Prior to visiting a site for an inspection, the Inspector **must** determine which type of chromium electroplating or anodizing facility will be inspected. See the Checklist for Inspector to Complete Prior to Facility Site Inspection. This checklist is only relevant for **Chromium Anodizing** facilities.

INSPECTOR ON-SITE CHECKLIST

Chromium Anodizing

nspector must complete one checklist for each "source" of Number: Inspected:	or tank inspected.	
type of chromium electroplating or anodizing source it source.	is and if it is a new or reconstructed or	-
	Source must be in compliance	
Existing (initial startup up before 12/16/93)	1/25/97	
New or reconstructed with initial startup after 12/16/93, but before 1/25/95	1/25/95 (effective date)	
New or reconstructed with initial startup after 1/25/95	immediately upon startup of the source	
		operator)
determine a source's potential to emit chromium. Major source if has the potential to emit ten (10	tons per year of any one hazardous a tons per year of any combination of	ir pollutan
	Compliance Date: The date by which a source must cotype of chromium electroplating or anodizing source it source. Compliance Dates for Chrome Existing (initial startup up before 12/16/93) New or reconstructed with initial startup after 12/16/93, but before 1/25/95 New or reconstructed with initial startup after 1/25/95 This source had an initial startup date of source (existing, This is a source (existing, This source must be in compliance as of This chromium anodizing electroplating tank was in coordinate of the compliance because: Facility is a Major or Area Source: The operator must determine a source's potential to emit chromium. Major source if has the potential to emit ten (10 OR if it has the potential to emit twenty five (25 air pollutants Area source if it is not a major source	Inspected: Compliance Date: The date by which a source must comply with these regulations depends type of chromium electroplating or anodizing source it is and if it is a new or reconstructed of source. Compliance Dates for Chromium Anodizing Source must be in compliance Existing (initial startup up before 12/16/93) New or reconstructed with initial startup after 12/16/93, 1/25/95 (effective date) but before 1/25/95 New or reconstructed with initial startup after 1/25/95 immediately upon startup of the source This source had an initial startup date of This is a source (existing, new, or reconstructed) This source must be in compliance as of (date) (40 CFR 63.343(a)) This chromium anodizing electroplating tank was in compliance on (date) (ask OR this source is not in compliance because: Facility is a Major or Area Source: The operator must have records which enable the Inspedetermine a source's potential to emit chromium. Major source if has the potential to emit ten (10) tons per year of any one hazardous a OR if it has the potential to emit twenty five (25) tons per year of any combination of air pollutants

3.0	Type of Air Pollutant Control Device: (mark applicable devices) The operator should have documentation available on the control device(s) utilized and should demonstrate that the control device(s) is functioning properly. Add-on air pollution control devices:				
	Composite mesh pad (CMP) system				
	Packed bed scrubber (PBS)				
	PBS/CMP system				
	Fiber-bed mist eliminator				
	Other (need U.S. EPA approved documentation)				
	Chemical fume suppressant air pollution control devices: Foam blanket				
	Wetting agent				
	Other (need U.S. EPA approved documentation)				
	If use both an add-on control device and fume suppressant:				
	Type of add-on control device(s)				
	Type of fume suppressant(s)				
4.0	Number of Tanks Attached to Same Air Pollution Control Device				
	Single tank attached to control device (only one chromium anodizing tank attached to device)				
	Multiple tanks attached to control device (more than one chromium anodizing tank or any combination of chromium electroplating or chromium anodizing tanks)				
	If multiple tanks: (yes/no)				
	Same operation performed at each tank				
	Same emission limit for each tank				
	Air pollution control device controls sources that are not chromium electroplating or anodizing tanks				
5.0	Emission Limits: Using the information from sections 1.0 and 4.0, answer the following questions.				
	The emission limit for this source as determined by Tables 1 or 2 (page 11 and 12) is				
	Describe whether the source met the required emission limits as determined by Table 1 (page 11) by the required compliance date: (40 CFR 63.342(c)(d)(e))				
	If the source failed to meet the required emission limits as determined by Table 1 (page 11) by the required compliance date, explain why:				

6.0 Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Plan:

O&M plan is kept on record and is available upon inspection for the life of the affected source of until the source is no longer subject to these regulations (40 CFR 62.342(f)(3)(v)) The operator, upon revision of the O&M plan, has kept all previous versions of the O&M plan on record for inspection for a period of five (5) years after each revision (40 CFR 62.342(f)(3)(v))
O&M plan is incorporated by reference into facility's Operating Permit (40 CFR 63.342(f)(3))
O&M plan for this facility: (yes/no)
specifies the operation and maintenance criteria for the affected source (40 CFR 63.342(f)(3)(A) specifies the add-on air pollution control device (if used to comply with the emission requirements) (40 CFR 63.342(f)(3)(i)(A))
specifies the process and control system monitoring equipment (40 CFR 63.342(f)(3)(i)(A)) includes a standardized checklist to document the operation and maintenance of air pollution control device(s) and process and control system monitoring equipment (40 CFR
63.342(f)(3)(i)(A)) specifies procedures to be followed to ensure that equipment or process malfunctions due to poo maintenance or other preventable conditions do not occur (40 CFR 63.342(f)(3)(i)(D)) and includes a systematic procedure for identifying malfunctions of process equipment, add-on air pollution control devices, and process and control system monitoring equipment and for implementing corrective actions to address such malfunctions (40 CFR 63.342(f)(3)(i)(E))
If the facility utilizes any add-on air pollution control device: the O&M plan incorporates work practice standards for any add-on air pollution control device or monitoring equipment as identified in Table 3 (page 16) (40 CFR 63.342(f)(3)(i)(B)) List the applicable work practice standards for the add-on air pollution control devices used and whether operator has complied with them:

Add-on air pollution control device	Work Practice Standard	Complied (yes/no)
1.	a. b. c. d.	a. b. c. d.
2.	a. b. c. d.	a. b. c. d.
3.	a. b. c. d.	a. b. c. d.

if the specific equipment used is not identified in Table 3 (page 16), the O&M plan shall incorporate proposed work practice standards which must be submitted to APCD and U.S. EPA (40 CFR 63.342(f)(3)(i)(C))	L		
The operator operates and maintains all of the following in a manner consistent with "good air pollution control practices" (including quarterly inspections of each): (yes/no) (40 CFR 63.342(f)(1)(i)) affected sources and ductwork of the source associated control devices and monitoring equipment			
The following documentation was provided to establish whether an operator properly maintained the facility in a manner consistent with "good air pollution control practices": (yes/no) monitoring results review of the operation and maintenance plan, procedures, and records inspection of the source other			
O&M plan does not meet the requirements and changes must be made to the plan if the plan: (40 CFR 63.342(f)(3)(ii)) does not address a malfunction that has occurred or fails to provide for the operation of the affected source, the air pollution control techniques, or the control system and process monitoring equipment during a malfunction in a manner consistent with "good air pollution control practices" or does not provide adequate procedures for correcting malfunctioning process equipment, air pollution control techniques, or monitoring equipment as quickly as practicable			
Has an event occurred that meets the characteristics of a malfunction?			
Did the O&M plan fail to or inadequately address the malfunction? If yes, explain			
If the O&M plan failed to or inadequately addressed the malfunction, did the operator revise the O&M plan within forty five (45) days after the event?			

	record the days after send a let	erator: (40 CFR 63.342(f)(3)(iv)) e actions taken for the event and report by pho- r commencing actions insistent with the plan ter within seven (7) working days after the er ne Inspector with copies of such reports and r	nd of the event and
	Initial Performa	ance Test:	
		the following description it is exempt from the anodizing tank with a wetting agent and a n	<u> •</u>
	•	exempt, the operator must have performed and the following: (40 CFR 63.7(2)(b) and 4	-
	New or Existing	Operation or Startup Date	Initial Performance Test Date
_	Existing source	with initial startup date before or on 12/16/95	perform test by 7/24/97
_	Existing source	with initial startup date after 12/16/95	perform test within 180 days after initial s
_	Existing, new, or reconstructed source	with an extension of compliance granted by APCD	perform test within 180 days after termina of extension
_	New source	with initial startup date after 1/25/93 and before 1/25/95	perform test by 7/24/95
_	New source	with initial startup date after 1/25/95	perform test within 180 days after initial s
	Initial per	rformance test was submitted to APCD	
		formance test was reviewed by APCD on	

Indicate the parameters obtained in the initial performance test. These parameters are necessary to determine whether the source is in ongoing compliance.

Add-on Air Pollution Control Device	Parameter Obtained in Initial Test	
Composite mesh pad (CMP)	Pressure drop across system: in. w.c. ± 1 in.	
Packed bed scrubber (PBS)	Pressure drop across system: in. w.c. ± 1 in. Velocity pressure at system inlet: ± 10% avg. vel.	
Fiber bed mist eliminator (FBME)	Pressure drop across the control device located upstream of the fiber bed that prevents plugging:in. w.c. ± 1 in.	
Chemical Fume Suppressant	Parameter Obtained in Initial Test	
Wetting agent	Surface tension at the bath: dynes/cm	
Foam blanket	Foam blanket thickness: in. or cm.	

8.0 Ongoing Compliance Monitoring: Inspector should determine type of air pollution control device and whether operator has met the applicable ongoing compliance monitoring requirement.

Operator uses an **add-on** air pollution control device and takes the following measurements: (yes/no)

Air Pollution Control System	Monitored Parameter	Monitoring Frequency
Composite mesh pad (CMP) system (40 CFR 63.343(c)(1)(ii))	monitor pressure drop across system	Daily
Packed bed scrubber (PBS) (40 CFR 63.343(c)(2)(ii))	monitor pressure drop across system monitor velocity pressure at system inlet	Daily Daily
Packed bed scrubber (PBS) and composite mesh pad (CMP) system (40 CFR 63.343(c)(3))	monitor pressure drop across the mesh pad system measure the velocity pressure at system inlet	Daily Daily
Fiber bed mist eliminator (FBME) (40 CFR 63.343(c)(4)(ii))	monitor pressure drop across the control device located upstream of the fiber bed that prevents plugging	Daily
Other: (40 CFR 63.343(c)(8))	operator has determined appropriate parameter which is:	Appropriate monitoring frequency
	U.S. EPA or APCD has approved appropriate parameter operator has measured appropriate parameter	

Inspector has personally seen the operator properly take the following measurements if required:
pressure drop across system
pressure drop across the CMP system
pressure drop across the FBME system
velocity pressure at the system inlet
If pressure drop must be measured, did the monitored values for pressure drop: (yes/no) fall within the range of values established by the operator for pressure drop or fall within ± 1 inch about the average H ₂ 0 column measured during three compliant test runs
If yes to either, the source is in compliance. If no to either, the source is not in compliance. (40 CFR 63.343(c)(1)(ii), (2)(ii), (3), or (4)(ii))
If velocity pressure must be measured, did the monitored values for velocity pressure: (yes/no)
fall within the range of velocity pressures established by the operator for velocity pressure or fall within \pm 10 percent about the average velocity pressure measured during three compliant tes runs
If yes to either, the source is in compliance. If no to either, the source is not in compliance. (40 CFR 63.343(c)(2(ii) and (3))
Operator uses a chemical fume suppressent air pollution control device and takes the following

Operator uses a **chemical fume suppressant** air pollution control device and takes the following measurements: (yes/no)

Air Pollution Control System	Monitored Parameter	Monitoring Frequency
Wetting agent (40 CFR 63.343(c)(5)(ii))	measure the surface tension at the bath (U.S. EPA Reference Method 306B)	Daily or
Foam blanket type fume suppressant (40 CFR 63.343(c)(6)(ii))	monitor foam blanket thickness	Every 4 hours ^{a,b} or ——
Combination wetting agent and foam blanket type fume suppressants (40 CFR 63.343(c)(5)(ii))	measure the surface tension at the bath (U.S. EPA Reference Method 306B)	Daily or
Chemical fume suppressant and add-on control device (40 CFR 63.343(c)(7)(ii))	measure the surface tension at the bath (U.S. EPA Reference Method 306B) monitor foam blanket thickness	Daily or Every hour ^{b,c} or
Other: (40 CFR 63.343(c)(8))	operator has determined appropriate parameter which is:	Appropriate monitoring frequency
	U.S. EPA or APCD has approved appropriate parameter operator has measured appropriate parameter	————

		ector has personally seen the operator properly take the following measurements if required: _ surface tension and/or
		_ foam blanket thickness
		Did the surface tension exceed the maximum surface tension established by the operator for pressure drop, if no, the source is in compliance. If yes, the source is not in compliance. (40 CFR 63.343(c)(5)(ii) and (7)(ii) Did the foam blanket thickness fall below the minimum foam blanket thickness or fall below 1
		inch in thickness, if no, the source is in compliance. (40 CFR 63.343(c)(6)(ii) and (c)(7)(ii))
9.0	obtai	facility has multiple tanks and meets one of the following conditions go to Table 2 (page 12) to n equations used to verify compliance with the emission limits: (40 CFR 63.344(e)) the multiple tanks include a chromium electroplating or chromium anodizing tank among other tanks not affected by the regulation or the multiple tanks include chromium tanks performing different operations subject to different emission limits (e.g., hard chromium electroplating and anodizing), which may or may not be controlled with nonaffected sources or the multiple tanks include chromium anodizing tanks subject to different emission limits (e.g., new tank and existing small tank), which may or may not be controlled with nonaffected sources or ordkeeping: The operator keeps the following records to document compliance with the regulation
		ve years. (yes/no)
	1)	Inspection and maintenance records (40 CFR 63.346(b)(1) and (2)) work practices conducted on schedule (review operator's checklist) maintenance performed on process, control system(s), and monitoring equipment
	2)	Malfunction records (40 CFR 63.346(b)(3) and (4)) correction of malfunction consistent with O&M plan no records required correction of malfunction not consistent with O&M plan following records required: occurrence, duration, and cause of any malfunction of the process, air pollution control device, and monitoring equipment
	3)	Performance test results including process and air pollution control parameter measurements used during testing and any additional measurements required if use common control system for multiple sources (40 CFR 63.346(b)(6))

^a If there are no exceedances of the maximum surface tension after forty (40) hours of operation, then the monitoring frequency can be decreased to once every eight (8) hours. If there are no exceedances for the next forty (40) hours, then the frequency can be decreased to once every forty (40) hours. If an exceedance occurs at any time after that, then the initial monitoring schedule (every four (4) hours) must be resumed.

^b The initial schedule must be resumed for every new tank solution.

^c If there are no exceedances of the minimum foam blanket thickness after forty (40) hours of operation, then the monitoring frequency can be decreased to once every four (4) hours. If there are no exceedances for the next forty (40) hours, then the frequency can be decreased to once every eight (8) hours. If an exceedance occurs after that, then the initial monitoring schedule (every hour) must be resumed.

4)	Monitoring data records include at a minimum: (40 CFR 63.346(b)(8))
	identify control system(s) identify the monitored parameter(s)
	identify the value of the monitored parameter(s)
	identify the time and date when the parameter(s) was monitored
5)	Excess emission records (40 CFR 63.346(b)(9) and (10))
	excess emission records must include at a minimum the start and end times and dates of each period of excess emissions
	 excess caused by malfunction operator records: type of malfunction, duration of malfunction, cause of malfunction, corrective actions, and date and time of malfunction excess emission caused by something other than malfunction records
	·
6)	Process records must be kept, at a minimum: (40 CFR 63.346(b)(11)) process operating time for each tank
	if use fume suppressant date and time of each addition of fume suppressants
7)	 Miscellaneous records or other records required by permit or notice of violation If fume suppressant used, operator keeps the date and time of each addition of the fume suppressant (40 CFR 63.346(b)(13))
	If waiver is granted, operator keeps documentation supporting the requirements for that waiver (40 CFR 63.346(b)(15))

- **10.0 Reporting:** If it is a chromium anodizing electroplating tank the operator must demonstrate the following reporting occurred.
 - An initial notification that the source is subject to the regulation as required by the following table: (40 CFR 63.347(c)(1))

Type of Source	Relevant Dates	Requirements	
Existing	startup date before 1/25/95	submit initial notification on or before 7/24/95	
New or Reconstructed	startup date after 1/25/95 and construction or reconstruction commenced before 1/25/95	submit notification of date when construction/reconstruction commenced submit notification of construction or reconstruction notification of actual startup date of source submit within 30 calendar days	
New or Reconstructed	startup date after 1/25/95 and construction or reconstruction commenced after 1/25/95	submit notification within 30 calendar days after commencement date of construction or reconstruction notification of actual startup date of source submit within 30 calendar days	

	Notification of construction or reconstruction of the facility if begun after 1/25/95 (40 CFR 63.345(b)(1) and 63.347(c)(2))
	Notification of initial performance testing at least 60 calendar days prior to scheduled date of test (40 CFR 63.347(d))
A site 63.34	e specific test plan prior to initial performance test that includes: (yes/no) (40 CFR 4(a))
	_ description of the process to be tested
	_ conditions under which testing is to be conducted
	_ sampling location description
	_ test method to be used
	_ A performance test report after testing conducted (yes/no) (40 CFR 63.344(a)) explain
	performance test report included the following: (yes/no) (40 CFR 63.344(a)) description of the process to be tested
	 sampling location descriptions sampling and analysis procedures and any modifications to standard procedures test results
	description of the internal and external quality assurance ("QA") program and results records of operating conditions during testing, preparation of standards, and calibration procedures
	raw data sheets for: field sampling and field and laboratory analyses
	_ documentation of calculations and
	any additional information required by the test method
	_ internal quality assurance program includes: activities planned by routine operators and analysts to provide assessment of test data precision
days	ort of the following was sent within 90 days after the performance test and no later than 30 after compliance date: (yes/no) (40 CFR 63.347(a)) performance test results
	_ compliance status
	copy of performance test report and
	notification of compliance status to U.S. EPA if no Title V permit yet issued or
	notification of compliance status to APCD if Title V permit issued
A coi	mpliance status report that included: (yes/no) (40 CFR 63.347(e))
	if performance test is required, the test report documenting the results
	_ type and quantity of hazardous air pollutants emitted
	_ specific operating parameter value, or range of values, for each monitored parameter
	<u> </u>
	_ description of the air pollution control technique for each emission point

	statement that the operator has the O&M plan completed and on file and statement by the operator as to whether the tank is in compliance with this regulation
8)	An ongoing compliance status report for the facility based upon one of the following scenarios:
	(40 CFR 63.347(g) if major source or 40 CFR 63.347(h) if area source)
	if it is a major source it prepares and submits a report to APCD every 6 months unless
	APCD decides more frequent reports required or
	if it is a major source that experienced exceedances of emission limits it submits a report
	to APCD every quarter (3 months) (can be reduced if meet conditions, SEE Inspector's
	Guidance Manual Section 1.6.7.4) or
	if it is an area source it prepares and submits ongoing compliance reports annually, retain
	it on-site, and makes it available to APCD or Inspector upon request or
	if it is an area source with excess emissions $\geq 1\%$ of total operating time for reporting
	period and total duration of malfunctions of add-on control equipment and monitoring
	equipment is $\geq 5\%$ of the total operating time, it prepares and submits reports every 6
	months or more often if APCD chooses (can be reduced if meet conditions)

TABLE 1
Emission Limits For Chromium Electroplating Tanks -- Single Source / Tank

Single Tank	Existing, New, or Reconstructed Source	Hexavalent or Trivalent Solution	Use Wetting Agent as Control	Use Trivalent Solution & Wetting Agent is Ingredient	Required Emission Limit
Hard Chromium					total chromium in gas stream
Large	Existing, New, or Reconstructed	n/a	n/a	n/a	not exceed: 0.015 mg/dscm
Small	Existing New or Reconstructed	n/a n/a	n/a n/a	n/a n/a	0.03 mg/dscm 0.015 mg/dscm
Decorative Chromium	Existing, New, or Reconstructed	Hexavalent	No	n/a	total chromium in gas stream not exceed: 0.01 mg/dscm
			Yes	n/a	not allow the surface tension of the electroplating bath to exceed 45 dynes/cm
	Existing, New, or Reconstructed	Trivalent	No	No	total chromium in gas stream not exceed: 0.01 mg/dscm
			Yes	No	not allow the surface tension of the electroplating bath to exceed 45 dynes/cm
			n/a	Yes	No Standard
Chromium Anodizing	Existing, New, or Reconstructed	n/a	No	n/a	total chromium in gas stream not exceed: 0.01 mg/dscm
			Yes	n/a	not allow the surface tension of the electroplating bath to exceed 45 dynes/cm

TABLE 2
Emission Limits For Chromium Electroplating Tanks -- Multiple Sources / Tanks

Group of Tanks with Any One Tank Operating	Common Add-on Air Pollution Control Device	Each Tank Performs Same Type of Operation	Each Tank is Subject to the Same Emission Limits	Control Device Controls Nonaffected Tanks	Required Emission Limits
TYPE I:					
Hard Chromium Large: Existing, New, & Reconstructed					
	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	0.015 mg/dscm
Small: Existing	Yes	Yes	Yes	Na	0.030 mg /dscm
New & Reconstructed	Yes	Yes	Yes	No No	0.030 mg/dscm
Decorative Chromium	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	See Table 1.3
Chromium Anodizing	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	See Table 1.3
TYPE II:					
Large or Small Hard Chromium	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	See Note A
Decorative Chromium	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	See Note A
Chromium Anodizing	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	See Note A
TYPE III:					
Large or Small Hard Chromium	Yes	No	Yes	Yes or No	See Note B
Decorative Chromium	Yes	No	Yes	Yes or No	See Note B
Chromium Anodizing	Yes	No	Yes	Yes or No	See Note B
TYPE IV:					
Large or Small Hard Chromium	Yes	Yes	No	Yes or No	See Note C
Decorative Chromium	Yes	Yes	No	Yes or No	See Note C
Chromium Anodizing	Yes	Yes	No	Yes or No	See Note C

Note A: Special compliance provisions for multiple sources, performing the same type of operation, controlled by a common add-on air pollution control device:

- (i) Calculate the cross-sectional area of each inlet duct, including those not affected by the standard.
- (ii) Determine the total sample time per test run by dividing the total inlet area from all tanks connected to the control system by the total inlet area for all ducts associated with affected source, then multiply this number by two (2) hours; this calculated time is the minimum sample time required per test run.
- (iii) Perform Method 306 testing and calculate an outlet mass emission rate.
- (iv) Determine the total ventilation rate from the affected sources by using equation 1:

(1)
$$VR_{tot} \times IDA_i / (sum) IA_{total} = VR_{inlet}$$

where VR_{tot} is the average total ventilation rate in dscm/min for the three test runs as determined at the outlet by mass of the Method 306 testing; $IDA_{i,a}$ is the total inlet duct area for all ducts conveying chromic acid from each type of affected source performing the same operation, or each type of affected source subject to the same emission limitation; IA_{total} is the sum of all nonaffected sources; and VR_{inlet} is the total ventilation rate from all inlet ducts associated with affected sources.

(v) Establish the allowable mass emission rate of the system (AMR_{sys}) in milligrams of total chromium per hour (mg/hr) using equation 2:

where (sum)VR $_{inlet}$, is the total ventilation rate in dscm/min from the affected sources, and EL is the applicable emission limitation from 40 CFR 63.342 in mg/dscm. The allowable mass emission rate (AMR $_{sys}$) calculated from equation 2 should be equal to or less than the outlet three-run average mass emission rate determined from Method 306 testing in order for the source to be in compliance with the standard.

Note B: Special compliance provisions for multiple sources controlled by a common add-on air pollution control device (that may or may not also be controlling emissions from sources not affected by these standards), and performing different types of operations (i.e., hard chromium electroplating, decorative chromium electroplating, or chromium anodizing):

- (i) Calculate the cross-sectional area of each inlet duct, including those not affected by the standard.
- (ii) Determine the total sample time per test run by dividing the total inlet area from all tanks connected to the control system by the total inlet area for all ducts associated with affected source, then multiply this number by 2 hours; this calculated time is the minimum sample time required per test run.
- (iii) Perform Method 306 testing and calculate an outlet mass emission rate.
- (iv) Determine the total ventilation rate for each type of affected source using equation 3: (3) $VR_{tot} \times IDA_{i,a} / (sum)IA_{total} = VR_{inlet,a}$

where VR_{tot} is the average total ventilation rate in dscm/min for the three test runs as determined at the outlet by means of the Method 306 testing; IDA_{i,a} is the total inlet duct area for all ducts conveying chromic acid from each type of affected source performing the same operation, or each type of affected source subject to the same emission limitation; IA_{total} is the sum of all duct areas from both affected and nonaffected sources; and VR_{inlet,a}, is the total ventilation rate from all inlet ducts conveying chromic acid from each type of affected source performing the same operation, or each type of affected source subject to the same emission limitation.

(v) Establish the allowable mass emission rate in mg/hr for each type of affected source that is controlled by the add-on air pollution control device using equation 4, 5, 6, or 7 as appropriate:

(4)
$$VR_{hc1}X EL_{hc1}X 60 \text{ minutes / hour} = AMR_{hc1}$$

(5)
$$VR_{hc2} X EL_{hc2} X$$
 60 minutes / hour = AMR_{hc2}

(6)
$$VR_{dc} X EL_{dc} X 60 \text{ minutes / hour} = AMR_{dc}$$

(7)
$$VR_{ca} \times EL_{ca} \times 60 \text{ minutes / hour} = AMR_{ca}$$

where "hc" applies to the total of ventilation rates for all hard chromium electroplating tanks subject to the same emission limitation, "dc" applies to the total of ventilation rates for the decorative chromium electroplating tanks, "ca" applies to the total of ventilation rates for the chromium anodizing tanks, and EL is the applicable emission limitation from 40 CFR 63.342 in mg/dscm. There are two equations for hard chromium electroplating tanks because different emission limitations may apply (e.g., a new tank versus an existing, small tank).

(vi) Establish the allowable mass emission rate (AMR) in mg/hr for the system using the equation 8, including each type of affected source as appropriate:

(8)
$$AMR_{hc1} + AMR_{hc2} + AMR_{dc} + AMR_{ca} = AMR_{sys}$$

The allowable mass emission rate calculated from equation 8 should be equal to or less than the outlet three-run average mass emission rate determined from Method 306 testing in order for the source to be in compliance with the standards.

Note C: Special compliance provisions for multiple sources controlled by a common add-on air pollution control device, and performing same type of operation (that may or may not also be controlling emissions from sources not affected by these standards), but are subject to different emission limitations (i.e., because one is a new hard chromium plating tank and one is an existing tank):

- (i) Calculate the cross-sectional area of each inlet duct, including those not affected by the standard.
- (ii) Determine the total sample time per test run by dividing the total inlet area from all tanks connected to the control system by the total inlet area for all ducts associated with affected source, then multiply this number by 2 hours; this calculated time is the minimum sample time required per test run.
- (iii) Perform Method 306 testing and calculate an outlet mass emission rate.
- (iv) Determine the total ventilation rate for each type of affected source using equation 3:

(3)
$$VR_{tot} \times IDA_{i,a} / (sum)IA_{total} = VR_{inlet,a}$$

where VR_{tot} is the average total ventilation rate in dscm/min for the three test runs as determined at the outlet by means of the Method 306 testing; $IDA_{i,a}$ is the total inlet duct area for all ducts conveying chromic acid from each type of affected source performing the same operation, or each type of affected source subject to the same emission limitation; IA_{total} is the sum of all duct areas from both affected and nonaffected sources; and $VR_{inlet,a}$, is the total ventilation rate from all inlet ducts conveying chromic acid from each type of affected source performing the same operation, or each type of affected source subject to the same emission limitation.

(v) Establish the allowable mass emission rate in mg/hr for each type of affected source that is controlled by the add-on air pollution control device using equation 4, 5, 6, or 7 as appropriate:

(4)
$$VR_{hc1} X EL_{hc1} X 60 \text{ minutes / hour} = AMR_{hc1}$$

(5) $VR_{hc2} X EL_{hc2} X 60 \text{ minutes / hour} = AMR_{hc2}$
(6) $VR_{dc} X EL_{dc} X 60 \text{ minutes / hour} = AMR_{dc}$
(7) $VR_{ca} X EL_{ca} X 60 \text{ minutes / hour} = AMR_{ca}$

where "hc" applies to the total of ventilation rates for all hard chromium electroplating tanks subject to the same emission limitation, "dc" applies to the total of ventilation rates for the decorative chromium electroplating tanks, "ca" applies to the total of ventilation rates for the chromium anodizing tanks, and EL is the applicable emission limitation from 40 CFR 63.342 in mg/dscm. There are two equations for hard chromium electroplating tanks because different emission limitations may apply (e.g., a new tank versus an existing, small tank).

(vi) Establish the allowable mass emission rate (AMR) in mg/hr for the system using the equation 8, including each type of affected source as appropriate:

(8)
$$AMR_{hc1} + AMR_{hc2} + AMR_{dc} + AMR_{ca} = AMR_{sys}$$

The allowable mass emission rate calculated from equation 8 should be equal to or less than the outlet three-run average mass emission rate determined from Method 306 testing in order for the source to be in compliance with the standards.

TABLE 3 Work Practice Standards for Add-On Control Device

Control Device	Work Practice Standards	Time Required		
Composite Mesh Pad (CMP) System	 Visually inspect device to ensure there is proper drainage, no chromic acid buildup on the pads, and no evidence of chemical attack on the structural integrity of the device. Visually inspect back portion of the mesh pad closest to the fan to ensure there is no breakthrough of chromic acid mist. Visually inspect ductwork from tank to the control device to ensure there are no leaks. Perform washdown of the composite mesh pads in accordance with manufacturer's recommendations 	 1. 1/quarter 2. 1/quarter 3. 1/quarter 4. Per manufacturer 		
Packed Bed Scrubber (PBS) System	 Visually inspect device to ensure there is proper drainage, no chromic acid buildup on the packed beds, and no evidence of chemical attack on the structural integrity of the device. Visually inspect back portion of the chevron blade mist eliminator to ensure that it is dry and there is no breakthrough of chromic acid mist. Same as number 3 for CMP System. Add fresh makeup water to the top of the packed bed.^{a,b} 	 1. 1/quarter 2. 1/quarter 3. 1/quarter 4. Whenever makeup is added 		
PBS/CMP System	 Same as for CMP System. 	 1. 1/quarter 2. 1/quarter 3. 1/quarter 4. Per manufacturer 		
Fiber-Bed Mist Eliminator ^c	 Visually inspect fiber-bed unit and prefiltering device to ensure there is proper drainage, no chromic acid buildup in the units, and no evidence of chemical attack on the structural integrity of the devices. Visually inspect ductwork from tank or tanks to the control device to ensure there are no leaks. Perform washdown of fiber elements in accordance with manufacturer's recommendations. 	 1. 1/quarter 2. 1/quarter 3. Per manufacturer 		
Air pollution control device not listed in rule	To be proposed by the source for approval by the Administrator of the U.S. EPA.	To be proposed by source for approval by Administrator		
Monitoring Equipment				
Pilot Tube	Backflush with water, or remove from the duct and rinse with fresh water. Replace in the duct and rotate 180 degrees to ensure that the same zero reading is obtained. Check pitot tube ends for damage. Replace pitot tube if cracked or fatigued.			
Stalagmometer ^d	Follow manufacturer's recommendations.			

^a If greater than fifty (50) percent of the scrubber water is drained (e.g., for maintenance purposes), makeup water may be added to the scrubber basin.

^b For horizontal flow scrubbers, top is defined as the section of the unit directly above the packing media such that the makeup water would flow perpendicular to the air flow through the packing. For vertical flow units, the top is defined as the area downstream of the packing material such that the makeup water would flow countercurrent to the air flow

through the unit.

^c Work practice standards for the control device installed upstream of the fiber bed mist eliminator to prevent plugging do not apply as long as the work practice standards for the fiber bed unit are followed.

^d Device used to measure the surface tension of the bath.