

DATE: NOVEMBER 2014

SEARCH FUNDS: BEST PRACTICES FOR THE SEARCH PHASE

Introduction

The search fund model is predicated upon two phases: 1) searching for and acquiring a business that fits a set of specific criteria, and 2) successfully operating and growing the acquired business. The average searcher spends 19 months (median average) on this first phase, looking for the right business to acquire. The process is long, difficult, and full of misses. More than a quarter of searchers never find a business, and another fifth lose capital with the acquired company. There is no doubt that the search phase of the search fund model is absolutely critical. There is a learning process to this phase, and searchers become significantly better at the process over time. Searchers would have a considerably greater chance of success if they could advance along this learning curve more efficiently.

The goal of this document is to share information and viewpoints from experienced searchers and investors in order to assist new searchers in their pursuit to find and acquire the right company. The paper also serves as a potential blueprint for searchers during the search phase; searchers may follow or adapt the practices as they see fit. The author assumes that the reader will have read the Search Fund Primer available on the Stanford Graduate School of Business website;³ thus, this document does not define common search fund terms found within the primer. Additionally, this document focuses on the search phase only and does not discuss raising a fund or closing a deal.

There are many ways to conduct a successful search. After having conducted dozens of interviews, the author believes that there are specific best practices. This document is not meant to be prescriptive, but instead intends to highlight a few key issues in the search phase as well as best practices in approaching these issues.

Please also note that in this paper, searchers are often referred to in the singular ("a searcher"),

This document was prepared by Louis Stern (MBA 2014) under the supervision of Jim Ellis, Lecturer in Management at the Graduate School of Business at Stanford University, as the result of an individual research project. The document is shared with the public with the intent to add to the body of knowledge about the Search Fund investment vehicle, not to provide any specific advice. The author would especially like to thank Coley Andrews (Pacific Lake Partners) and the Searchers and Investors who elected to participate in this project, including Charles Anderson, Rameez Ansari, Will Bressman, Lucas Braun, Jay Davis, Professor David Dodson, Jim Edmunds (Search Fund Partners), Professor Peter Kelly, Benjamin Kessler, Dwight Keyzor, Rob Lemos, Nick Mansour, Greg Matthews, Sandro Mina (Relay Investments), Felix Odigie, Nick Padlo, Rehmann Rayani, Jeff Stevens (Anacapa Partners), and Will Thorndike (Housatonic Partners).

¹ "A Primer on Search Funds", *Stanford Graduate School of Business*, September 16, 2013, http://www.gsb.stanford.edu/ces/resources/search_fund_primer.html, p. 3.

² Ibid., p. 13.

³ Ibid.

[©] Copyright Louis Stern 2014. This document (excluding its exhibits) is not to be reproduced in whole or in part without the prior written consent of the author. For information, please contact the Center for Entrepreneurial Studies at the Graduate School of Business at CES@gsb.stanford.edu.

even though many search funds are undertaken by a pair of entrepreneurs in partnership. Also, although many searches are conducted by men, several are undertaken by women, and so the author uses "s/he" to refer to a searcher.⁴

TIME MANAGEMENT

The biggest enemy of a searcher is time. With limited funds, a searcher needs to allocate his time efficiently from the very beginning of the search phase. Within the search phase, there are two primary processes to which a searcher should allocate her time: 1) the industry-driven proprietary search, and 2) the intermediated or brokered search. A searcher must also manage search fund operations. The general consensus is that a searcher should allocate approximately 80 percent of his time to the industry-driven proprietary search process and 20 percent to the intermediated or brokered search, with a very small amount of time dedicated to search fund operations.

The Industry-Driven Proprietary Search Process

The first step of the industry-driven proprietary search process is to find industries of interest that *also* fit a set of criteria deemed necessary for success.⁵ It is difficult to be credible, thoughtful, and engaged in more than one to three industries at any one time, but a searcher can quickly cycle through industries in a handful of months. The second step is to build a list of companies and individuals to contact within these industries. Finding names of companies, descriptions, owners, and contact information is a tedious and time-intensive process. Interns, as will be described in more depth later in this document, are critical in gathering this information. Another effective way to leverage time is to find and utilize industry experts, or "river guides." Selling river guides on introducing a searcher to business owners in exchange for 0.5 percent of deal value is easier than selling owners on giving up control of their business. And, a warm introduction with business owners is much more efficient than a cold introduction. In addition, conversations with river guides can be used to educate a searcher on the industry, especially with questions a searcher would not want to ask sellers. While river guides are often the best path to success, a searcher should not rely exclusively on this avenue and should build a process around cold reach-outs.

There are three ways a searcher can reach out to the people and companies on his list: phone, mail, and e-mail (for an example of an initial seller e-mail, see **Exhibit 1**). The search process is a numbers game, and each of these methods can yield success. Directly calling, although not frequently used by many searchers, can be quite effective depending on the comfort and personal preference of a searcher. E-mail allows a searcher to reach out to thousands of companies per week, while letters to hundreds at most. However, searchers report higher hit rates with personalized letters than with e-mails. A common and fairly successful technique is to start with a personalized e-mail and follow up with a phone call less than a week later.

Regardless of the reach-out method utilized, a searcher should aim to meet with the owner in person as soon as possible. The goal in this first in-person meeting is not necessarily to buy the company, but instead to learn and build industry expertise. This knowledge will increase a

⁴ Similarly, the author alternates the use of "his" and "her" throughout the document.

⁵ These criteria should be determined by the searcher in conjunction with investors/advisors.

searcher's credibility with sellers, build her confidence for future meetings, and help her practice and iterate her pitch. After several of these types of meetings, the goal should be to generate *quality* leads using river guides, cold reach-outs or industry conferences. The importance of using meetings to learn and build confidence early in the process cannot be stressed enough. Though fairly inefficient at first glance, this process will help a searcher build a foundation of skills and comfort that will yield exponential returns later in the search phase.

The Intermediated Search Process and Operations

The balance of a searcher's time (approximately 20 percent) should be spent on brokered deals and managing search fund operations. This means that in a given week, a searcher should spend one day or less reaching out to brokers (for an example of an initial broker e-mail, see **Exhibit 2**), signing non-disclosure agreements (NDAs), analyzing inbound deals, managing the company pipeline, managing interns and dealing with unforeseen events. While the broker process rarely produces quality leads, utilizing interns to screen inbound leads can save time and increase the chances of finding a quality lead. In addition to creating a few interesting opportunities, a searcher can use the broker process to come up with new industries to analyze and research. To this end, in the very beginning of a search, a searcher may consider spending a bit more time on the brokered process. Moreover, a searcher may consider using brokered deals to engage his investor group in the first few months of his search; sending a short blurb on a few interesting brokered deals can help a searcher start interesting conversations and learn how to effectively leverage the investor group.

A searcher should beware of the tendency to spend too much time analyzing deals, especially when s/he has not yet qualified the seller. It is critical to avoid going too far down the path before understanding whether the owner is a seller. Though analyzing deals is often more fun and interesting than reaching out to companies, it will detract a searcher from the search process.

A searcher should decide whether to work on a daily schedule or a weekly schedule, in which every day (or week) looks the same. This helps a searcher maintain focus. Typical schedules may look like the following:

- Day-based schedule
 - o Meet with team to discuss work plan for the day and ensure team alignment
 - o Read up on industry/company to prepare for calls (5 to 15 minutes to get smart)
 - Start owner and broker calls (two to six calls or meetings in the day)
 - o Respond to e-mails and clear inbox
 - o Conduct industry research (reading, Googling, checking recently closed deals in late-stage VC and Growth Equity, brainstorming)
 - o Check that reach-out e-mails are ready to be sent for the evening or next morning
- Week-based schedule
 - Monday through Wednesday:
 - Blast e-mails the night before (or at the beginning of the day)
 - Manage the bounces (when guessed e-mail addresses), filter through responses, follow-up
 - Arrange times to speak with sellers for later in the week
 - o Thursday through the end of the week:

- Conduct preliminary phone conversations, figuring out if second call necessary, then arranging more detailed conversations over time (e.g., reviewing financials)
- Carve out an hour or two a day to manage existing pipeline and operations

METRICS AND BENCHMARKS


The search process has one goal—to buy a business—and it takes a searcher approximately two years to accomplish this goal. Working with a goal that is two years away can be mentally taxing and exhausting. Thus, in addition to building a structure to her weekly schedule, a searcher should create objectives and benchmarks for the short-term (instead of two years away); this can help make the process more palpable and less tiring.

With this in mind, a searcher should consider the following two goals/benchmarks:

- Number of high-quality first-time in-person meetings with sellers:
 - o Five per month
- Number of Letters of Intent (LOIs) signed:
 - o Five or more over the life of the fund⁶

The number of high-quality first-time in-person meetings is discussed below, and the number of LOIs signed is discussed later in this document.

In order to get five high-quality in-person meetings per month, a searcher should come up with an appropriate funnel. Below is a sample funnel.



There will most likely be additional steps between some or all of the milestones above (e.g., financials review, valuation/pricing conversation). It is difficult to create benchmarks for all of these milestones because the numbers will vary widely between searchers depending on their specific tactics (e.g., e-mail vs. postal mail) and schedules. With this important caveat, below is an example of the first year results of one searcher with a postal mail approach:

- Initial reach-out: 3,404
- Response: 256
- Positive follow-up: 124
- In-person management meetings: 25
- Pricing conversation and indication of interest: 16
- LOIs sent: 4
- LOIs signed: 2

_

⁶ It should be noted that there are typically four LOIs signed for every company that is purchased.

It should also be noted that as time goes on, a searcher should expect to see improvements in these milestones as s/he becomes more effective in the search process.

INTERNS

Not so common in the early days of search funds, interns have become a common fixture among searchers. Using interns allows a searcher to free up her time to focus on value-added activities. There are typically two methods of finding and managing interns. The first is to hire several interns (part-time and/or full-time) and spend as little time as possible managing them. The second, less common and more difficult for solo searchers, is to spend time training and managing interns. Some searchers complement or supplement their use of interns with outsourcing services such as O-Desk or HourlyNerd.

Sourcing and Recruiting Interns

Searchers have found interns through a variety of sources: posting on job boards and Craigslist, utilizing their personal networks, and talking to colleges' career centers. Undergraduates and unemployed graduates are often best suited for such a role; they are typically motivated, eager to learn, and excited to work hard. Graduate students, including MBAs, are less likely to put in the time needed for the arduous tasks involved in the search phase.

Once a searcher has sourced candidates, the screening process should be fairly quick. The searcher should aim to hire interns who have an interest in the field (e.g., have taken investing or finance classes). Interns should be diligent, detail-oriented, and motivated. In addition, since a searcher will spend a significant amount of time with interns, it is important that the searcher get along with them. One effective way of testing how interns will perform is to describe the tasks they will have to perform in painstaking detail, making it clear that the job will at times be difficult and monotonous. If candidates are not discouraged, this is usually a good indicator that they will work hard. A searcher should also consider putting on paper what is expected from interns to ensure alignment from the beginning of the working relationship (for an example of an intern offer letter, see **Exhibit 3**).

If a searcher can get part-time interns during the school year, s/he should try to ensure they receive school credit for their work. Many searchers take great pride in having placed their interns in investment banking, management consulting or private equity after their internships. Such a track record can help a searcher's recruiting efforts substantially.

Leveraging Interns

Interns are particularly helpful for data research and collection, list building, process management, and screening inbound brokered deals. A searcher can leverage interns by asking them to find important industry information, so as not to waste time tracking down the information himself. Once a searcher has selected a few choice industries, s/he should ask the interns to build lists of companies with contact information. There is no secret to list building. It is a long and tedious task that involves searching for information across a wide variety of

sources. No single source is comprehensive or perfect; however, below are examples of sites and sources used by searchers:

- Avention
- BvD Orbis
- www.data.com
- Dunn & Bradstreet
- Google keyword-driven searches
- Google Maps keyword searches⁷
- Hoovers
- Inc. 5000
- Manta
- ReferenceUSA
- S&P CapitalIQ
- Trade show lists

Interns should input this data in some sort of Customer Relationship Management (CRM) system so that a searcher can easily monitor communication and progress made with companies. Some searchers use Microsoft Excel to manage this information. However, many other searchers have found that dedicated CRM systems, including Salesforce, Streak and Zoho, are more effective, increasing searchers' efficiency and organization.

With company lists in hand, interns can start the reach-out process by customizing the template letters or e-mails a searcher has prepared (and iterated multiple times with feedback from sellers, searchers and investors). Interns can send these e-mails/letters out, keeping track of bounce-backs and responses.

One task that interns usually find more appealing is helping with the broker side of the search. Some searchers have their interns manage a large part of this process: handling the early broker conversations (asking for their book of deals), gathering NDAs to be signed, and screening the deals using an appropriate screening tool built by the searcher to avoid looking at "bad" deals (for an example of such a tool, see **Exhibit 4**).

ADDITIONAL TOPICS

Investor Communication

There are two key takeaways related to investor communication. First, a searcher should always reach out to investors if s/he has a question/concern and thinks investors can help. This is not wasting investors' time; investors want to help and advise in the search. Second, a searcher should not waste time communicating with investors. If a searcher does not need anything from investors, s/he should not reach out. That said, nearly every searcher communicates on a quarterly basis with his full investor group through an update report.

⁷ Zooming in on a particular geographic area on Google Maps and typing industry keywords can sometimes yield useful results.

⁸ This is more the case for institutional investors, whose sole focus is search fund investing, but it will often also be true of individual investors.

Investors generally advise searchers not to spend too much time on these quarterly update reports. The typical investor spends less than 15 minutes reading the report; as such, a searcher should only spend an hour or two making it (early on, this may take a searcher more time). Good reports should be approximately three pages long and structured as follows (for an example of an investor update, see **Exhibit 5**):

- Page 1: text explaining what has happened, where searcher has been spending time, and searcher's plan going forward
- Page 2: table of deals in the pipeline, including industry and location, the most relevant metrics (e.g., revenue, EBITDA, # of employees), and transaction rationale (pros, cons, questions yet to be answered)
- Page 3: budget snapshot and metrics of search, with two columns (previous quarter and to-date) and four rows (reach-out, first-time meetings, LOIs presented, LOIs signed)

LOIs

There is generally a misconception among new searchers that a letter of intent is a big deal, and that a searcher needs to make sure the deal is nearly perfect before presenting an LOI to the seller. Successful searchers are often ones who have signed LOIs in the first year of their search. In baseball, in order to get a base hit, the batter needs more than to simply step up to the plate; s/he needs to have pitches thrown his/her way. A searcher should look at an LOI as getting a pitch thrown his/her way. As such, searchers should not make a big deal out of the LOI process. This is not to say that a searcher should not make every effort to include crucial deal points in the LOI, such as referencing a seller note or specific due diligence concerns. However, a searcher should not waste unnecessary time or energy on the LOI. Given the uncertainty involved in the search process, a searcher should aim to have multiple opportunities to close a deal. The bar to acquire a company is much higher than the bar to get a company under LOI. Below are the four criteria a searcher should review when deciding whether to present an LOI to the seller:

- Company is in an industry the searcher knows and likes—a big and growing industry (huge safety net)
- Company has many of the key ingredients for success:
 - Service company
 - o Recurring, contractual revenue
 - History of profits and growth
 - Low capex
 - High EBITDA margins
 - o EBITDA of \$2 to \$4 million
- Seller dynamic is positive; seller has a real catalyst to sell and to sell *specifically* to the searcher
- Company is offered at a fair price (not overvalued but not cheap)

Once the seller signs the LOI (for an example of an LOI, see **Exhibit 6**), a searcher may find out that the seller wants to change the price, that the analysis on recurring revenue shows irregularities, or that there is too much customer concentration. The deal can blow up; the searcher and/or the seller can decide to walk away. Although it is painful when this happens, it is better to have discovered this information before buying the business, and nearly impossible to have found such issues without the LOI-induced due diligence process.

EXHIBITS

- Exhibit 1 Example of Seller Initial E-mail
- Exhibit 2 Example of Broker Initial E-mail
- Exhibit 3 Example of Intern Offer Letter
- Exhibit 4 Screenshot of Example of Brokered Deals Screening Tool
- Exhibit 5 Example of Investor Quarterly Report
- Exhibit 6 Example of Short-Form Letter of Intent

Exhibit 1 Example of Seller Initial E-mail

| [Owner Name] |
|------------------|
| [Position] |
| [Company Name] |
| [Address Line 1] |
| [Address Line 2] |

Dear [Name],

I hope this e-mail finds you well. I recently learned about [Company Name] after spending considerable time researching your sector and am very interested in learning more about your company.

I am an entrepreneur looking to acquire and operate a small to mid-sized privately held business and am particularly interested in the [Company Subsector] space. I have a very seasoned group of investors behind me and we're committed to building a great business over the next ten years or more. I encourage you to take a look at our website at [Website Address] to learn a little more about who we are and what we do.

I would appreciate the opportunity to speak with you about [Company Name]. You have certainly built a very impressive business of [Company Subsector] and your [Service Name] offerings put you in a unique value position. And you should know that in companies we invest, we prefer to keep the employees and work hard to preserve its good legacy. I would appreciate the opportunity to hear your thoughts on the sector. Please let me know if and when you might be available to speak.

| I look forward to | hearing | from | you. |
|-------------------|---------|------|------|
|-------------------|---------|------|------|

Best.

[Name] [Firm]

Exhibit 2 Example of Broker Initial E-mail

Dear [Broker First Name],

I am reaching out to inquire about the businesses you are currently representing.

<u>I am an entrepreneur looking to purchase and operate one business</u>. My goal is to acquire a business and operate it for the long-term, while sinking my roots into the local community and honoring the previous owner's commitments to employees, customers, and suppliers.

Below are the characteristics of the business I am seeking:

- Services business with \$200,000+ cash flow
- Owner seeking to exit in 0-3 years
- Demonstrated business or industry growth
- Gross margin of 30%+
- Part of a fragmented industry
- Located in [region]

I bring the following resources to the table:

- Owner-operator who will move to business' location and operate on a day-to-day basis
- Capital for immediate owner liquidity provided by Operator and Advisors

Please contact me at [Telephone Number] or [E-mail Address] if you have any opportunities that meet the criteria outlined above. I am able to move quickly on the right transaction.

Best regards,

[Name]

Exhibit 3 Example of Intern Offer Letter

[Date]

[Mr./Ms. Intern First and Last Name] [Address Line 1] [Address Line 2]

Dear [Intern First Name],

It brings me great joy to welcome you to the [Search Fund Name] team. Let me be the first to congratulate you. I would like to take the opportunity in this letter to cover the details of the position, as well as the expectations I have for you as an Associate.

Details of Position

- Title: Associate
- Start Date: [Thursday, September 5, 2013]
- End Date: [Friday, December 20, 2013]
- Pre-Start Meeting: [Thursday, September 5, 2013 at 8:30 AM]
- Role: As an Associate, it is expected that you will assume the following responsibilities:
 - Review of confidential information books on potential acquisitions (20%)
 - o Industry and small business research (20%)
 - o Production of letters for targeted small businesses (40%)
 - Participation in phone calls with investment bankers and small business owners (20%)
- Pay / Sponsorship: Unpaid internship
- Hours per Week: 40 hours per week
- Location of Office: [Address of Search Fund Offices]
- <u>Time off:</u> Time off (week or days) is acceptable; please alert [Searcher Name] one week in advance

Expectations of Position

- <u>Commitment:</u> It is expected that the Associate show up from the "Start Date" provided above to the "End Date" provided above. Your commitment is critical to our team's success.
- <u>Culture</u>: Culture is a fundamental part of [Search Fund Name]. Highlights of our culture include always acting with the highest level of integrity, treating each person with respect, keeping all information surrounding transactions confidential, and having fun. It is expected that you act within these expectations of culture and be a strong representative of [Search Fund Name] in front of other teammates or clients.
- <u>Flexibility:</u> It is important to remember that [Search Fund Name] is a start-up private equity firm. This has the advantage of involving each Associate in every part

- of the transactions. This, at times, has the disadvantage of requiring each Associate to be flexible throughout his employment.
- Confidentiality and Nondisclosure Agreement: As part of your role as an Associate, you will be signing Nondisclosure Agreements on a daily basis and working with highly confidential information on potential acquisitions. You are expected to keep all of the information you hear and see at [Search Fund Name] confidential. This means not sharing any information in written or verbal form with your friends, classmates, or family. As part of the initial paperwork, please fill out and sign the attached Nondisclosure Agreement.
- <u>Profile and Picture:</u> Please e-mail a JPEG photo of yourself and a one-paragraph biography to [Searcher Name] prior to the "Pre-Start Meeting." Please make biography consistent with biographies found at [Search Fund Website].
- <u>Professional Goals:</u> [Search Fund Name] takes the development of each employee very seriously. Please arrive at your "Pre-Start Meeting" with the following:
 - Three (3) things you would like to learn over the course of your employment at [Search Fund Name]
 - o Three (3) things (i.e., traits, virtues, or competencies) you would like to improve as a professional during your employment at [Search Fund Name]

We will follow-up on each of these three goals in our bi-weekly meetings.

- Workday Commitment: [Searcher Name], [Searcher Title], will be at the [Search Fund Name] office M-F from 7:00 AM-5:00 PM CST. It is expected that each Associate work M-F from 8:30 AM-4:30 PM CST. Start and end times are flexible, but it is expected that consistency be maintained.
- <u>Computer and Phone:</u> Internet and phone will be provided within the [Search Fund Name] office. However, you are expected to utilize your own computer. Please bring these to the office each workday.
- <u>Travel:</u> Minimal travel may be expected of each Associate. [Search Fund Name] will cover the expenses of this travel, and the travel will rarely entail overnight stays.
- <u>Dress Code:</u> Casual dress (i.e., jeans and t-shirt) is acceptable in the office. Shorts will not be permitted. When meeting with clients, Associates are expected to wear business suits.
- <u>Meals:</u> Lunch (i.e., pizza or going out) will be provided for the team each Friday, complimentary of [Search Fund Name]. Employees are expected to bring their lunch M-Th. Going off-site is also acceptable. A refrigerator will be available within the office.

If you have any questions on the above, please feel free to e-mail me or give me a call. I am also happy to discuss these during our next conversation.

Again, [Intern First Name], welcome. It's an honor to have you on the team.

Sincerely,

[Signature, Name and Title]

Exhibit 4 **Screenshot of Example of Brokered Deals Screening Tool**

(Thanks to Charles Anderson and Richard Augustyn)

| [Scaren rana | wante mirestinent onderwriting dataen | ines | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|---|---|-----------|-------------|----------------|-------------|------|----------------|---------------|----------|
| Company: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Reviewer: | | _ | | | | | | | | |
| Date: | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | _ | | | | | | | | |
| Investment U | nderwriting Guidelines: Company | | | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | Rating | Comments |
| | Year | | t,c | t, | t _a | t, | t, | t _o | 1=Low; 5=High | |
| Financial Crite | ria | Revenue | | | | | | | | |
| Financial Crite | | Revenue Growth | t | 1 | | | | | | |
| Financial Crite | ria | Gross Margin | t | 1 | | | | | | |
| Financial Crite | ria | EBITDA | 1 | | | | | | | |
| Financial Crite | | EBITDA Growth | 1 | | | | | | | |
| Financial Crite | ria | EBITDA Margin | 1 | | | | | | | |
| | | ROTC=(Operating Inc.+Amort)/(Total Assets - Cash - Investments - Goodwill - Intangible | 1 | | | | | | | |
| Financial Crite | ria | Assets - Curr Liab excl. Borrowing) | | | | | | | | |
| | | Must Haves | _ | | | • | • | | | |
| Category | Description | Explanation | Example | | | | | | | |
| Stability | Recurring Revenue | % of Consistent Revenue Month-to-Month | 70%+ is \ | | | | | | | |
| Stability | 15% EBITDA Margins | EBITDA Margins ensure Target Co. has cash flow to weather a shift in their business model | | | | | | | | |
| Stability | Depreciation & CAPEX Requirements | EBITDA - CAPEX = "FCF (determine how much the co. must reinvest each year) | 1 | | | | | | | |
| | | This is a quick test to determine how product intensive the company is. The greater the | | | | | | | | |
| Stability | 25% Gross Margin | gross margin the better | | | | | | | | |
| Size | Revenue | \$10 · \$35M | t | | | | | | | |
| Size | Employee Count | Rev/Employee is a quick metric to test the efficiency of the organization | 1 | | | | | | | |
| Size | Rev/Employee | | 1 | | | | | | | |
| Growth | 10% Revenue LTM Growth | Growth is key! It is a significant factor in increasing the manager's margin for error. | | | | | | | | |
| Growth | 10% EBITDA LTM Growth | See above point. | t | | | | | | | |
| Structure | Family-owned business | The ideal target has a CEO who wants to retire but does not have a successor in place | | | | | | | | |
| Structure | Founder operated/controlled | See above point. | 1 | | | | | | | |
| Structure | Majority Ownership | It is quite difficult to convince multiple owners to sell to a search fund | | | | | | | | |
| | | When a good manager meets a bad industry, it's the industry that keeps its reputation | | | | | | | | |
| Industry | Growing | -Warren Buffett | | | | | | | | |
| Industry | Secular Tailwinds | Will growth come from stealing clients, or are new clients using this product/service | | | | | | | | |
| | | Can the company be killed overnight by a change in the business environment or | | | | | | | | |
| Industry | Exogenous Risk | government regulation | | | | | | | | |
| | | Service companies are less asset intensive and therefore generate a higher margins and a | | | | | | | | |
| Industry | Services | greater ROTC | | | | | | | | |
| | | Separate the variable + fixed costs: Can a bigger firm offer products at a lower cost than a | | | | | | | | |
| Mkt Position | Economies of scale | smaller firm | | | | | | | | |
| Mkt Position | Network Effects | Does the value of a service increase in direct proportion to the number of people using it? | Faceboo | k, credit c | ard proce | ssing syste | ems | | | |
| | | Can the company leverage its brand name or secret sauce to create stable revenues and to | | | | | | | | |
| Mkt Position | Intellectual Property/Intangible Assets | charge higher prices? | | | | | | | | |
| Customers | Customer concentration | Ideally, no customer will account for >20% of total revenues | | | | | | | | |
| Customers | Age of cust. Relationship | Loyal customers help contribute to recurring revenue. | | | | | | | | |
| | | The cost of not using or leaving the company is much greater than the cost of staying with | | | | | | | | |
| Customers | High Switching Costs | the company | | | | | | | | |
| Company | Age of company | A company > 10 yrs old has matured more than a 3 year old company | | | | | | | | |
| | | Nice -To-Haves | | | | | | | | |
| Category | Description | Explanation | Example | | | | | | | |
| | | A firm that is constantly collecting data and learning will remain more relevant for a longer | | | | | | | | |
| Company | Data-Driven | period of time | | | | | | | | |
| | | "It's better to pay a fair price for a good company than a good price for a fair company" -W. | | | | | | | | |
| Price | Purchase Price | Buffett | Determin | ned by mi | ultiple of E | BITDA | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Summary | | | | | | | | | | |

| ROTC Calculation | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
|------------------------------------|------|----------------|------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| | t.5 | t ₄ | t.3 | t. ₂ | t. ₁ | t _o |
| Operating income | | | | | | |
| + Amortization | | | | | | |
| Total Assets | | | | | | |
| - Cash | | | | | | |
| - Investments | | | | | | |
| -Goodwill | | | | | | |
| - Intangible Assets | | | | | | |
| - Current Liabilities (excl brw'g) | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Exhibit 5 Example of Investor Quarterly Report

E-MAIL BODY [EXAMPLE]

| Dear [Search Fund Name] Shareholder, |
|--|
| Please find attached [Search Fund Name]'s report for the [third] quarter of [20]. The [third] quarter has been exciting both personally and professionally and his wife are expecting twins in January got married on August 1 st and enjoyed a honeymoon in Greece with his new wife Professionally, we are excited about several current deals and are working hard to move each of them forward. |
| We continue our proprietary approach by focusing in our industries and business models of interest. We spent more time in [Q3] working on active deals than we have in the past; however, we are still utilizing our outbound marketing campaign to reach business owners directly. We will continue to communicate as appropriate between our quarterly updates and look forward to getting your feedback and speaking with you soon. |
| Sincerely, [Searchers Names] |

REPORT

OVERVIEW [EXAMPLE]

[Search Fund Name] is still utilizing its proprietary outbound marketing campaign; because we are moving four deals along, we are sending fewer outbound e-mails in order to balance our sourcing effort and our work on active deals. We are also continuing to engage the intermediary community in an effort to balance our proprietary and broker related opportunities. Below is a brief update on the four deals on which we are actively working:

- 1. ____ business After nearly a year of conversations, the seller's health has recently forced the issue for him, which has reinvigorated the process. We are currently in a final round of LOI negotiations with him.
- 2. ____ Although our LOI has expired, we are currently in discussions about different structures at a lower valuation. __ has signed over \$1 million of new annual recurring business since June, reinforcing our belief that the business is well positioned in a growing market.
- 3. ____ After submitting an LOI in late August, the process has progressed slowly for reasons on both sides. We continue to have interest in the deal and have a trip scheduled October 8th in an effort to move the process forward.
- 4. Services Proposed transaction will generate a high cash return with low risk. The seller sold the business in 2005 and then bought it back in 2007; for contractual reasons, he cannot enter into an LOI until January of 2010. We continue to have a high interest and will pursue in January.

In addition to the four opportunities mentioned above, we are actively reviewing other deals that are outlined in the deal overview section. Since our last update, we have actively reviewed and killed approximately 35 opportunities, the most interesting of which are included below in the deal overview section.

DEAL OVERVIEW [FIRST EXAMPLE]

| Company | Sales | Ebitda | Asking Px | Pros | Cons | Status |
|--|-------|--------|-------------------------|---|--|--------|
| Document and Managed Service Provider | \$37M | \$3.0M | ?? | Company looking to transition to new CEO Recurring revenue model Larger player | Focus on tertiary markets Transition expectations likely too long | Active |
| IT Infrastructure Support Services Company | \$13M | \$1.6M | 5.0x- 6.0x Ebitda | Owner is looking to transition Large commercial customers | Customer concentration concerns Banker cooking the numbers | Active |
| Managed Service Provider | \$5M | \$1.0M | ?? | Owner looking to transitionVery strong (and young)COO | Valuation expectations may be high | Active |
| Managed Service Provider | \$30M | \$1.2M | 7.0x Ebitda | Strong ties with State government Owner is absent About to be named State cloud services provider | Operationally less mature Owner has retraded twice | Active |
| Managed Service Provider | \$11M | \$1.5M | 5.5x- 6.5x Ebitda | Industry leader Owner is older and ailing Introduction from very reputable industry veteran | Owner's ego | Active |
| Application Service Provider | \$5M | \$1.6M | ?? | Growth industry Recurring revs | Owners uncertain about selling | Active |

DEAL OVERVIEW [SECOND EXAMPLE]

| Alive Deals | Industry | Sales | EBITDA | Stage | Source | Comment |
|----------------------|----------------|-------|--------|--------------|--------------|--|
| | | | | | | |
| Disease outbreak | Software | 8.0 | 4.0 | Discussions | Proprietary | Impressive software selling to local governments and agencies |
| management | | | | | | to track outbreaks. Growing quickly. |
| Mosquito abatement | Environment | 11.0 | 3.7 | Advanced | Proprietary | Owner has reasonable expectations. 7 year contracts. |
| services | / Pest Service | | | Discussions | | Contractual situation - on hold until 1/15/10. |
| Specialty medical | Healthcare | 10.0 | 3.0 | Initial | Intermediary | Scalable model growing at 20%+. Niche equipment (Bariatrics |
| equipment rental | | | | Discussions | / Attorney | and other). Not directly exposed to reimbursement risk. |
| Corporate | Business | 15.5 | 2.2 | LOI | Intermediary | Sticky, recurring revenue model. Opportunities for operational |
| philanthropy program | Process | | | | | improvement. Submitted LOI in August. We have a visit on 10- |
| administration | Outsourcing | | | | | 8. |
| Mutual and hedge | Financial | 9.0 | 1.5 | Discussions | Proprietary | 10%+ organic growth. Potential consolidation opportunity. |
| fund administration | services | | | | | Industry tailwind for independent administration. |
| Telecom expense | Telco Services | 9.0 | 1.5 | LOI | Proprietary | Exclusivity expired. Resuming discussions at lower valuation. |
| management | | | | | | |
| Life settlement | Life | 3.0 | 1.0 | LOI | Proprietary | Health issues have forced the issue for the seller. Have been |
| servicing company | Settlement | | | Negotiations | | through two rounds of LOI edits. Have call on 10/5 to finalize a |
| | | | | | | few outstanding LOI negotiating points. |
| Monitor Deals | Industry | Sales | EBITDA | Stage | Source | Comment |
| Energy efficiency | Energy | 28.0 | 5.0 | Ending | Proprietary | Project based model and dependency on utility/government |
| manager & software | Services | | | discussions | | financing. Still potential growth investment possible. |

| Dead Deals | Industry | Sales | EBITDA | Reason | Source | Comment |
|---|------------------------|-------|--------|----------------------------|--------------|---|
| | | | | | | |
| Process serving (i.e., you've been served) | Legal Services | 22.0 | 6.0 | Valuation Expectations | Proprietary | Top player. Was contemplating creative structures due to concentration. They decided our offer was not interesting |
| Life expectancy report company | Life Settlement | 10.0 | 5.0 | Legal issues | Proprietary | Continued lawsuit issues. LE report business down by 30%. |
| Lease management software | Software | TBD | ~3.0 | Valuation | Proprietary | Software that manages leases for large businesses with multiple locations. |
| Dental practice management | Healthcare | 25.0 | 3.0 | Situational | Intermediary | Partial buyout of dentist owned dental practices. |
| Voice transcription services | IT BPO Services | 11.0 | 3.0 | Competitive / valuation | Proprietary | Transcription services for individual short dictation. Users receive text file. Seller focusing on other ventures. |
| Mobile nuclear cardio testing | Healthcare | 5.0 | 2.5 | Industry risk | Intermediary | Provides leased equipment and staffing to cardiologist and physician offices. |
| University outsourced services | Call Center | 9.0 | 1.5 | Seller went silent | Proprietary | Outsources call centers and other information services for colleges and universities. 3-5 year recurring contracts. |
| IT hosting business | IT Services | TBD | 1.7 | Not a situational fit | Proprietary | Current owner and manager has 50% silent partner who wants out of the business. |
| Healthcare revenue cycle management | Healthcare Services | TBD | 1.5 | Seller not ready | Proprietary | Combination of transcription and revenue cycle management. |
| Transportation management software | Logistics | 4.5 | 1.4 | Valuation | Proprietary | TMS software integrator for medium sized businesses. |
| Energy data management | Energy Services | 5.0 | 1 | Seller not interested | Proprietary | Provides energy theft and energy efficiency data management for utilities. |
| Telecom expense management business | Telco Services | TBD | 1.0 | Valuation | Proprietary | Owner is 65 but has high expectations. This deal would not work without TNT anyway. |
| Reverse mortgage servicing company | Reverse Mortgage | 5.0 | 1.0 | Negotiations postponed | Proprietary | Industry developments have halted discussions. 50% revenue concentration. |
| Retirement wealth management software | Financial Services | TBD | TBD | Too early stage | Proprietary | Impressive software and market potential. Not a great search fund fit. |

METRICS OVERVIEW [FIRST EXAMPLE]

| Metric | Previous Quarter | To-Date |
|---------------------|------------------|---------|
| Reach-out E-mails | 1,500 | 5,000 |
| First-Time Meetings | 25 | 55 |
| LOIs Presented | 5 | 12 |
| LOIs Signed | 2 | 5 |

METRICS OVERVIEW [SECOND EXAMPLE]

| Outreach Type | Quarter I 🕝 | Quarter II | Quarter III | Quarter IV | Cumulative 🔻 |
|--------------------------|-------------|------------|-------------|------------|--------------|
| Email Introduction | 873 | 127 | | | 1,000 |
| 1st Call with sellers | 157 | 7 | | | 164 |
| 1st Meeting with sellers | 17 | 0 | | | 17 |
| LOIs submitted | 2 | 0 | | | 2 |
| LOIs signed | 0 | 0 | | | 0 |

| Total Companies 💵 | Amount | % of Total |
|----------------------------|--------|------------|
| Advertising | 10 | 1 |
| Business Services | 349 | 35 |
| Education | 202 | 20 |
| Equipment Leasing | 22 | 2 |
| HealthCare Tech. | 19 | 2 |
| Immediate Care | 53 | 5 |
| Insurance | 42 | 4 |
| IT Services | 66 | 7 |
| Real Estate Services | 87 | 9 |
| Transportation and Storage | 63 | 6 |
| Travel | 87 | 9 |
| Total | 1,000 | 100 |

| Companies By Sector August 2013-December 2-1 | Amount 💌 | % of Total 💌 |
|--|----------|--------------|
| Business Services | 349 | 40 |
| Education | 202 | 23 |
| Equipment Leasing | 22 | 3 |
| HealthCare Tech. | 19 | 2 |
| Immediate Care | 53 | 6 |
| Insurance | 34 | 4 |
| Real Estate Services | 87 | 10 |
| Transportation and Storage | 63 | 7 |
| Travel | 44 | 5 |
| Total | 873 | 100 |
| Companies By Sector December 2013-January | Amount | % of Total - |
| Advertising | 10 | 8 |
| Insurance | 8 | 6 |
| IT Services | 66 | 52 |
| Travel | 43 | 34 |
| Total | 127 | 100 |

FINANCIAL UPDATE [EXAMPLE]

Six months into our search, we are well within budget and remain well covered for at least another 18 months of searching. One of the reasons why our expenses to date remain roughly within budget is due to the fee structures and subsequent discounting that we were able to negotiate with our advisors for the [Company] due diligence. Specifically, our total current cash expenditure on diligence fees for [Company] amounted to only ~\$6,000, with another ~\$35,000 in fees deferred. Further details on [Search Fund Name]'s expenses to date are included below.

| | Actual Expenses from | Total Budgeted | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|--------------|
| Actual | Inception to Date | Expenses to Date | % Difference |
| Managers Salaries (for both) | 63,619 | 90,000 | (29%) |
| Payroll Taxes / Insurance | 24,967 | 7,200 | 247% |
| Travel / Business Development | 23,303 | 22,500 | 4% |
| Research and Databases | 1,764 | 7,000 | (75%) |
| Office Rent and Expenses | 23,717 | 10,000 | 137% |
| Marketing | 2,188 | 5,000 | (56%) |
| Start-up Costs | 18,895 | 20,000 | (6%) |
| Due Diligence Expenses | 5,800 | 14,170 | (59%) |
| Total | 164,253 | 175,870 | (7%) |

Exhibit 6 Example of Short-Form Letter of Intent

[Search Fund Letterhead]

[Date] [Seller 1 Name] [Seller 2 Name] [Company Name] [Address]

Dear [Seller First Name]:

This letter of intent shall serve as the understanding between and among [Seller 1 and 2 Names along with any other Selling Entity], (collectively the "Sellers") and [Search Fund Name], LLC, (the "Buyer") regarding the purchase of the stock of [Company Name].

Purchase Price: The purchase price shall be not less than \$[_._] million minus the then current balance owed on Sellers' bank credit facility, payable in cash at closing. The purchase price assumes that revenue growth has continued in [201_] at profit levels consistent with the past.

Stock Purchase Agreement: All parties will work in good faith to negotiate a mutually acceptable stock purchase agreement incorporating the terms outlined above. The document will be set up for simultaneous signing and closing. Each of the parties shall pay its own expenses. If no mutually acceptable agreement can be reached, the parties would break off, each bearing its own expenses.

Continuation of Key Individuals: In recognition of [Key Individual]'s integral role in the management of the company, this offer is predicated on Buyer's negotiation of a mutually acceptable employment agreement with [Key Individual] prior to or simultaneous with closing. Further, Buyer and Sellers will work in good faith to negotiate mutually acceptable advisory agreements with each of [Seller 1 Name] and [Seller 2 Name] for a period of at least two years following the closing, with [Seller 1 Name] will serving in an M&A capacity and [Seller 2 Name] serving in a marketing capacity. [Seller 1 Name] and [Seller 2 Name] will be permitted to maintain health insurance coverage, with premiums paid by Buyer, subject to approval of the health insurance carrier.

To the extent possible, [Seller 1 Name] and [Seller 2 Name] will be permitted to invest in certain securities in the capital structure of Buyer on a pari passu basis. Such participation would be subject to the approval of providers of those layers of capital.

Continuation of the Trade Name: Buyer will continue to use the trade name [Company Name], or a variant thereof containing the word ["___"].

Confidentiality and Exclusivity: [Seller 1 and 2 Names along with any other Selling Entity], acknowledge that the content of this letter constitutes proprietary and confidential information under the previously signed Non-Disclosure agreement and accordingly agree to keep such information, including the Purchase Price and terms, confidential pursuant to its terms. The parties further agree that, for a period of 90 days, [Search Fund Name] shall have the exclusive right to negotiate with Sellers and that Sellers will not hold discussions with other parties during this time. Either party may request a 30-day extension of this time period, the approval of which is not to be unreasonably withheld. [Search Fund Name] acknowledges that all confidential information provided to it during the due diligence period constitute proprietary and confidential information under the previously signed Non-Disclosure agreement and agrees to return any documents provided to it if an agreement is not reached.

Nothing in this letter is intended to bind any of the parties , other than the conditions of the preceding paragraph, with heading "Confidentiality and Exclusivity" and the agreement that each party bear its own expenses (both of which shall survive the termination of this letter of intent). [Furthermore, the parties acknowledge that any binding agreement requires the approval of [Search Fund Name]'s board of directors.]

If you are in agreement with the foregoing, please indicate so by signing below.

| For Sellers: | | |
|--|--------|--|
| Company Name: | | |
| Signed: | Date: | |
| Print Name: | Title: | |
| [Seller Name 1] (Self) | | |
| Signed: | Date: | |
| Print Name: | _ | |
| [Seller Name 2] (Self) | | |
| Signed: | Date: | |
| Print Name: | | |
| For Buyer: | | |
| [Search Fund Name], LLC | | |
| Signed: | Date: | |
| Print Name: [Searcher Name] Title: [Title] | | |