for specific problem areas appear to be practical, reasonably expensive, yet within the current capability of the United Nations Command in men and equipment.

Alic

1

r.R

1. That the etipulation that ROKA Armed Forces only could make actual application of defoliants considerably slowed down application progress in the U. S. 2nd Infantry Div. area.

m. That supervision and monitoring of the operational aspects of defoliant applications should be conducted by a headquarters adequately staffed to perform an operational mission with the technical guidance of qualified staff chemical officers.

IV. (C) CONCLUSIONS:

· meterfite Viere with the state of the state

a. There is a need to continue the control of vegetation along the DMZ Security System fence and to extend this control beyond the southern boundary to include the most logical approach routes and other locations within the DMZ to deny enemy infiltrators the use of vegetation for cover and concealment.

1. ..

tites at lance interesting to

b. The use of defoliants in conjunction with manual and mochanical clearing means in practicable, manageable and politically acceptable and if appropriate defoliant materials are again provided, is within the current capability of this command.

c. The use of Monuron significantly contributed to the strengthening of defensive capabilities along the DMZ Security System trace.

d. The use of Agents Orange and Blue in areas other than the DMZ fence line located to the rear of the South tape contributed very little to improving defensive positions.

e. The application of defoliants by ground means is difficult, expensive and requires a staggering amount of manpower. Carefully controlled aerial application would reduce the time and manpower required and in the case of Agents Orange and Blue would result in a more effective response.

LIDENTIA

ć

7.42 AV

e. That action be initiated to procure three each AGRINAUTICS Aerial Spray Systems (FSN 3740-866-4481). Equipment should be delivered ASAP so that necessary training can be conducted prior to actual application operations. It is further recommended that spray equipment be allocated on the following basis:

41 × 1 1 P .,

3: 4. ' 'S. . 45r.

2000

CONFIDENTIA

15

FROKA - 1 Unit I US Corps (Gp) - 1 Unit Reserve - 1 Unit

f. That future defoliation projects be supervised by a headquarters staffed to support an operational mission with the guidance of staff chemical personnel.

g. That ROKA units be authorized to apply defoliants without the direct supervision of U. S. personnel and that U. S. units be allowed to make applications as required.





AMMER B: Technical Characteristics of Herbicides (U)

1. (U) Chemical control of vegetation and foliage has been an accepted agricultural practice for a number of years. The various chemical herbicides that are commonly used for this purpose are classified according to their effects as follows:

a. Dessigants are chemicals which rapidly dry up foliage causing the leaves to fall off of treated vegetation. Dessication can be effectively used to prepare vegetation for controlled burning during the growing season.

b. Herbicides are chemicals which are absorbed into the plant inhibiting growth and eventually killing the plant. Initial plant response is that the leaves and stems of the plant begin to die first causing the plant to be "defoliated". The second response is the eventual death of the plant which may occur two to three weeks later.

e. Soil applied herbicides are chemicals that are placed into the soil and are absorbed through the plant root system and then translocated throughout the plant causing defoliation and eventual killing of the plant.

2. (U) As a common meaningful designation and to prevent confusion the term "defoliant" rather than the term dessicant, herbicide, etc has been used throughout all briefings, correspondence and directives pertaining to the Vegetation Control Program GY 1968.

3. (U) Spraying defaiiants as a means of improving both horizontal and vertical visibility where vegetation is dense has become an accepted prectice in military operations in Vietnam. Research, which has been conducted for more than 20 years at the US Army Biological Imboratories, Fort Detrick, Maryland, has been confirmed for its use on a large scale, for certain defaliants by their successful use during the past six years in Vietnam. In Vietnam the military worth of herbicides as a new military weapon has been proven. The improvement of air-to-ground and ground-to-ground visibility has uncovered energy positions, permitted observation of his movements and has been a primary factor in reducing the incidence of ambushes with a resultant saving of lives of allied military personnel.

4. (U) The defaliants that have been used in Kores have been in use in the United States for over 20 years. They are svallable commercially in the United States under a variety of trade names at most seed stores, gardan shops and farm supply stores. In the summer of 1967 a commercial variety of 2,4 D was sold in the YONGSAN FX Garden Shop. Although the use of defaliants is recognized and is taught at agricultural colleges in Kores they are not generally used in agriculture in Kores due to the relative expense of the active ingredients and the plethors of chemp manual labor.

8-1

5. (U) In order to avoid misunderstandings on what can be accomplished, by the use of defoliants on vegetation, it should be borne in mind that the utlimate effect of the chemicals will be to provide a vegetation condition similar to that of winter. With certain chemicals, the vegetation growth is completely stopped. While with others it may be temporarily defoliated and later refoliate. In any dase the chemicals do not enses the vegetation to vanish. The trunks and branches of trees, for emample, remain in place until removed by man or nature. In any case the chemicals do not cause the vegetation to vanish. The trunks and branches of trees, for example, remain in place until removed by man or nature. In Vietnam, it has been noted that when trees 50 to 100 feet high were defoliated by aerial spray, there was an increase of approximately 30% in vertical visibility and horisontal visibility was improved 50%.

6. (U) As a result of tests and data obtained from defoliant operations in Vietnam and field evaluation in Morea as well as recommendations from the US Army Hiological Laboratories, Fort Detrick, Maryland the following defoliants were selected for use in Korea:

a. Agent Granges A 50:50 mixture of normal butyl esters of 2,4diphenoxymostic acid (2,4-D) and 2,4, 5-triphenoxymostic moid (2, 4, 5T). It is a systemic plant poison which when absorbed into the plant through foliage and translocated throughout the plant causes a rapid withering followed by death of the plant within 2 to 3 weeks. It is specific for broad lesf plants and is effective sgainst most trees and woody brush including evergreens, locust and scrub oak. Generally speaking narrow leaf plants which include most grasses are not affected by Agent Orange. Effective defoliation and death of most susceptible vegetation can be expected from an application rate of three gallons of active agent per acre. Agent Orange was applied as a liquid spray and was mixed with No 1 diesel oil at a rate of 3'gallons of Orange to 50 gallons oil for spray of a one acre area. Agent Orange is relatively non toxic and no danger exists to warm blooded animals in connection with its handling and application. Figure E-1 illustrate the vegetation cover slong & road near the DMZ. Figure E-2 illustrate the same area after treatment with Agent Orange.

b. Agent Blues A liquid formulation of cacodylic acid known commercially as PHYTAR 560G. It is used for the rapid dessication, or drying out, of the leaves of woody and grassy growth, particularly narrow leaf growth such as annual and parennial grasses. It has an extremely fast response time of 3 to 5 days for this type of vegetation and is especially effective in preparing vegetation of a high water content for controlled burning. Rice and other cereal grain crops belonging to the narrow leaf family of plants are extremely sensitive to this agent, in fact Blue has been used in Vietnam as a specific agent to destroy Viet Cong rice crops. Agent Blue is applied as a liquid spray and is mixed with water at a ratio of 3 gallons of Elue to 50 gallons of water is application to a one acre area. Figure E-3 shown

a possible ambush area adjacent to a tactical road Figure E-4 is the same area following application of Agent Blue.

C. Nonaron URGE 22: This agent is a pelletized solid sontaining 225 Honuron trichloresectic soid and is an all purpose semi-permanent soil applied herbiside. It is effective for the long term control of personnial and somuel grasses, vines, broadleaf woods, trees and woody plants. This agent is spread by hand or mechanical broadcast in the same fashion as pelletised fertilizer and is applied to the suil immediately prior to or at the beginning of the growing season. Once applied to the soil Monuron slowly dissolves and is absorbed into the soil where it is absorbed into the root systems of plants and is further translocated throughout the plant causing defoliation and eventual killing of the plant. Rainfall is required to dissolve the pellets and cause absorption into the soil; therefore, two to three months may be required before a visible effect of the agent may be observed. Once applied to the soil Monuron is expected to be effective for up to two growing seasons. It is comparitively non-toxis and no danger exists to man or animals in handling and application. To illustrate the effect of Monuron URCE the tree in Figure E-5 was troated with a basal application on 10 April 1968. Figure E-6 shows that as of 3 July 1968 the leaves were browning and the tree was beginning to die in contrast to the fully developed folisge of adjacent untreated vegetation.



5-10

Albumy, St. Durgars luce 11, 1001 HILL JUNION

Vet who served in Korea says Agent Orange did harm there to

EThe chemical was sprayed in Korea's DMZ by its troops.

By Peter Webrwein \$14 -10

MISKAYLNA - When Bill Maho-ANDATENA – When Bi Maho-ney brat non Hedgtin's lymphoma a avoide ego, he bast some vory long and extremely grim encological odds.

His cancer was classified as the "stage 4" case, and stage 4 cases are not supposed to like very long Now the 44 year old resident of

this town is in the multile of another sphill right. The stakes are not cancer's life-ind-denth wager, but hishoney is still facing formidable opposition: government bureaurage combined with considerable historical and medical uncestatoty

Allongy is a Victomercanny, we who believes his concer was caused by exposure to Agent Crange, the distin laced chemical that Americans used to defollate the

jungle. His claim m2y het serm so S250 veterans had filde disturs lør government coverage to serner a gend Grange. Grverage tas been the Department of Veteran Alfair in Washington. But Minney porestower har and source a serval in the serval times not to theor a "We wete living where the

But Mahoney never so much as set

上陸の

11.100

14

....

He and a cadre of other veterans from across the couplry believe their, bodies were assaulted chemi-

Itemp of the production of the entry of t The records trickled out. "I dan't

think they wanted to open up another can of worms." Combs said.

can of worms." Combs said. Still, be believes the final result is 'solid proof that up to 12,000 Ameri-can soldiers might have been cr-posed to Agent Orange between 1968 and 1974, when it was used in Korea.

and 1974, when it was used in Korea. New for the Catch-22x. Until recently, only "in country" tyletham vets were considered eligi-ble for any kind of Agent Crange benefit. If you had one hour in Vietnam, you were covered, asid Mahoney, who has a thick file of cprrespondence that dead ends in rejections.

rejections. But President Bush signed a new law carlier this year that extended disability benefits to veterans with conditions related to Agent Orange. The way Comba and others read it, the Karean DMZ yeas are cavered. "As of extended to be a set of the "As of the set of t

The Andrean Unit's years are covered, "As of now: they are being consid-ered just the they are Vietnam vets," said Combs. But Stalvey, the VA spokeswoman, said the proposed rule changes stemming from that law treat non-Vietnam veta quite dufferently. Besides, it is "rather unlikely" American soldiers suffered signifi-cant Agent Orange exposure in Kares. Stalvey said. Korean troops spread the berbleide. Told of Stalvey's opinion, Mahoney was incensed. "So because I wasn't administering it. I was not affected by what I was trying in?" he tand.

Vietnam veta quite differently. For one thing, the burdes of proof is on the DMZ veta is show that they were exposed, she told. The list of covered conditions is also different, accurding to Stalvey. Only Vietnam vets can get service-connected coverage for soli-lists tarcomes, non-Holdykin's lymphoma and chlorache. and chloracne.

horse

and entorache. Because the scientific links to Agent Orange are more tenuous, and Vietnam veterans suffering from non-lindight is himphoins and chlorache will not be eligible far the

L' septration 3 - Aller Sublat. Sec. 1.1

Times Union shere by Lucions M KOREAN MEMENTO -- Bill Mahoney ol Niskayuna, a veteran argues a stini in Korea gave him an Agent Orange-induced cancer.

Agent Orange disability benefits, she said. Besides, it is "rather unlikely" personel administrator for ine American soldiers suffered signif: Department of Civil Service.

He is not persuing this case for the namey, he said, lie thinks ch mught result in some curly diagnosis and treatment for threatands of versions.

"What I ans where the about " tone mey hold," is that there might be a fail of other gays when don't know when the "

Mahoney has been "healthy is been "healthy is Early test results show no firehouse air problem Bu Yangev Rov

Purplands and many shorts.



ge ac

was one of Richard many Army privates stationed in The former Daytonian remembers looking out over the Korean countryside near the demilitarized. zone: Green leafy trees were on the horizon: Where he was starding the follage was dead or dying by He said the trees and plants in Korea were killed by Agenti Orange; a powerful herbicide used by the military in Vietnam. He also said he suffers one of the classic ailments linked to Agent Grange poisoning "The Army said it didn't use defo liants in Korea.

When Morrow; then transplanted to Michigan, was refused an examination by Veteran's Administration doctors for Agent Orange problems he found out otherwise Ine efforts of Morrow, U.S. Conssman Tony Hall, D-Dayton, and Montgomery County Veterana Services: Center; Director; Benver; Combs paid off recently with legis lation that could make veterans stationed outside of Vietnam during the same era eligible for Agent Orange benefit

Hall and the others helped insert a: paragraph into the Agent Or Korea in 1974. This ange Act of 1991 that allows those stationed outside: Vietnam to app ply for VA disability benefits that Combs said can run from \$70 to 10.7

\$1,700 permonth Morrow said once he was reje ed for the example contacted his congressmantin Michigan He said thouselp, so he turned to his former congressman, Hall, who enlisted the aid of Combs. Morrow wrote the Pentagon ask ing for information on defoliants used in Horear He was startled when he received a 30-page Veg that tations, Control Plan" been declassified in return

That plant said Agent Orang was used in Rorea beginning a early as 1967, and at least 18,000 acres, were treated near where Morrowst 2nd Theantry Divisio stationed at the DMZ Morrow said that information gaves the ammunition: needed t pass the legislation Combs, said: veterans, wan more information may call the ran's Service Center at 256

Se.

Chemical sprayed in Korea

WASHINGTON (AP) — Hewly declassified Pentagon records show that Agent. Orange, the herbleide under investigation as a health huzard to GIs exposed to it in Vietnum, also was aprayed in Korea.

Line of the second seco

the literature of the second o

De Young said this was the first acknowledgment by the military that Agent Orange was widely sprayed in paces other than Victnum.

				NUTI DEN
		. ÷		, VER, C
	1 · · · ·	COMPARISON OF	HERBICIDE EFFECTS	6
	AGENT :	CRANCE .	HUR	MONURON UROK 22
· · · ·	CLASSIFICATION:	HERBICIDE	DEPOLIANT (DESSICANT)	SOIL APPLIED HERBICIDE
	CHINGCAL CONPOSETION:	50:50 MILTURE 2,4D AND 2, 4, 5T	CACODYLIC ACID (PRITAR 560G)	(ALLIED CHERICAL CO.)
	EFFECTED VEGETATION	WOODT GROWTH, THEES BROAD LEAF WIEDS	WOODT. AND GRASST	WEEDS, TREES, WOODY
1 :	HETEOD OF	LIQUID SPRAY (OIL BASE)	LIQUID SPRAY (WATTE BASE)	HAND OR MECRANICAL 17 ERCAD CAST 12
	DURATION OF EFFECTIVENESS:	ONE GRONDIG SEASOR	NAXIMUM DEPOLIATION IN 2 TO 3 WEEKS	TWO GROWING SEASONS 4 E.
	RESPONSE TIME:	2 TO 3 WEEKS	3 TO 5 DAIS	2 TO 3 MONTES
:	TOLICITT:	NO EFFECT ON WARM ELCODED ANIMALS	LON (CONPARABLE TO ASPIRIN)	
		· .		1:25
-			·	. 008
				ື . ເມ

.

÷