ANNUAL REVIEWS

Annual Review of Biophysics

Molecular Mechanisms of Fast Neurotransmitter Release

Axel T. Brunger, Ucheor B. Choi, Ying Lai,
Jeremy Leitz, and Qiangjun Zhou

Department of Molecular and Cellular Physiology, Department of Neurology and Neurological
Sciences, Department of Structural Biology, Department of Photon Science, Howard Hughes
Medical Institute, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305, USA;
email: brunger@stanford.edu

ANNUAL
REVIEWS **Further**

Click here to view this article's
online features:
• Download figures as PPT slides
• Navigate linked references
• Download citations
• Explore related articles
• Search keywords

Keywords

synaptic vesicle fusion, fusion protein, action potential, synaptic vesicle
priming, Ca^{2+} triggering

Abstract

This review summarizes current knowledge of synaptic proteins that are cen-
tral to synaptic vesicle fusion in presynaptic active zones, including SNAREs
(soluble *N*-ethylmaleimide sensitive factor attachment protein receptors),
synaptotagmin, complexin, Munc18 (mammalian uncoordinated-18), and
Munc13 (mammalian uncoordinated-13), and highlights recent insights in
the cooperation of these proteins for neurotransmitter release. Structural
and functional studies of the synaptic fusion machinery suggest new molec-
ular models of synaptic vesicle priming and Ca^{2+}-triggered fusion. These
studies will be a stepping-stone toward answering the question of how the
synaptic vesicle fusion machinery achieves such high speed and sensitivity.

Contents

1. INTRODUCTION

During synaptic transmission, Ca^{2+} influx into the presynaptic terminal triggers neurotransmitter release. This process involves sensing Ca^{2+} and subsequently fusing neurotransmitter-filled synaptic vesicles with the presynaptic membrane in less than a millisecond (125, 142). In the neuron, neurotransmitters are released "synchronously" upon action potential arrival in the synaptic terminal, "asynchronously" with a certain delay after an action potential, and "spontaneously" without an action potential; each of these processes likely involves specific sets of synaptic proteins (70). Many, if not most, of the key factors of the core synaptic fusion machinery have been identified, including SNAREs (soluble N-ethylmaleimide sensitive factor attachment protein receptors), NSF (N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive factor), SNAP (soluble NSF adaptor protein), synaptotagmin (abbreviated as Syt), complexin (abbreviated as Cpx), Munc18 (mammalian uncoordinated-18), and Munc13 (mammalian uncoordinated-13). Yet important questions remain: How is the process tightly regulated by Ca^{2+}, and how is it triggered within less than a millisecond, much faster than any other biological membrane fusion process? We first summarize the current knowledge about each individual factor in an encyclopedic fashion (Sections 2–7) and then discuss recent insights into the cooperation and interplay between these factors for neurotransmitter release (Sections 8–10). The reader may wish to skip to these sections first and consult the sections on the individual factors when more information is needed.

Figure 1

The roles of SNAREs in the synaptic vesicle cycle. SNAREs form a *trans* complex that juxtaposes
membranes after synaptic vesicle docking and priming, and when it combines with Syt1, evoked fusion
occurs upon an action potential. During fusion, SNARE complexes are fully formed (*cis* complex). *Cis*
SNARE complexes are disassembled by the ATPase NSF in conjunction with SNAPs and made available for
another round of synaptic vesicle formation. Abbreviations: NSF, *N*-ethylmaleimide-sensitive factor; SNAP,
soluble NSF adaptor protein; SNARE, soluble *N*-ethylmaleimide sensitive factor attachment protein
receptor; Syt1, synaptotagmin-1.

2. SNAREs

In this review, we focus on the neuronal SNAREs and their most extensively studied isoforms. Prior
to membrane fusion, synaptobrevin-2 (also called VAMP2—vesicle associated membrane protein
2) on the synaptic vesicle, and syntaxin-1A and SNAP-25A on the plasma membrane initially
form a *trans* SNARE complex with the transmembrane domains of synaptobrevin-2 and syntaxin-
1A in their respective membranes (**Figure 1**). Moreover, individual syntaxin-1A molecules form
clusters in the plasma membrane that are segregated from phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate
(PIP2) domains (152). During fusion, the SNARE complex completely zippers into the fully
assembled *cis* SNARE complex where the soluble core consists of a parallel four-α-helix bundle
(147). The synaptobrevin-2 and syntaxin-1A helices of the *cis* SNARE complex extend into the
merged membrane (139). The topology of the core of the SNARE complex is a left-handed four-
helix coiled coil where the core consists of layers of primarily hydrophobic residues, except for
an ionic layer at the center of the complex consisting of three glutamine amino acid residues and
one arginine residue (referred to as the ionic or zero layer) (40, 147). In addition to this parallel
four-α-helix bundle configuration, SNAREs can form a variety of noncanonical stoichiometries
and configurations in vitro (reviewed in 17), and we discuss potential physiological roles of these
noncanonical assemblies in Section 10.

The assembly of the SNARE complex is thought to provide the energy necessary for membrane fusion (147, 162). Single-molecule optical and magnetic trap pulling experiments suggest that the free energy that is released by the zippering of one SNARE complex is approximately 36 $k_B T$ (43, 106) and that a half-zippered intermediate exists under so-called loaded conditions (i.e., when the juxtamembrane regions of the SNARE complex are steadily pulled by a force apparatus). However, we note that direct imaging of the *trans* SNARE complex has not yet been achieved. Nevertheless, the estimated free energy that is required to pull one SNARE complex apart is somewhat less than the energy that is required to overcome the hydration-force barrier for the formation of a lipid stalk (i.e., the dehydration energy of ∼40–90 $k_B T$ that is required to induce stalk formation) (2). Additional energy may be required for pore formation and expansion (29). Consistent with this notion, SNAREs mediate membrane exchange when reconstituted into proteoliposomes (162). As little as one SNARE complex (151) is sufficient for ensemble lipid mixing of proteoliposomes, but at least two synaptobrevin-2 molecules, and presumably two SNARE complexes, are required for fast Ca^{2+}-triggered exocytosis (134). Although these lipid mixing experiments demonstrate that SNAREs mediate membrane exchange, content mixing is the proper correlation for neurotransmitter release since lipid mixing can occur in the absence of full fusion owing to metastable hemifusion diaphragms (36, 55, 80). Moreover, dequenching of the fluorescent dyes used in lipid mixing assays may occur because of other phenomena (190). The first genuine content mixing experiment for precisely timed Ca^{2+}-triggered fusion with synaptic proteins was reported in References 80 and 81 (**Figure 2**) (for a review of other assays and for more details, see 18). Over the years, this single-vesicle fusion assay has progressed to include more molecular components with the goal of a more complete physiological reconstitution (36, 82–84) (Section 10).

3. SYNAPTOTAGMIN

Since SNARE complex formation is not sensitive to Ca^{2+}, other factors introduce the Ca^{2+} sensitivity that is required for synaptic vesicle fusion. Syts constitute an evolutionary conserved family of proteins (108) that are composed of an N-terminal single transmembrane-spanning domain, a variable juxtamembrane linker, and two C-terminal cytoplasmic C2 domains, termed C2A and C2B (115). Sixteen Syts are expressed in the brain, eight of which bind Ca^{2+}. In this review, we focus on the major Ca^{2+} sensor synaptotagmin-1 (Syt1), which resides on the synaptic vesicle and is vital for synchronous Ca^{2+}-triggered synaptic vesicle fusion (42, 44).

Syt2 and Syt9 are also involved in Ca^{2+}-triggered synchronous neurotransmitter release for different subsets of neurons (171), while Syt7 predominates in asynchronous release (5, 164). In addition, Syt1 and Syt7 together act as redundant Ca^{2+} sensors for neuroendocrine exocytosis (128, 144), and they also participate in postsynaptic AMPA (α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazole propionic acid) receptor exocytosis during long-term potentiation (168).

Syt1 interacts with anionic membranes and SNARE complexes in both Ca^{2+}-dependent and Ca^{2+}-independent manners (7, 15, 16, 22, 27, 32, 42, 61, 75, 79, 114, 156, 160, 188). Syt1 function and membrane binding are specific to Ca^{2+}; in other words, other divalent cations, such as Sr^{2+}, only weakly trigger synaptic vesicle fusion (39). Under certain experimental in vitro conditions (i.e., in the presence of Ca^{2+}), the Syt1 C2B domain or the C2A-C2B fragment binds to curved membranes or favors deformation of the membrane (62, 96), and they may stimulate membrane juxtaposition, bridging, or clustering (3, 94, 153). Computer simulations support the notion that both C2 domains cooperate to induce membrane bending (169). Moreover, the linker between the C2 domains is important for Syt1 function in neurons (6, 89), and both C2 domains can insert into the same membrane at the same time upon Ca^{2+} binding in vitro (60). Additionally, the juxtamembrane linker domain—the domain between the transmembrane domain and the C2

a

Free synaptic vesicle mimic

Synaptotagmin-1

Synaptobrevin-2

Complexin-1

Syntaxin-1A
SNAP-25A

Tethered plasma membrane mimic

Quenched content dye

Dequenched content dye

b

Sample loading

Monitoring vesicle association (1 min)

Buffer wash

Monitoring Ca^{2+}-independent fusion (1 min)

Ca^{2+} injection

Monitoring Ca^{2+}-triggered fusion (1 min)

Vesicle association — No fusion

Vesicle association — Ca^{2+}-independent fusion

Vesicle association — Ca^{2+}-triggered fusion

Ca^{2+} indicator

Fluorescence intensity (a.u.)

Time (sec)

(*Caption appears on following page*)

Figure 2   (*Figure appears on preceding page*)

Single-vesicle fusion assay. (*a*) The schema shows the initial setup with vesicles with reconstituted synaptobrevin-2 and synaptotagmin-1 that mimic synaptic vesicles, and surface-tethered vesicles with reconstituted syntaxin-1A and SNAP-25A that mimic the plasma membrane. Cpx1 is included in solution. A soluble dye is encapsulated into the synaptic vesicle mimics. The dyes are at high enough concentration to result in self-quenching of the fluorescence intensity. An increase in volume will produce an increase in the fluorescence intensity, indicating a fusion event. (*b*) Examples of content-dye fluorescence-intensity time traces of no fusion (*top trace*), Ca^{2+}-independent fusion (*second trace* from top), and Ca^{2+}-triggered fusion (*third trace* from top). The bottom trace shows the fluorescence intensity of an indicator dye that is included along with the injected Ca^{2+} solution. For more details, see References 36, 80–82, and 84. Additional abbreviations: Cpx1, complexin-1; SNAP-25, synaptosomal-associated protein 25.

domains—is important for Syt1 function in fusion assays (85) and in neurons (87). Thus the relative locations of the C2 domains are critical for proper function, but the precise location of Syt C2 domains before and after Ca^{2+} triggering remains to be directly visualized.

While mutations in the Ca^{2+}-binding sites of the Syt1 C2A domain can partially rescue Syt1-deficient mammalian neurons, mutations in the Ca^{2+}-binding sites of the Syt1 C2B domain cannot (110, 133, 172). The mutations in the Syt1 C2B domain not only block Ca^{2+}-evoked synchronous release in an intact synapse but also dominantly inhibit the ability of endogenous wild-type Syt1 to trigger fusion, consequently increasing spontaneous miniature release when coexpressed (86, 168, 189).

SNAREs and Syt1 alone are sufficient to promote Ca^{2+}-triggered proteoliposome lipid mixing (150). However, as mentioned above, lipid mixing does not necessarily correlate with neurotransmitter release since lipid mixing can occur without content mixing. While SNAREs and Syt1 can indeed promote full fusion (i.e., content mixing) upon Ca^{2+} triggering (36, 80) with a ratio of ~10 of Ca^{2+}-triggered to Ca^{2+}-independent fusion probabilities (84), Ca^{2+}-triggered fusion with this minimal system is relatively inefficient. As discussed in Section 10, a more complete reconstitution greatly increases the efficiency and synchrony of Ca^{2+}-triggered fusion.

The first crystal structure of a Syt C2 domain (146) revealed that there are no large conformational changes upon Ca^{2+} binding. Rather, the C2 domain acts as an electrostatic switch where Ca^{2+} binding neutralizes the negative charges in that region as suggested by nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) experiments (130). Structures of complexes between Syts and the SNARE complex have been extremely difficult to obtain owing to the multiple binding modes of the components of the complex (15, 27), and electrostatic shielding may disrupt certain SNARE-Syt interactions (113). It is only recently that crystal structures of SNARE/Syt1 and SNARE/Cpx1/Syt1 complexes have been obtained and functionally validated (188, 189) (Section 9).

4. COMPLEXIN

The cytoplasmic protein Cpx also plays critical roles in neurotransmitter release. Specifically, synchronous evoked neurotransmitter release depends on Cpx (102), and this activating role of Cpx is conserved across all species and different types of Ca^{2+}-induced exocytosis studied to date (21, 56, 63, 72, 97, 100, 122, 177, 179, 181). Cpx also regulates spontaneous release, although this effect is less conserved among species and experimental conditions: For example, in *Drosophila*, spontaneous release increases with knockout of Cpx compared to wild-type neurons (67, 175). Likewise, knockdown in cultured cortical mouse neurons increases spontaneous release compared to wild-type neurons, and knockout of Cpx affects spontaneous release depending on the particular neuronal cell type (72, 100, 149, 179).

We focus here on the homolog complexin-1 (Cpx1) of the mammalian Cpx family whose primary sequence is highly conserved (96%) in mouse, rat, and human. Cpx1 consists of four

domains: The N-terminal domain is important for activation of synchronous Ca^{2+}-triggered release in murine neurons (100, 174, 176) and in isolated chromaffin cells (34); the accessory domain regulates spontaneous release (100); the central domain is required for all functions of Cpx1 and it binds with high affinity ($\sim$10 nM) to the neuronal ternary SNARE complex (24, 102, 112); and the C-terminal domain is involved in vesicle priming and binds to anionic membranes in a curvature-sensitive fashion (24, 48, 72, 100, 136).

As revealed by structural studies, both the N- and C-terminal domains of isolated Cpx1 are largely unstructured in solution, while the accessory and central domains have α-helical propensity (111). The central domain of Cpx1 binds to the groove formed by the synaptobrevin-2 and syntaxin-1A α-helices in the SNARE complex (14, 24). This interaction is antiparallel; in other words, the direction of the α-helix of the accessory domain of Cpx1 is antiparallel to the direction of the α-helices in the ternary SNARE complex.

The accessory domain of Cpx1 is not required for activation of Ca^{2+}-triggered single-vesicle fusion with reconstituted neuronal SNAREs and Syt1 (83), and mutations of this domain have little effect on evoked release in neurons (180). However, mutations of the Cpx1 accessory domain affect spontaneous release (26, 149, 180) (although these studies differed in the effect of the so-called superclamp mutation (D27L, E34F, R37A) of Cpx1), and elimination of the accessory domain increases Ca^{2+}-independent single-vesicle fusion (content mixing) (83) compared to wild-type control. In another study, introduction of an α-helix breaking mutation into the accessory domain of Cpx1 increased spontaneous release in *Caenorhabditis elegans* (120).

Functional studies, along with molecular modeling, suggested that Cpx1 may inhibit full ternary SNARE complex formation by preventing the C-terminal part of synaptobrevin-2 from binding to the syntaxin-1A/SNAP-25A subcomplex of the ternary SNARE complex (46, 47). However, a subsequent crystal structure of the superclamp mutant of Cpx1 showed an interaction with a partially truncated SNARE complex (containing a truncated synaptobrevin-2 fragment). The mutated Cpx1 bridges two partially truncated SNARE complexes: One partial SNARE complex binds to the central domain of Cpx1, while another partial SNARE complex binds weakly to the accessory domain of the same Cpx1 molecule (PDB IDs 3RK3 and 3RL0) (77, 78). Although an interaction of the accessory domain was weakly observed for wild-type proteins with isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) and light scattering experiments, it may not necessarily correspond to that observed in the crystal structure with mutated Cpx1. However, this interaction was not observed by NMR (149), perhaps because of differences in the length of syntaxin constructs (77, 119). Finally, single-molecule fluorescence experiments demonstrated that the accessory domain also weakly interacts with the syntaxin-1A/SNAP-25A (binary) complex (28). Moreover, these single-molecule studies showed that both binding modes of the Cpx1 accessory helix are possible, reconciling the previous results. However, the biological context of these weak interactions involving the accessory domain remains to be elucidated.

The C-terminal domain of Cpx1 binds to phospholipids (72, 129), and it is important for vesicle priming in neurons (34, 35, 72). Moreover, Cpx1 without the C-terminal domain does not reduce spontaneous release, but it still activates Ca^{2+}-triggered release in neuronal cultures (72) and in a reconstituted system (84). The C-terminal domain is sensitive to membrane curvature, and it may thus localize Cpx1 to the synaptic membrane (48, 136, 167). Interestingly, the curvature-sensing value of the C-terminal domain is comparable to that of α-synuclein (48, 65) (i.e., a value that corresponds to the relatively high curvature of synaptic vesicles with a typical diameter of 40 nm). In support of this notion, replacement of the C-terminal domain with plasma membrane–localizing elements results in increased spontaneous neurotransmission and a prolonged synchronous decay time constant of NMDA (*N*-methyl-D-aspartate receptor)-type glutamate receptor–evoked postsynaptic currents in cultured neurons (48).

In conjunction with neuronal SNAREs and Syt1, Cpx1 increases the Ca^{2+}-triggered amplitude ($\sim$2.5-fold) and synchrony of proteoliposome fusion, and it also suppresses Ca^{2+}-independent single-vesicle fusion (content mixing) (84), resulting in a 50–100-fold ratio of Ca^{2+}-triggered fusion to Ca^{2+}-independent fusion. Moreover, the functions of the four domains of Cpx1 have been reproduced in vitro (83, 84). By varying the Cpx1 concentration, these single-vesicle studies suggest that the regulatory effect on Ca^{2+}-independent fusion and the facilitating role on Ca^{2+}-triggered fusion are governed by distinct molecular mechanisms involving different subsets of the four domains of Cpx1. Similarly, genetic experiments in *Drosophila* also suggest distinct mechanisms for activation of fast synchronous release and regulation of spontaneous release (25).

5. Munc18

Sec1/Munc18 proteins are also required components of all membrane trafficking pathways as exemplified by the block of synaptic vesicle fusion upon Munc18-1 knockout in mice (155). Following disassembly of either ternary or binary SNARE complexes with NSF and SNAP, Munc18-1 captures syntaxin-1A, locking it into a closed conformation and preventing reassembly of the ternary SNARE complex (93). Munc18-1 binds tightly ($K_d \approx$ 1–4 nM) to syntaxin-1A in which the N-terminal Habc domain of syntaxin-1A forms a *cis* conformation with the syntaxin-1A SNARE motif (19, 23, 107), in turn hindering SNARE complex formation (117, 178). The Munc18-1/syntaxin-1A complex may thus serve as a starting point for the synaptic vesicle cycle. However, to enable ternary SNARE complex formation, another factor is required, such as Munc13 (discussed below).

Munc18 colocalizes with syntaxin and SNAP-25 in fixed cultured neurons and forms nanoclusters in the plasma membrane (116). Interestingly, in neuroendocrine cells, transfection of syntaxin alone results in its mislocalization, whereas cotransfection of both Munc18 and syntaxin results in proper trafficking to the plasma membrane (103, 126). Thus, one function of Munc18 may be to stabilize or "chaperone" syntaxin, which also agrees with the aforementioned knockout studies of Munc18 in mice that showed a reduced level of syntaxin-1 in the plasma membrane (13). The crystal structure of the Munc18-1/syntaxin-1A complex revealed extensive interactions of syntaxin-1A in the closed conformation with the concave surface formed by domains 1 and 3 of Munc18-1 (107), explaining the inability of the syntaxin-1A SNARE motif in this closed conformation to interact with SNAP-25A and synaptobrevin-2.

Binding of Munc18-1 to the closed conformation of syntaxin-1A is not always required, as the syntaxin-1A N-terminal Habc domain is not essential for evoked synaptic vesicle fusion in cultured syntaxin-1A-deficient neurons, although it is required for spontaneous synaptic vesicle fusion (187). In marked contrast, the N-terminal residues of syntaxin-1A are required for binding of the ternary SNARE complex to Munc18-1 and may thereby serve as a recruiting factor for Munc18-1 (121). Munc18-1 binds to the assembled ternary SNARE complex via the syntaxin-1A N-terminal residues, with syntaxin-1A in an open conformation (33, 59, 131, 173). In this open conformation, the SNARE motif of syntaxin-1A interacts with its partner SNARE motifs to form the SNARE complex (147), while the syntaxin-1A Habc domain is presumably flexibly linked to the four-helix core of the SNARE complex (52, 109).

6. Munc13

Munc13-1 and its homologs are primarily brain-specific, cytoplasmic proteins in the presynaptic terminal that are involved in synaptic vesicle priming and short-term synaptic plasticity (141, 142). Munc13-1 is expressed in all neurons of the rat central nervous system, while Munc13-2 and Munc13-3 are mostly restricted to different brain regions. The ubiquitously expressed splice

variant ubMunc13-2 and the Munc13-4 isoform are predominantly expressed in nonneuronal cells
(74). Munc13-4 includes only the C2B, MUN, and C2C domains. The UNC-13 and Dunc-13
homologs of Munc13-1 lack the N-terminal C2A domain, as do a brain-specific splice-variant of
bMunc13-2 and the Munc13-3 isoform. Here, we focus on the Munc13-1 isoform.

Early studies found that neurons in mice lacking Munc13-1 exhibit severely limited activity-
dependent as well as hypertonic sucrose-induced neurotransmitter release (4). Moreover, deletion
of Munc13-1 results in substantially larger distances between synaptic vesicles and active zones as
observed by electron microscopy (51, 64, 163). The priming phenotype of Munc13-1 knockout is
much stronger than that of other priming factors, including Cpx1 (179); in other words, the size of
the readily releasable pool of synaptic vesicles is much smaller in Munc13-1 knockout mice than in
Cpx1 knockout mice. Moreover, Munc13-1, together with Munc18-1, prevents NSF-dependent
depriming of synaptic vesicles (54). Finally, Munc13-1 and -2 double-knockout mice have essen-
tially no release-competent synaptic vesicles (154) and exhibit a severe docking defect (64).

Below, we briefly summarize structural and functional studies for each domain of Munc13-1.
Munc13-1 consists of a C1 domain (C1), a calmodulin-binding domain, two C2 domains (C2A,
C2B), a MUN domain, and another C2 domain (C2C). The crystal structure of the C1C2BMUN
fragment of Munc13-1 revealed interdomain interactions among the C1, C2B, and MUN domains
and a folded linker region between the C1 and C2B domains (170). A cryo-electron tomography
(cryoET) study of vesicles with bound C1C2BMUN revealed filamentous features that suggest
hinge bending of this fragment, with the straight conformation being consistent with the crystal
structure (45).

Functionally, the C2A domain of Munc13-1 interacts with the Rab3 effector RIM (Rab3-
interacting molecule), forming a tripartite complex (37) that is important for the priming function
of Munc13-1 and for the presynaptic localization in mice (69). Likewise, the C2A domain of
UNC-13 in *C. elegans* is important for regulating both evoked and spontaneous release, as well as
its precise localization to the active zone (186).

Munc13-1 and the ubiquitously expressed isoform Munc13-2 contain a conserved calmodulin-
binding domain. When calmodulin binding is disrupted by mutation, evoked release upon a single
action potential is unchanged, but short-term plasticity and Ca^{2+}-dependent modulation of the
readily releasable pool are reduced (68).

The diacylglycerol-phorbol C1 binding domain regulates Munc13-1 functions in short-term
synaptic plasticity and priming of synaptic vesicles, although mutation of the site does not af-
fect isolated evoked neurotransmitter release (123). The C2B domain binds to phospholipids in
a Ca^{2+}-dependent fashion, with particular specificity for phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate
(PIP2). Additionally, an interaction between the C2B domain and presynaptic voltage-gated cal-
cium channels modulates use dependence of the channels (20). Interestingly, deletion of the C2B
domain enhances Ca^{2+}-triggered exocytosis in *C. elegans* neurons, suggesting an autoinhibitory
function of this domain (105).

The MUN domain of Munc13-1 has two major roles in vesicle fusion: catalyzing the transit of
syntaxin-1A from the syntaxin-1A/Munc18-1 complex into the ternary SNARE complex (10, 93,
182) and promoting the proper assembly of the SNARE complex, in particular the parallel con-
figuration of syntaxin-1A and synaptobrevin-2, leading to a higher Ca^{2+}-triggered amplitude and
Ca^{2+} sensitivity in a single-vesicle fusion assay with reconstituted SNAREs, Syt1, and Cpx1 (82)
(**Figures 3** and **4**). Supporting this latter role, the so-called LE mutant of syntaxin-1A (syntaxin-
1A^{LE}) (38) bypasses the requirement of Munc13-1 for the transit of syntaxin-1A into the ternary
SNARE complex in the presence of SNAP-25A and synaptobrevin-2 in vitro (92). It accom-
plishes this by preferentially adopting an open conformation of syntaxin-1A (159). However, this
open syntaxin-1A mutant only minimally restores neurotransmitter release in *unc-13* mutants of

Figure 3

Reconstitution with neuronal SNAREs, complexin-1, synaptotagmin-1, NSF, αSNAP, Munc18-1, and Munc13-1. (*a*) Prior to the schema shown in **Figure 2a**, a step is added that dissociates the syntaxin-1A/SNAP-25A complex by NSF, αSNAP, ATP, Mg^{2+}, and concomitant formation of the syntaxin-1A/Munc18-1 complex. Incubation of the resulting syntaxin-1A/Munc18-1 vesicles with synaptic vesicle mimics is performed in the presence of Munc13-1, complexin-1, and SNAP-25A. (*b*) Ca^{2+} sensitivity of the fusion assay using the schema shown in panel *a*. For more details, see Reference 82. Abbreviations: Munc, mammalian uncoordinated; NSF, *N*-ethylmaleimide-sensitive factor; SNAP-25, synaptosomal-associated protein 25; SNARE, soluble *N*-ethylmaleimide sensitive factor attachment protein receptor.

C. elegans (51), because it does not promote the proper assembly of the SNARE complex (i.e., SNARE complexes with the syntaxin-1A LE mutant are scrambled) (82); this observation explains the poor rescue of Munc13 deletion by this mutant. Earlier studies in *C. elegans* suggest a similar function of full-length *unc-13* (124), although the role of the MUN domain has not been directly studied in that system.

Finally, the C2C domain of Munc13-1 also plays a functional role in evoked release in chromaffin granule secretion and neurotransmission in *C. elegans* (95, 140) and in evoked release in priming in mouse neurons (90). It also enhances ensemble lipid and single-vesicle content mixing experiments (82, 90). In addition, Munc13 may be involved in synaptic vesicle tethering via the C2C domain, although the physiological importance remains to be elucidated (90).

Similar to Munc13, CAPS (calcium-dependent activator protein for secretion) proteins are members of an extended Munc13/CAPS family of proteins that are also important for priming of synaptic vesicles (66). They contain one Munc13 homology domain (MHD) that includes a portion of the MUN domain and a pleckstrin homology domain that interacts with PIP2. It is likely that the MHD domain in CAPS proteins is partially responsible for their function in synaptic priming (31). Both Munc13s and CAPS proteins are probably jointly important for synaptic vesicle priming.

Figure 4

Munc13-1 and Munc18-1 assist in proper SNARE complex formation. Starting from the syntaxin-1A/Munc18-1 complex, the ternary SNARE complex is formed upon addition of the MUN domain of Munc13-1 at the specified concentration, SNAP-25A, and synaptobrevin-2. Single-molecule FRET measurements involving pairs of fluorescent dyes indicate that the components of the SNARE complex are parallel to each other. (*a*) Parallel reporting fluorescent dye pairs on syntaxin-1A and synaptobrevin-2. (*b*) Parallel reporting dye pairs on syntaxin-1A and SNAP-25A. The FRET histograms in the right panels indicate that the SNARE complexes are properly assembled. For more details, see Reference 82. The yellow stars indicate fluorescent labels that are attached to the specified proteins. Abbreviations: FRET, Förster resonance energy transfer; Munc, mammalian uncoordinated; SNAP-25, synaptosomal-associated protein 25; SNARE, soluble *N*-ethylmaleimide sensitive factor attachment protein receptor.

In support of a partially redundant function of both molecules, the C1C2BMUN fragment of Munc13 can substitute for deletion of CAPS in Ca^{2+}-triggered dense-core vesicle fusion with a planar supported bilayer (76).

7. NSF AND SNAPs

The ATPase NSF was first discovered as a key factor for intercompartmental transport in eukaryotic cells, and subsequently, SNAREs were found to be substrates for NSF (9, 138). Independently, SNAREs were discovered to be important for neurotransmitter release (11, 12, 143). In concert with the adaptor protein, SNAP, the ATPase NSF disassembles the SNARE complex into individual proteins upon ATP hydrolysis (52, 101, 137). Whereas Munc18 and Munc13 have been implicated to prevent this disassembly of the primed *trans* SNARE complex (19), the molecular

mechanism remains to be elucidated. NSF is a member of the AAA+ family consisting of two ATPase rings (known as type II AAA+) and an N-terminal domain. Most eukaryotic organisms encode only one NSF gene. By contrast, there are three homologs of SNAP, with αSNAP being the most widely studied. Although earlier work suggested that disruption of the SNARE complex by ATP hydrolysis drives fusion (137), later work clarified that it is actually the SNARE complex formation that drives fusion (52, 101). For more structural details of NSF and SNAPs, we refer to a recent review (184).

8. COOPERATION AMONG Syt1, Cpx1, AND SNAREs FOR EVOKED RELEASE

In this section, we discuss the cooperation among Syt1, Cpx1, and SNAREs.

8.1. SNARE/Cpx1/Syt1 Synaptic Fusion Complex

As mentioned in Sections 2–4, Syt1, Cpx1, and SNAREs are all important for evoked release upon action potential arrival in the synaptic terminal (100, 172). The structures of the neuronal SNARE/Cpx1 subcomplex (24) and of the SNARE/Syt1 subcomplex (188), along with functional studies, suggested that each of these binary interactions is essential for neurotransmitter release. For example, the 4M mutant of Cpx1 that disrupts binding to the ternary SNARE complex neither rescued deletion of wild-type Cpx1 in neuronal cultures (100) nor increased the Ca^{2+}-triggered amplitude in single-vesicle fusion experiments with reconstituted SNAREs and Syt1 (84). Similarly, disruption of the primary SNARE/Syt1 complex interface by mutations abolished fast synchronous release in cultured neurons and greatly reduced the Ca^{2+}-triggered amplitude in single-vesicle fusion experiments (188).

The primary SNARE/Syt1 interface is structurally conserved in very different crystal packing environments and conditions (188, 189), suggesting that it is a genuine and specific interface. In the presence of anionic phospholipid membranes, the polybasic region of Syt1 C2B primarily interacts with the membrane (114), and this membrane interaction likely stabilizes the SNARE/Syt1 primary interface (188), lowering the dissociation constant by about an order of magnitude (160). Moreover, the primary SNARE/Syt1 interface is not disrupted by electrostatic shielding (160). On the basis of primary sequence alignment, the SNARE/Syt1 primary interface is specific for fast Ca^{2+} sensors (Syt1, Syt2, Syt9) (171, 188).

The binary SNARE-Syt1 and SNARE-Cpx1 interactions alone do not explain certain experimental results. For example, mutation of the Ca^{2+}-binding region of the C2B domain of Syt1 has dominant negative effects on both evoked and spontaneous neurotransmitter release (86, 168), while deletion of Cpx1 abrogates these dominant negative phenotypes (189), suggesting a tripartite cooperation among SNAREs, Cpx1, and Syt1. The structure of the tripartite SNARE/Cpx1/Syt1 complex (**Figure 5**) (189) suggests an explanation for these experimental results since it revealed a hitherto unknown tripartite interface between one Syt1 C2B domain and both the SNARE complex and Cpx1 (**Figure 5**) (189). Simultaneously, a second Syt1 C2B domain interacts with the other side of the SNARE complex via the abovementioned SNARE/Syt1 primary interface (**Figure 5**). Structure-guided mutagenesis, solution-binding studies by ITC, and electrophysiological recordings showed that both Ca^{2+}-triggered synaptic release and suppression of spontaneous release depend on Syt1 C2B residues involved in both the SNARE/Cpx1/Syt1 tripartite and the SNARE/Syt1 primary interfaces (189).

For the tripartite interface, the Syt1 C2B domain binds to the SNARE/Cpx1 subcomplex via interactions with both the SNARE and Cpx1 components (**Figure 5**). Among the most striking structural features of this tripartite interface is the continuation of the Cpx1 central helix into an

Figure 5

Structure of the SNARE/Cpx1/Syt1 complex. Shown are both the primary and the tripartite interface. For details, see Reference 189. Abbreviations: Cpx1, complexin-1; SNARE, soluble *N*-ethylmaleimide sensitive factor attachment protein receptor; Syt1, synaptotagmin-1.

α-helix of Syt1 (called HA α-helix). Since this particular α-helix is structurally conserved in C2B domains of all Syts, Doc2b, and Rabphilin, but not present in the Munc13-1 C2B domain and Syt C2A domains, the SNARE/Cpx1/Syt1 tripartite interface may be more general and involve other Syts. The different types of Syt-regulated exocytosis are mediated by similar Cpx1-dependent fusion mechanisms (Syt1, Syt2, Syt7, Syt9, and Syt10) (21, 50, 128, 171), so it is conceivable that these Syts could participate in a tripartite interface, while only a subset of the Syt molecules involved in synchronous neurotransmitter release (Syt1, Syt2, or Syt9) may be involved in the primary interface, based on primary sequence conservation.

The tripartite interface involves the central α-helix but not the accessory helix of Cpx1 or any other part of Cpx1. Indeed, the accessory domain can be entirely eliminated while maintaining the activating function of Cpx1 in single-vesicle fusion experiments with reconstituted SNAREs, Syt1, and Cpx1 (24, 83, 149, 180) and for evoked neurotransmitter release in neurons. The structure of the SNARE/Cpx1/Syt1 complex now explains the functional requirement of the central α-helix of Cpx1 since it is an integral part of the tripartite interface (**Figure 5**). In contrast, the N-terminal domain of Cpx1 is important for activation of synchronous Ca^{2+}-triggered release (34, 100, 174, 176), and it increases the Ca^{2+}-triggered amplitude in single-vesicle fusion (content mixing) experiments when added as an independent fragment in addition to the Cpx1 central domain (83). One possibility is that the Cpx1 N-terminal domain may independently interact with the splayed open *trans* SNARE complex (28). Note that the membrane-proximal parts of the *trans* SNARE complex were not included in the crystal structure of the SNARE/Cpx1/Syt1 complex, possibly explaining why the Cpx1 N-terminal domain is not visible in that crystal structure.

Why did it take so many years since publication of the first crystal structure of the SNARE complex (147) to obtain structures of the SNARE/Syt1 and SNARE/Cpx1/Syt1 complexes? In retrospect, we now know that there are other interactions between Syt1 and the SNARE complex that have been observed by single-molecule FRET and solution NMR studies (15, 27) in addition

to the SNARE/Syt1-C2B interfaces observed in the crystal structures (188, 189), which made crystallization exceedingly difficult. Covalently linked chimeras of the components of the complex were therefore designed to stabilize the SNARE/Syt1 complex and enable crystallization (188). In contrast, crystallization of the tripartite SNARE/Cpx1/Syt1 complex did not require covalent linkers, but was rather achieved by truncation of the 23 C-terminal residues of the cytoplasmic domain of synaptobrevin-2 that prevented formation of the six membrane-proximal layers of the SNARE complex but left the binding interface to the central α-helix of Cpx1 intact (189). This truncated complex probably mimics a prefusion state of the *trans* SNARE complex where the membrane-proximal part of the complex is not fully zippered. For crystallization, this feature of the truncated complex was essential since it prevented formation of inter-SNARE complex interactions that would otherwise interfere with formation of the SNARE/Cpx1/Syt1 complex. Moreover, this strategy alleviated the need for covalent linkers between the components.

8.2. Supramolecular Arrangements of Synaptic Fusion Complexes

The structure of the SNARE/Cpx1/Syt1 complex likely represents the prefusion primed state of the system (189), one of likely two or more synaptic complexes involved in Ca^{2+}-triggered fusion (134). These two or more synaptic complexes likely interact with one another. For example, one Syt1 C2B domain could bridge two SNARE complexes via the primary and tripartite interfaces and it could be sandwiched between the two membranes (189). CryoET images of the same proteoliposomes as used for the single-vesicle fusion experiments indeed revealed contacts with a variety of morphologies between the vesicle membranes with a preference for relatively compact point contacts (**Figure 6a**) (45), although it remains to be established that it is these point contacts that undergo fast Ca^{2+}-triggered fusion. These contacts are likely proteinaceous since the observed densities of these contacts are connected (**Figure 6b**) (45), and the observed separation in the cryoET images between the membranes is 40 to 60 Å, substantially longer than the critical distance at which lipid stalks can form (<9 Å) (2). Volumetric analysis suggested that the compact point contacts can accommodate approximately two SNARE/Cpx1/Syt1 complexes, while the lone contacts can accommodate more complexes (45). Thus, the juxtaposition of protein complexes between membranes prevents membrane fusion until Ca^{2+} triggering, in remote analogy to the action of viral fusion proteins where fusion happens only when a sufficient number of neighboring viral fusion proteins switch to their fusogenic form (53).

How does Cpx fit into this picture? Cpx1 has at least two conformations when bound to the ternary SNARE complex (28). In one conformation, the accessory domain cooperates with the N-terminal domain of Cpx1 to induce a conformational change at the membrane-proximal C-terminal end of the bound SNARE complex, likely making it similar to the splayed open *trans* SNARE complex. Conversely, if the SNARE complex is in the *trans* conformation, the interaction of the N-terminal domain of Cpx1 can occur, regardless of the accessory domain, consistent with the expendable nature of the accessory domain for evoked release. In the other Cpx1 conformation, Cpx1 can bridge two SNARE complexes, such as a fully assembled ternary SNARE complex and a binary SNARE complex (consisting of syntaxin-1A and SNAP-25A), via both the central and accessory Cpx1 domains (28). It has been proposed that Cpx1 could bridge many SNARE complexes in a zigzag arrangement (78). However, such an arrangement would be incompatible when Syt1 would bridge SNARE complexes via the primary and tripartite interfaces (189), although the abovementioned bridging of a ternary and a binary SNARE complex would be possible.

In addition to forming a complex with SNAREs and Cpx1, Syts are capable of forming ring-like homo-oligomeric assemblies on membranes containing acidic lipids (157) and in solution (158), although the functional importance of these assemblies has yet to be established. These

Figure 6

Point contact between proteoliposomes with neuronal SNAREs, Cpx1, Syt1, and Munc13. (*a*) Shown is a slice of an isosurface representation of a synaptic vesicle (*bottom*) and a plasma-membrane vesicle (*top*) in the presence of the C1C2BMUN fragment of Munc13. (*b*) Close-up view of gray scale tomographic two-dimensional slices of the contact site between the two membranes. For more details, see Reference 145. Abbreviations: Cpx1, complexin-1; Munc13, mammalian uncoordinated-13; SNARE, soluble *N*-ethylmaleimide sensitive factor attachment protein receptor; Syt1, synaptotagmin-1.

rings are disrupted by Ca^{2+} when bound to acid phospholipid membranes (157, 183). Finally, synaptobrevin is capable of forming ring-like structures in complex with synaptophysin in vitro (1). In contrast to these studies, no such ring structures have been observed by cryoET of slices of unstained, vitrified frozen-hydrated mouse synapses (41) or electron cryoET of thin sections of high-pressure frozen hippocampal neuronal cultures (30), although they may not be easily observable if they are of a transient nature. Moreover, cryoET studies of proteoliposomes with neuronal SNAREs, Syt1, Cpx1, and Munc13 revealed short and long contacts but no ring-like morphologies (45). In any case, the long contacts may represent higher-order oligomeric, but asymmetric, assemblies. Perhaps multiple membrane contact morphologies coexist in the neuron and are relevant in different contexts (e.g., fast synchronous release, asynchronous release, and spontaneous release).

8.3. Model of Unlocking and Ca^{2+} Triggering

Regardless of the supramolecular arrangement of the synaptic protein complexes in the observed contacts between membranes, they appear stably locked into a conformation from which fusion is unlikely, considering the observed membrane separation of 40–60 Å (**Figure 6**). If one assumes that the observed contacts indeed contain SNARE/Cpx1/Syt1 complexes, as observed in the crystal structure, the SNARE complex would have to be partially splayed open since the transmembrane domains of syntaxin and synaptobrevin are in opposite membranes (**Figure 7**). In support of this model, the readily releasable pool of synaptic vesicles—in other words, the primed state of synaptic

Figure 7

Model of primed prefusion SNARE/Cpx1/Syt1 complexes. In this model, two SNARE/Cpx1/Syt1 complexes (189) form a contact between a synaptic vesicle (*top*) and the plasma membrane (*bottom*). Blue: synaptobrevin-2; green: SNAP-25A; red: syntaxin-1A; yellow: Cpx1; light/dark magenta: Syt1s. The molecules and membranes are drawn to scale, with an ~40-Å separation between membranes as observed by cryo-electron tomography (45). Note that the relative arrangement of the two SNARE/Cpx1/Syt1 complexes is unknown. Also, there can be more than two such complexes forming long membrane contacts (45). Abbreviations: Cpx1, complexin-1; SNAP-25, synaptosomal-associated protein 25; SNARE, soluble *N*-ethylmaleimide sensitive factor attachment protein receptor; Syt1, synaptotagmin-1.

vesicles—is sensitive to mutations in both interfaces (189), suggesting that the crystal structure of the SNARE/Cpx1/Syt1 complex represents the primed state.

Upon Ca^{2+} binding to the C2 domains of the primed complex, the SNARE/Cpx1/Syt1 tripartite interface must be unlocked or uninhibited, allowing membranes to move closer and Ca^{2+}-triggered fusion to commence. At present, there is no structure of the uninhibited SNARE/Cpx1/Syt1 complex—in other words, after Ca^{2+} binding to Syt1. We speculate that upon Ca^{2+} binding, the Syt1 molecule that is involved in the SNARE/Cpx1/Syt1 tripartite interface is dislodged by interacting with the synaptic vesicle membrane (189), or by switching to a different interface with the SNARE complex, or both (15). This Syt1 dislodging may also induce a conformational change of Cpx1 (28). However, full release of Cpx1 (148) is unlikely, considering

the tight binding ($K_d \approx$ 10–100 nM) and the relatively slow off-rate of 0.1 s^{-1} between Cpx1 and the *cis* ternary SNARE complex (28). Moreover, there was only partial Ca^{2+}-dependent competition between Cpx1 and Syt1 binding to the SNARE complex as reported in Reference 148, and noncompetitive binding in both the absence and presence of Ca^{2+} reported by another group (25). Upon unlocking the primed complexes by Syt1 dislodging, the SNARE then may fully zipper (147, 162) and trigger fusion, likely in conjunction with a membrane remodeling action of Syt1 (61, 96), or by membrane bridging by Syt1 (3, 94, 153).

This model of locked and primed SNARE/Cpx1/Syt1 complexes that juxtapose synaptic and plasma membranes (**Figure 7**) explains the dominant negative effect of certain mutations of the Ca^{2+}-binding region of the Syt1 C2B domain (86, 168, 189): The presence of permanently locked complexes would prevent membranes from approaching the critical distance to form lipid stalks (less than 9 Å) (2). As mentioned in Section 3, neuronal SNAREs and Syt1 alone are a minimal system for Ca^{2+}-triggered membrane fusion (36, 80, 84, 150). Thus, the triggering mechanism in this even more minimal system may be similar to that of the unlocked SNARE/Cpx1/Syt1 system after dislodging of the Syt1 molecule that is involved in the tripartite interface. Clearly, high-resolution images of the SNARE/Cpx1/Syt1 complexes between membranes, and monitoring the rapid conformational transitions of the complexes upon Ca^{2+} triggering, will be important next steps.

9. IMPLICATIONS FOR SPONTANEOUS RELEASE

Syt1, Cpx1, and SNAREs are also important for spontaneous release (34, 67). More specifically, both the primary SNARE/Syt1 and tripartite SNARE/Cpx1/Syt1 interfaces are required for the suppression of spontaneous release in neurons; in other words, elimination of either interface resulted in an increase in mini frequency (189). Why is there an increase in spontaneous fusion frequency when either interface is disrupted? At a structural level, it could simply mean that membranes can get closer when one or more components of the SNARE/Cpx1/Syt1 complex are missing, consistent with the closer membrane separation distance observed by cryoET of proteoliposomes when Cpx1 was absent (45). However, there is an observation that counters this simple membrane separation distance argument: The probability of Ca^{2+}-independent fusion did not increase when Syt1 was absent in single-vesicle fusion experiments with SNAREs and Cpx1 only (84). Despite this, these experiments should be repeated with full reconstitution (82), since in the absence of full reconstitution, improperly formed SNARE complexes may obscure the more subtle effect of suppression of Ca^{2+}-independent fusion.

We would like to emphasize that Ca^{2+}-independent fusion of single-vesicle experiments cannot strictly be compared with spontaneous release in neurons. First, Ca^{2+}-independent fusion probabilities are normalized to the number of associated vesicles. Second, the frequency of spontaneous release measured in electrophysiological experiments depends on both the number of functional synapses and the number of synaptic vesicles that are capable of undergoing spontaneous fusion. Third, there is likely another Ca^{2+} sensor that competes with Syt1 in binding to the tripartite interface (145). Elimination of the primary interface or presence of the certain Syt1 C2B mutants would affect the binding equilibrium, possibly explaining the increase of spontaneous release in neurons (189).

10. COOPERATION BETWEEN Munc18 AND Munc13 FOR PROPER SNARE ASSEMBLY

In this section, we discuss the cooperation among SNAREs, Munc13, and Munc18.

10.1. SNARE Assembly Is Fuzzy

As mentioned above, the residues involved in two Syt1 C2B interfaces in the SNARE/Cpx1/Syt1 complex are important for maintaining the readily releasable pool of synaptic vesicles (189). The readily releasable pool of synaptic vesicles in turn is established by a process that is referred to as priming (i.e., the association of synaptic vesicles with presynaptic proteins that enables them to undergo fast evoked fusion) (71). There are several factors involved in priming, including Munc18 and Munc13, but the molecular basis of the priming function of these molecules had been unknown until recently. There is now evidence that at least one function of priming factors is to ensure the proper assembly of SNARE complexes (82). Why is it necessary to assist the proper assembly of the SNARE complex? When soluble fragments of SNARE proteins are simply mixed in solution, improper configurations may occur (28, 91, 127, 166). In particular, the existence of antiparallel SNARE complex configurations was directly probed by using Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET) dye pairs (28, 166). As described (166), antiparallel configurations can be suppressed by extensive purification of the ternary SNARE complex, including a urea wash step. Another biochemical method to obtain more fusogenic complexes consists of incubating with C-terminal fragments of synaptobrevin-2 (104, 118) prior to assembling the SNARE complex. Single-molecule FRET experiments now revealed that these C-terminal synaptobrevin fragments promote proper SNARE complex formation (28). Clearly, urea treatments or incubation with synaptobrevin-2 fragments are not physiological mechanisms, but they illustrate the importance of proper SNARE complex assembly in establishing maximum fusogenicity.

10.2. Munc13 and Munc18 Promote Proper SNARE Complex Assembly

In search for a physiological mechanism that ensures proper SNARE complex formation, Lai et al. (82) found that the MUN domain of Munc13-1 promotes the proper parallel subconfiguration between syntaxin-1A and synaptobrevin-2 when assembling the ternary SNARE complex when starting from the syntaxin-1A/SNAP-25A complex; in other words, the MUN domain prevented improper subconfigurations, and it increased the Ca^{2+}-triggered amplitude in single-vesicle fusion (content mixing) experiments. Inclusion of the longer C1C2BMUN or C1C2BMUNC2C fragments of Munc13-1 in the fusion assay enhanced the Ca^{2+}-triggered amplitude and fusion ratio in a manner similar to the MUN domain. However, these longer fragments were able to achieve these effects at, respectively, twenty- and hundredfold-lower concentrations than the MUN domain.

In addition to its autonomous function of promoting the proper syntaxin-1A/synaptobrevin-2 subconfiguration, Munc13 also cooperates with Munc18-1 to promote the proper syntaxin-1A/SNAP-25A subconfiguration within the assembled ternary SNARE complex (82). Thus, while Munc13 alone is sufficient to promote the proper syntaxin-1A/synaptobrevin-2 subconfiguration starting from the syntaxin-1A/SNAP-25A complex and consequently increasing the Ca^{2+}-triggered fusion amplitude, the proper syntaxin-1A/SNAP-25A subconfiguration is achieved only when starting from the syntaxin-1A/Munc18-1 complex. Moreover, near-physiological Ca^{2+} sensitivity of the assay was achieved when both Munc13-1 and Munc18-1 were included (82). Taken together, Munc13-1 and Munc18-1 are factors for establishing the proper subconfigurations during *trans* ternary SNARE complex assembly (with parallel arrangements of the SNARE components and 1:1:1 stoichiometry) by suppressing improper subconfigurations, thus promoting proper ternary SNARE complex assembly. The cooperation of Munc18-1 and Munc13-1 in promoting the proper SNARE complex assembly suggests that Munc18-1 has an indirect but essential role in enabling high fusion efficiency, perhaps explaining the severe phenotype of deletion of Munc18-1 in neurons (155).

When some of the SNARE complexes around the contact site between the synaptic and plasma membranes are improperly assembled in the absence of Munc13, they have a large effect on morphologies of the contact sites between synaptic and plasma-membrane vesicles as observed by cryoET of these vesicles (45). Upon inclusion of Munc13, the contacts are largely restricted to point contacts only (i.e., no long contacts are present and very few so-called dead-end hemifusion diaphragms were observed prior to Ca^{2+} triggering). The ternary SNARE complex interacts with both Syt1 and Cpx1, forming the primed complex (189). These interactions in the SNARE/Cpx1/Syt1 complex may not occur if there are improper subconfigurations within the ternary SNARE complex. In particular, an antiparallel subconfiguration between syntaxin-1A and synaptobrevin-2 would prevent formation of the SNARE/Cpx1/Syt1 tripartite interface (**Figure 5**) (189). Moreover, an antiparallel subconfiguration between SNAP-25A and syntaxin-1A would prevent formation of the primary SNARE/Syt1 interface since it involves the SNAP-25A and syntaxin-1A components of the SNARE complex (188).

10.3. Toward More Complete Reconstitution of Synaptic Vesicle Fusion

Consistent with their molecular functions, Munc18 and Munc13 increased the efficiency of ensemble proteoliposome lipid and content mixing in the presence of SNAREs, Syt1, NSF, and αSNAP (93). Moreover, when Munc13 and Munc18 were included, the Ca^{2+}-triggered amplitude of single-vesicle content mixing was fourfold higher and the ratio of Ca^{2+}-triggered fusion to Ca^{2+}-independent fusion increased to 500 for a reconstitution with SNAREs, Syt1, Cpx1, NSF, and αSNAP (82). Remarkably, the Ca^{2+} sensitivity of this single-vesicle fusion assay was approximately 23 μM, which is close to the physiological range (**Figure 3**). These improvements in amplitude and sensitivity are achieved by proper assembly of all components of the SNARE complex by Munc18 and Munc13 (**Figure 4**) (82). Interestingly, inclusion of Munc13 in a reconstitution of single dense-core vesicle fusion with a planar-supported bilayer also greatly improved the Ca^{2+}-triggered fusion probability in that system (74).

10.4. How Do Munc13 and Munc18 Function?

The molecular mechanism by which Munc13 and Munc18 promote proper SNARE complex assembly is still a mystery, although there is some information about the molecular interactions. The MUN domain initially interacts with the Munc18-1/syntaxin-1A complex via a conserved hydrophobic pocket located at the midpoint of the MUN domain (92, 182). Moreover, two conserved residues, R151 and I155, in the syntaxin-1 linker region are also critical for the function of Munc13-1, and they likely interact with the MUN domain (159). Single-molecule FRET experiments revealed that the MUN domain does not dissociate the closed Munc18-1/syntaxin-1A complex but that it rather induces a conformational change in the syntaxin-1A linker region, thus enabling syntaxin-1A to transit into the ternary SNARE complex once synaptobrevin-2 and SNAP-25A are added. In addition to these interactions, the MUN domain weakly interacts with synaptobrevin-2 (82, 135), syntaxin-1A (82, 92), the syntaxin-1A/SNAP-25A complex (49, 165), and the ternary SNARE complex (49).

In some ways, assistance of proper ternary SNARE complex formation by Munc13-1 is reminiscent of certain ATP-independent chaperones such as Trigger Factor that assist protein folding of the nascent polypeptide chain upon synthesis by the ribosome (58). It has been proposed that Trigger Factor functions by shielding exposed hydrophobic residues during folding (73), inhibiting premature folding (99), unfolding preexisting structures and intermediate structures (57), or assisting protein assembly (98). While the MUN domain of Munc13-1 is structurally quite different

than Trigger Factor, some of the properties of Trigger Factor also apply to the MUN domain in that both act through a series of weak and transient interactions to ensure a given conformation.

The existence of factors for proper assembly of the ternary SNARE complex may be a general phenomenon. In the context of a different SNARE-mediated fusion process, the so-called homotypic fusion and protein sorting (HOPS) complex is essential in promoting maximum efficiency of homotypic vacuolar fusion (190), where the Munc18-1 homolog in the HOPS complex (Vps33) affects vacuolar SNARE-mediated membrane fusion (8). The crystal structures of a complex with the Munc18 homolog Vps33 and the synaptobrevin homolog Nyv1 as well as the complex of Vps33 and the syntaxin homolog Vam3 suggest that Vps33 regulates the proper assembly of Nyv1 and Vam3. Moreover, NMR experiments showed that synaptobrevin-2 interacts with Munc18-1 (135) in a similar fashion as suggested by the Vps33/Nyv1 complex. It is of course possible that the Vps33/Nyv1 interaction is promiscuous and may allow interactions with other SNAREs as well. Such a promiscuous interaction would be consistent with the cooperation of Munc18 and Munc13 in promoting the proper assembly of all components of the neuronal SNARE complex. Finally, there is no Munc13 homolog in homotypic vacuolar fusion, and all Munc13 homologs are thought to be involved in Ca^{2+}-triggered exocytosis (74, 88). Thus, the evolution of the Ca^{2+}-triggered fusion machineries deviated from that of constitutive vesicle fusion.

10.5. Hypothesis: Presynaptic Plasticity May Be a Manifestation of Regulation of Proper SNARE Complex Assembly

The key roles of Munc13-1 in priming and short-term plasticity may be related to the role of the MUN domain in proper assembly of the SNARE complex (82). This function of the MUN domain may in turn be subject to regulation by the other domains of Munc13-1. For example, Ca^{2+} binding to the C2B domain; the synergy among C1, C2B, and C2C domains (90); and the Ca^{2+}-dependent interaction between calmodulin and Munc13 (68) may localize Munc13 to sites of docked synaptic vesicles and increase its ability to assist in proper SNARE complex assembly, as corroborated by the concentration dependence of the various Munc13 fragments in single-vesicle fusion experiments (82). Indeed, mutations of the Munc13-2 C2B domain affect neurotransmitter release upon a single action potential as well as short-term synaptic plasticity (132), and mutations in the interface regions among the C1, C2B, and MUN domains have differential effects on evoked release and the readily releasable pool (170), suggesting that the two molecular functions of Munc13 may be differentially regulated in the neuron. Taken together, regulation of presynaptic plasticity via Munc13 may be in part accomplished by its profound molecular function on ensuring proper assembly of the ternary SNARE complex. The starting point for the action by Munc18 and Munc13 is the disassembled SNARE complex mediated by NSF and αSNAP (**Figure 8**). Thus, NSF, αSNAP, Munc18, and Munc13 constitute a quality control system that produces maximal fusogenic synaptic complexes.

11. PERSPECTIVES AND OUTLOOK

There are other important factors that were not reviewed here—in particular, factors that are involved in tethering, regulation through Rab G proteins, and voltage-gated Ca^{2+} channels (69, 161). Clearly, complexes with these factors also need to be imaged at near-atomic resolution and functionally reconstituted. Moreover, there are many proteins in synaptic vesicles with little known function that may deserve closer examination. Ultimately, studying the effects of disease and aging on the synaptic neurotransmitter release machinery and its regulations may provide clues for entirely new therapeutic developments.

Figure 8

Structures of synaptic proteins and their complexes. Shown are structures of the neuronal SNARE complex (147); the SNARE/Cpx1/Syt1 complex (189); the SNARE/αSNAP/NSF complex (185); the Munc18/syntaxin complex (107); and the C1C2BMUN fragment of Munc13 (170). Abbreviations: Cpx1, complexin-1; Munc: mammalian uncoordinated; NSF, soluble N-ethylmaleimide sensitive factor; SNAP, soluble NSF adaptor protein; SNARE, soluble NSF attachment protein receptor; Syt1, synaptotagmin-1.

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

The authors are not aware of any affiliations, memberships, funding, or financial holdings that might be perceived as affecting the objectivity of this review.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

LITERATURE CITED

1. Adams DJ, Arthur CP, Stowell MHB. 2015. Architecture of the synaptophysin/synaptobrevin complex: structural evidence for an entropic clustering function at the synapse. *Sci. Rep.* 5(1):13659

2. Aeffner S, Reusch T, Weinhausen B, Salditt T. 2012. Energetics of stalk intermediates in membrane fusion are controlled by lipid composition. *PNAS* 109(25):9678–79

3. Araç D, Chen X, Khant HA, Ubach J, Ludtke SJ, et al. 2006. Close membrane-membrane proximity induced by Ca^{2+}-dependent multivalent binding of synaptotagmin-1 to phospholipids. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 13(3):209–17

4. Augustin I, Rosenmund C, Südhof TC, Brose N. 1999. Munc13-1 is essential for fusion competence of glutamatergic synaptic vesicles. *Nature* 400:457–61

5. Bacaj T, Wu D, Yang X, Morishita W, Zhou P, et al. 2013. Synaptotagmin-1 and synaptotagmin-7 trigger synchronous and asynchronous phases of neurotransmitter release. *Neuron* 80(4):947–59

6. Bai H, Xue R, Bao H, Zhang L, Yethiraj A, et al. 2016. Different states of synaptotagmin regulate evoked versus spontaneous release. *Nat. Commun.* 7:1–9

7. Bai J, Tucker WC, Chapman ER. 2004. PIP$_2$ increases the speed of response of synaptotagmin and steers its membrane-penetration activity toward the plasma membrane. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 11(1):36–44

8. Baker RW, Jeffrey PD, Zick M, Phillips BP, Wickner WT, Hughson FM. 2015. A direct role for the Sec1/Munc18-family protein Vps33 as a template for SNARE assembly. *Science* 349(6252):1111–14

9. Balch WE, Glick BS, Rothman JE. 1984. Sequential intermediates in the pathway of intercompartmental transport in a cell-free system. *Cell* 39(3):525–36

10. Basu J, Shen N, Dulubova I, Lu J, Guan R, et al. 2005. A minimal domain responsible for Munc13 activity. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 12(11):1017–18

11. Baumert M, Maycox PR, Navone F, De Camilli P, Jahn R. 1989. Synaptobrevin: an integral membrane protein of 18,000 daltons present in small synaptic vesicles of rat brain. *EMBO J.* 8(2):379–84

12. Bennett MK, Calakos N, Scheller RH. 1992. Syntaxin: a synaptic protein implicated in docking of synaptic vesicles at presynaptic active zones. *Science* 257(5067):255–59

13. Bouwman J, Spijker S, Schut D, Wächtler B, Ylstra B, et al. 2006. Reduced expression of neuropeptide genes in a genome-wide screen of a secretion-deficient mouse. *J. Neurochem.* 99(1):84–96

14. Bracher A, Kadlec J, Betz H, Weissenhorn W. 2002. X-ray structure of a neuronal complexin-SNARE complex from squid. *J. Biol. Chem.* 277(29):26517–23

15. Brewer KD, Bacaj T, Cavalli A, Camilloni C, Swarbrick JD, et al. 2015. Dynamic binding mode of a Synaptotagmin-1–SNARE complex in solution. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 22(7):555–64

16. Brose N, Petrenko AG, Südhof TC, Jahn R. 1992. Synaptotagmin: a calcium sensor on the synaptic vesicle surface. *Science* 256(5059):1021–25

17. Brunger AT. 2006. Structure and function of SNARE and SNARE-interacting proteins. *Q. Rev. Biophys.* 38(1):1–47

18. Brunger AT, Cipriano DJ, Diao J. 2015. Towards reconstitution of membrane fusion mediated by SNAREs and other synaptic proteins. *Crit. Rev. Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 50(3):231–41

19. Burkhardt P, Hattendorf DA, Weis WI, Fasshauer D. 2008. Munc18a controls SNARE assembly through its interaction with the syntaxin N-peptide. *EMBO J.* 27(7):923–33

20. Calloway N, Gouzer G, Xue M, Ryan TA. 2015. The active-zone protein Munc13 controls the use-dependence of presynaptic voltage-gated calcium channels. *eLife* 4:e07728

21. Cao P, Yang X, Südhof TC. 2013. Complexin activates exocytosis of distinct secretory vesicles controlled by different synaptotagmins. *J. Neurosci.* 33(4):1714–27

22. Chapman ER, Davis AF. 1998. Direct interaction of a Ca^{2+}-binding loop of synaptotagmin with lipid bilayers. *J. Biol. Chem.* 273(22):13995–4001

23. Chen X, Lu J, Dulubova I, Rizo J. 2008. NMR analysis of the closed conformation of syntaxin-1. *J. Biomol. NMR* 41(1):43–54

24. Chen X, Tomchick DR, Kovrigin E, Araç D, Machius M, et al. 2002. Three-dimensional structure of the complexin/SNARE complex. *Neuron* 33(3):397–409

25. Chicka MC, Chapman ER. 2009. Concurrent binding of complexin and synaptotagmin to liposome-embedded SNARE complexes. *Biochemistry* 48(4):657–59

26. Cho RW, Kümmel D, Li F, Baguley SW, Coleman J, et al. 2014. Genetic analysis of the Complexin trans-clamping model for cross-linking SNARE complexes in vivo. *PNAS* 111(28):10317–22

27. Choi UB, Strop P, Vrljic M, Chu S, Brunger AT, Weninger KR. 2010. Single-molecule FRET–derived model of the synaptotagmin 1–SNARE fusion complex. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 17(3):318–24

28. Choi UB, Zhao M, Zhang Y, Lai Y, Brunger AT. 2016. Complexin induces a conformational change at the membrane-proximal C-terminal end of the SNARE complex. *eLife* 5:e16886

29. Cohen FS, Melikyan GB. 2004. The energetics of membrane fusion from binding, through hemifusion, pore formation, and pore enlargement. *J. Membr. Biol.* 199(1):1–14

30. Cole AA, Chen X, Reese TS. 2016. A network of three types of filaments organizes synaptic vesicles for storage, mobilization, and docking. *J. Neurosci.* 36(11):3222–30

31. Daily NJ, Boswell KL, James DJ, Martin TFJ. 2010. Novel interactions of CAPS (Ca^{2+}-dependent activator protein for secretion) with the three neuronal SNARE proteins required for vesicle fusion. *J. Biol. Chem.* 285(46):35320–29

32. Davletov BA, Südhof TC. 1993. A single C2 domain from synaptotagmin I is sufficient for high affinity Ca^{2+}/phospholipid binding. *J. Biol. Chem.* 268(35):26386–90

33. Deák F, Xu Y, Chang W-P, Dulubova I, Khvotchev M, et al. 2009. Munc18-1 binding to the neuronal SNARE complex controls synaptic vesicle priming. *J. Cell Biol.* 184(5):751–64

34. Dhara M, Yarzagaray A, Schwarz Y, Dutta S, Grabner C, et al. 2014. Complexin synchronizes primed vesicle exocytosis and regulates fusion pore dynamics. *J. Cell Biol.* 204(7):1123–40

35. Diao J, Cipriano DJ, Zhao M, Zhang Y, Shah S, et al. 2013. Complexin-1 enhances the on-rate of vesicle docking via simultaneous SNARE and membrane interactions. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 135(41):15274–77

36. Diao J, Grob P, Cipriano DJ, Kyoung M, Zhang Y, et al. 2012. Synaptic proteins promote calcium-triggered fast transition from point contact to full fusion. *eLife* 1:e00109

37. Dulubova I, Lou X, Lu J, Huryeva I, Alam A, et al. 2005. A Munc13/RIM/Rab3 tripartite complex: from priming to plasticity? *EMBO J.* 24(16):2839–50

38. Dulubova I, Sugita S, Hill S, Hosaka M, Fernandez I, et al. 1999. A conformational switch in syntaxin during exocytosis: role of munc18. *EMBO J.* 18(16):4372–82

39. Evans CS, Ruhl DA, Chapman ER. 2015. An engineered metal sensor tunes the kinetics of synaptic transmission. *J. Neurosci.* 35(34):11769–79

40. Fasshauer D, Sutton RB, Brunger AT, Jahn R. 1998. Conserved structural features of the synaptic fusion complex: SNARE proteins reclassified as Q- and R-SNAREs. *PNAS* 95(26):15781–86

41. Fernández-Busnadiego R, Asano S, Oprisoreanu AM, Sakata E, Doengi M, et al. 2013. Cryo–electron tomography reveals a critical role of RIM1α in synaptic vesicle tethering. *J. Cell Biol.* 201(5):725–40

42. Fernández-Chacón R, Königstorfer A, Gerber SHH, García J, Matos MFF, et al. 2001. Synaptotagmin I functions as a calcium regulator of release probability. *Nature* 410(6824):41–49

43. Gao Y, Zorman S, Gundersen G, Xi Z, Ma L, et al. 2012. Single reconstituted neuronal SNARE complexes zipper in three distinct stages. *Science* 337(6100):1340–43

44. Geppert M, Goda Y, Hammer RE, Li C, Rosahl TW, et al. 1994. Synaptotagmin I: a major Ca^{2+} sensor for transmitter release at a central synapse. *Cell* 79(4):717–27

45. Gipson P, Fukuda Y, Danev R, Lai Y, Chen D-H, et al. 2017. Morphologies of synaptic protein membrane fusion interfaces. *PNAS* 114(34):9110–15

46. Giraudo CG, Eng WS, Melia TJ, Rothman JE. 2006. A clamping mechanism involved in SNARE-dependent exocytosis. *Science* 313(5787):676–80

47. Giraudo CG, Garcia-Diaz A, Eng WS, Chen Y, Hendrickson WA, et al. 2009. Alternative zippering as an on-off switch for SNARE-mediated fusion. *Science* 323(5913):512–16

48. Gong J, Lai Y, Li X, Wang M, Leitz J, et al. 2016. C-terminal domain of mammalian complexin-1 localizes to highly curved membranes. *PNAS* 113(47):E7590–99

49. Guan R, Dai H, Rizo J. 2008. Binding of the Munc13-1 MUN domain to membrane-anchored SNARE complexes. *Biochemistry* 47(6):1474–81

50. Gustavsson N, Han W. 2009. Calcium-sensing beyond neurotransmitters: functions of synaptotagmins in neuroendocrine and endocrine secretion. *Biosci. Rep.* 29(4):245–59

51. Hammarlund M, Palfreyman MT, Watanabe S, Olsen S, Jorgensen EM. 2007. Open syntaxin docks synaptic vesicles. *PLOS Biol.* 5(8):e198

52. Hanson PI, Roth R, Morisaki H, Jahn R, Heuser JE. 1997. Structure and conformational changes in NSF and its membrane receptor complexes visualized by quick-freeze/deep-etch electron microscopy. *Cell* 90(3):523–35

53. Harrison SC. 2017. Pictures of the prologue to neurotransmitter release. *PNAS* 114(34):8920–22

54. He E, Wierda K, van Westen R, Broeke JH, Toonen RF, et al. 2017. Munc13-1 and Munc18-1 together prevent NSF-dependent de-priming of synaptic vesicles. *Nat. Commun.* 8:15915

55. Hernandez JM, Stein A, Behrmann E, Riedel D, Cypionka A, et al. 2012. Membrane fusion intermediates via directional and full assembly of the SNARE complex. *Science* 336(6088):1581–84

56. Hobson RJ, Liu Q, Watanabe S, Jorgensen EM. 2011. Complexin maintains vesicles in the primed state in *C. elegans. Curr. Biol.* 21(2):106–13

57. Hoffmann A, Becker AH, Zachmann-Brand B, Deuerling E, Bukau B, Kramer G. 2012. Concerted action of the ribosome and the associated chaperone trigger factor confines nascent polypeptide folding. *Mol. Cell.* 48(1):63–74

58. Hoffmann A, Bukau B, Kramer G. 2010. Structure and function of the molecular chaperone Trigger Factor. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 1803(6):650–61

59. Hu S-H, Christie MP, Saez NJ, Latham CF, Jarrott R, et al. 2011. Possible roles for Munc18-1 domain 3a and Syntaxin1 N-peptide and C-terminal anchor in SNARE complex formation. *PNAS* 108(3):1040–45

60. Hui E, Bai J, Chapman ER. 2006. Ca^{2+}-triggered simultaneous membrane penetration of the tandem C2-domains of synaptotagmin I. *Biophys. J.* 91(5):1767–77

61. Hui E, Bai J, Wang P, Sugimori M, Llinas RR, Chapman ER. 2005. Three distinct kinetic groupings of the synaptotagmin family: candidate sensors for rapid and delayed exocytosis. *PNAS* 102(14):5210–14

62. Hui E, Johnson CP, Yao J, Dunning FM, Chapman ER. 2009. Synaptotagmin-mediated bending of the target membrane is a critical step in Ca^{2+}-regulated fusion. *Cell* 138(4):709–21

63. Huntwork S, Littleton JT. 2007. A complexin fusion clamp regulates spontaneous neurotransmitter release and synaptic growth. *Nat. Neurosci.* 10(10):1235–37

64. Imig C, Min S-W, Krinner S, Arancillo M, Rosenmund C, et al. 2014. The morphological and molecular nature of synaptic vesicle priming at presynaptic active zones. *Neuron* 84(2):416–31

65. Jensen MB, Bhatia VK, Jao CC, Rasmussen JE, Pedersen SL, et al. 2011. Membrane curvature sensing by amphipathic helices: a single liposome study using α-synuclein and annexin B12. *J. Biol. Chem.* 286(49):42603–14

66. Jockusch WJ, Speidel D, Sigler A, Sørensen JB, Varoqueaux F, et al. 2007. CAPS-1 and CAPS-2 are essential synaptic vesicle priming proteins. *Cell* 131(4):796–808

67. Jorquera RA, Huntwork-Rodriguez S, Akbergenova Y, Cho RW, Littleton JT. 2012. Complexin controls spontaneous and evoked neurotransmitter release by regulating the timing and properties of synaptotagmin activity. *J. Neurosci.* 32(50):18234–45

68. Junge HJ, Rhee J, Jahn O, Varoqueaux F, Spiess J, et al. 2004. Calmodulin and Munc13 form a Ca^{2+} sensor/effector complex that controls short-term synaptic plasticity. *Cell* 118(3):389–401

69. Kaeser PS, Deng L, Wang Y, Dulubova I, Liu X, et al. 2011. RIM proteins tether Ca^{2+}-channels to presynaptic active zones via a direct PDZ-domain interaction. *Cell* 144(2):282–95

70. Kaeser PS, Regehr WG. 2014. Molecular mechanisms for synchronous, asynchronous, and spontaneous neurotransmitter release. *Annu. Rev. Physiol.* 76:333–63

71. Kaeser PS, Regehr WG. 2017. The readily releasable pool of synaptic vesicles. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 43:63–70

72. Kaeser-Woo YJ, Yang X, Südhof TC. 2012. C-terminal complexin sequence is selectively required for clamping and priming but not for Ca^{2+} triggering of synaptic exocytosis. *J. Neurosci.* 32(8):2877–85

73. Kaiser CM, Chang H-C, Agashe VR, Lakshmipathy SK, Etchells SA, et al. 2006. Real-time observation of trigger factor function on translating ribosomes. *Nature* 444(7118):455–60

74. Koch H, Hofmann K, Brose N. 2000. Definition of Munc13-homology-domains and characterization of a novel ubiquitously expressed Munc13 isoform. *Biochem. J.* 349:247–53

75. Kochubey O, Schneggenburger R. 2011. Synaptotagmin increases the dynamic range of synapses by driving Ca^{2+}-evoked release and by clamping a near-linear remaining Ca^{2+} sensor. *Neuron* 69(4):736–48

76. Kreutzberger AJB, Kiessling V, Liang B, Seelheim P, Jakhanwal S, et al. 2017. Reconstitution of calcium-mediated exocytosis of dense-core vesicles. *Sci. Adv.* 3(7):e1603208

77. Krishnakumar SS, Li F, Coleman J, Schauder CM, Kümmel D, et al. 2015. Re-visiting the *trans* insertion model for complexin clamping. *eLife* 4:e04463

78. Kümmel D, Krishnakumar SS, Radoff DT, Li F, Giraudo CG, et al. 2011. Complexin cross-links pre-fusion SNAREs into a zigzag array. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 18(8):927–33

79. Kuo W, Herrick DZ, Ellena JF, Cafiso DS. 2009. The calcium-dependent and calcium-independent membrane binding of synaptotagmin 1: two modes of C2B binding. *J. Mol. Biol.* 387(2):284–94

80. Kyoung M, Srivastava A, Zhang Y, Diao J, Vrljic M, et al. 2011. In vitro system capable of differentiating fast Ca²⁺-triggered content mixing from lipid exchange for mechanistic studies of neurotransmitter release. *PNAS* 108(29):E304–13

81. Kyoung M, Zhang Y, Diao J, Chu S, Brunger AT. 2012. Studying calcium-triggered vesicle fusion in a single vesicle-vesicle content and lipid-mixing system. *Nat. Protoc.* 8(1):1–16

82. Lai Y, Choi UB, Leitz J, Rhee HJ, Lee C, et al. 2017. Molecular mechanisms of synaptic vesicle priming by Munc13 and Munc18. *Neuron* 95:591–607

83. Lai Y, Choi UB, Zhang Y, Zhao M, Pfuetzner RA, et al. 2016. N-terminal domain of complexin independently activates calcium-triggered fusion. *PNAS* 113(32):E4698–707

84. Lai Y, Diao J, Cipriano DJ, Zhang Y, Pfuetzner RA, et al. 2014. Complexin inhibits spontaneous release and synchronizes Ca²⁺-triggered synaptic vesicle fusion by distinct mechanisms. *eLife* 3:e03756

85. Lai Y, Lou X, Jho Y, Yoon T-Y, Shin Y-K. 2013. The synaptotagmin 1 linker may function as an electrostatic zipper that opens for docking but closes for fusion pore opening. *Biochem. J.* 456:25–33

86. Lee J, Guan Z, Akbergenova Y, Littleton JT. 2013. Genetic analysis of synaptotagmin C2 domain specificity in regulating spontaneous and evoked neurotransmitter release. *J. Neurosci.* 33(1):187–200

87. Lee J, Littleton JT. 2015. Transmembrane tethering of synaptotagmin to synaptic vesicles controls multiple modes of neurotransmitter release. *PNAS* 112(12):3793–98

88. Lipstein N, Schaks S, Dimova K, Kalkhof S, Ihling C, et al. 2012. Nonconserved Ca²⁺/calmodulin binding sites in Munc13s differentially control synaptic short-term plasticity. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 32(22):4628–41

89. Liu H, Bai H, Xue R, Takahashi H, Edwardson JM, Chapman ER. 2014. Linker mutations reveal the complexity of synaptotagmin 1 action during synaptic transmission. *Nat. Neurosci.* 17(5):670–77

90. Liu X, Seven AB, Camacho M, Esser V, Xu J, et al. 2016. Functional synergy between the Munc13 C-terminal C1 and C2 domains. *eLife* 5:e13696

91. Lou X, Shin J, Yang Y, Kim J, Shin Y-K. 2015. Synaptotagmin-1 is an antagonist for Munc18-1 in SNARE zippering. *J. Biol. Chem.* 290:10535–43

92. Ma C, Li W, Xu Y, Rizo J. 2011. Munc13 mediates the transition from the closed syntaxin–Munc18 complex to the SNARE complex. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 18(5):542–49

93. Ma C, Su L, Seven AB, Xu Y, Rizo J. 2013. Reconstitution of the vital functions of Munc18 and Munc13 in neurotransmitter release. *Science* 339(6118):421–25

94. Ma L, Cai Y, Li Y, Jiao J, Wu Z, et al. 2017. Single-molecule force spectroscopy of protein-membrane interactions. *eLife* 6:e30493

95. Madison JM, Nurrish S, Kaplan JM. 2005. UNC-13 interaction with Syntaxin is required for synaptic transmission. *Curr. Biol.* 15(24):2236–42

96. Martens S, Kozlov MM, McMahon HT. 2007. How synaptotagmin promotes membrane fusion. *Science* 316(5828):1205–8

97. Martin JA, Hu Z, Fenz KM, Fernandez J, Dittman JS. 2011. Complexin has opposite effects on two modes of synaptic vesicle fusion. *Curr. Biol.* 21(2):97–105

98. Martinez-Hackert E, Hendrickson WA. 2009. Promiscuous substrate recognition in folding and assembly activities of the trigger factor chaperone. *Cell* 138(5):923–34

99. Mashaghi A, Kramer G, Bechtluft P, Zachmann-Brand B, Driessen AJM, et al. 2013. Reshaping of the conformational search of a protein by the chaperone trigger factor. *Nature* 500(7460):98–101

100. Maximov A, Tang J, Yang X, Pang ZP, Südhof TC. 2009. Complexin controls the force transfer from SNARE complexes to membranes in fusion. *Science* 323(5913):516–21

101. Mayer A, Wickner W, Haas A. 1996. Sec18p (NSF)-driven release of Sec17p (α-SNAP) can precede docking and fusion of yeast vacuoles. *Cell* 85(1):83–94

102. McMahon HT, Missler M, Li C, Südhof TC. 1995. Complexins: cytosolic proteins that regulate SNAP receptor function. *Cell* 83(1):111–19

103. Medine CN, Rickman C, Chamberlain LH, Duncan RR. 2007. Munc18-1 prevents the formation of ectopic SNARE complexes in living cells. *J. Cell Sci.* 120:4407–15

104. Melia TJ, Weber T, McNew JA, Fisher LE, Johnston RJ, et al. 2002. Regulation of membrane fusion by the membrane-proximal coil of the t-SNARE during zippering of SNAREpins. *J. Cell Biol.* 158(5):929–40

105. Michelassi F, Liu H, Hu Z, Dittman JS, Michelassi F, et al. 2017. A C1-C2 module in Munc13 inhibits calcium-dependent neurotransmitter release. *Neuron* 95(3):577–90

106. Min D, Kim K, Hyeon C, Cho YH, Shin Y-K, Yoon T-Y. 2013. Mechanical unzipping and rezipping of a single SNARE complex reveals hysteresis as a force-generating mechanism. *Nat. Commun.* 4:1705

107. Misura KM, Scheller RH, Weis WI. 2000. Three-dimensional structure of the neuronal-Sec1–syntaxin 1a complex. *Nature* 404(6776):355–62

108. Mittelsteadt T, Seifert G, Alvárez-Barón E, Steinhäuser C, Becker AJ, Schoch S. 2009. Differential mRNA expression patterns of the synaptotagmin gene family in the rodent brain. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 512(4):514–28

109. Nicholson KL, Munson M, Miller RB, Filip TJ, Fairman R, Hughson FM. 1998. Regulation of SNARE complex assembly by an N-terminal domain of the t-SNARE Sso1p. *Nat. Struct. Biol.* 5(9):793–802

110. Nishiki T, Augustine GJ. 2004. Dual roles of the C2B domain of synaptotagmin I in synchronizing Ca^{2+}-dependent neurotransmitter release. *J. Neurosci.* 24(39):8542–50

111. Pabst S, Hazzard JW, Antonin W, Südhof TC, Jahn R, et al. 2000. Selective interaction of complexin with the neuronal SNARE complex: determination of the binding regions. *J. Biol. Chem.* 275(26):19808–18

112. Pabst S, Margittai M, Vainius D, Langen R, Jahn R, Fasshauer D. 2002. Rapid and selective binding to the synaptic SNARE complex suggests a modulatory role of complexins in neuroexocytosis. *J. Biol. Chem.* 277(10):7838–48

113. Park Y, Seo JB, Fraind A, Pérez-Lara A, Yavuz H, et al. 2015. Synaptotagmin-1 binds to PIP_2-containing membrane but not to SNAREs at physiological ionic strength. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 22(10):815–23

114. Pérez-Lara Á, Thapa A, Nyenhuis SBB, Nyenhuis DAA, Halder P, et al. 2016. $PtdInsP_2$ and PtdSer cooperate to trap synaptotagmin-1 to the plasma membrane in the presence of calcium. *eLife* 5:e15886

115. Perin MS, Johnston PA, Ozcelik T, Jahn R, Francke U, Südhof TC. 1991. Structural and functional conservation of synaptotagmin (p65) in *Drosophila* and humans. *J. Biol. Chem.* 266(1):615–22

116. Pertsinidis A, Mukherjee K, Sharma M, Pang ZP, Park SR, et al. 2013. Ultrahigh-resolution imaging reveals formation of neuronal SNARE/Munc18 complexes in situ. *PNAS* 110(30):E2812–20

117. Pevsner J, Hsu SC, Braun JE, Calakos N, Ting AE, et al. 1994. Specificity and regulation of a synaptic vesicle docking complex. *Neuron* 13(2):353–61

118. Pobbati AV, Stein A, Fasshauer D. 2006. N- to C-terminal SNARE complex assembly promotes rapid membrane fusion. *Science* 313(5787):673–76

119. Prinslow EA, Brautigam CA, Rizo J. 2017. Reconciling isothermal titration calorimetry analyses of interactions between complexin and truncated SNARE complexes. *eLife* 6:e30286

120. Radoff DT, Dong Y, Snead D, Bai J, Eliezer D, Dittman JS. 2014. The accessory helix of complexin functions by stabilizing central helix secondary structure. *eLife* 3:e04553

121. Rathore SS, Bend EG, Yu H, Hammarlund M, Jorgensen EM, Shen J. 2010. Syntaxin N-terminal peptide motif is an initiation factor for the assembly of the SNARE-Sec1/Munc18 membrane fusion complex. *PNAS* 107(52):22399–406

122. Reim K, Mansour M, Varoqueaux F, McMahon HT, Südhof TC, et al. 2001. Complexins regulate a late step in Ca^{2+}-dependent neurotransmitter release. *Cell* 104(1):71–81

123. Rhee JS, Betz A, Pyott S, Reim K, Varoqueaux F, et al. 2002. Beta phorbol ester- and diacylglycerol-induced augmentation of transmitter release is mediated by Munc13s and not by PKCs. *Cell* 108(1):121–33

124. Richmond JE, Weimer RM, Jorgensen EM. 2001. An open form of syntaxin bypasses the requirement for UNC-13 in vesicle priming. *Nature* 412(6844):338–41

125. Rothman JE. 2014. The principle of membrane fusion in the cell (Nobel lecture). *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 53(47):12676–94

126. Rowe J, Corradi N, Malosio ML, Taverna E, Halban P, et al. 1999. Blockade of membrane transport and disassembly of the Golgi complex by expression of syntaxin 1A in neurosecretion-incompetent cells: prevention by rbSEC1. *J. Cell Sci.* 112:1865–77

127. Ryu J-K, Min D, Rah S-H, Kim SJ, Park Y, et al. 2015. Spring-loaded unraveling of a single SNARE complex by NSF in one round of ATP turnover. *Science* 347(6229):1485–89

128. Schonn J-S, Maximov A, Lao Y, Sudhof TC, Sorensen JB. 2008. Synaptotagmin-1 and -7 are functionally overlapping Ca^{2+} sensors for exocytosis in adrenal chromaffin cells. *PNAS* 105(10):3998–4003

129. Seiler F, Malsam J, Krause JM, Söllner TH. 2009. A role of complexin–lipid interactions in membrane fusion. *FEBS Lett.* 583(14):2343–48

130. Shao X, Li C, Fernandez I, Zhang X, Südhof TC, Rizo J. 1997. Synaptotagmin–syntaxin interaction: the C2 domain as a Ca^{2+}-dependent electrostatic switch. *Neuron* 18:133–42

131. Shen J, Tareste DC, Paumet F, Rothman JE, Melia TJ. 2007. Selective activation of cognate SNAREpins by Sec1/Munc18 proteins. *Cell* 128(1):183–95

132. Shin O-H, Lu J, Rhee J-S, Tomchick DR, Pang ZP, et al. 2010. Munc13 C2B domain is an activity-dependent Ca^{2+} regulator of synaptic exocytosis. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 17(3):280–88

133. Shin O-H, Xu J, Rizo J, Südhof TC. 2009. Differential but convergent functions of Ca^{2+} binding to synaptotagmin-1 C2 domains mediate neurotransmitter release. *PNAS* 106(38):16469–74

134. Sinha R, Ahmed S, Jahn R, Klingauf J. 2011. Two synaptobrevin molecules are sufficient for vesicle fusion in central nervous system synapses. *PNAS* 108(34):14318–23

135. Sitarska E, Xu J, Park S, Liu X, Quade B, et al. 2017. Autoinhibition of Munc18-1 modulates synaptobrevin binding and helps to enable Munc13-dependent regulation of membrane fusion. *eLife* 6:e24278

136. Snead D, Wragg RT, Dittman JS, Eliezer D. 2014. Membrane curvature sensing by the C-terminal domain of complexin. *Nat. Commun.* 5:4955

137. Söllner T, Bennett MK, Whiteheart SW, Scheller RH, Rothman JE. 1993. A protein assembly-disassembly pathway in vitro that may correspond to sequential steps of synaptic vesicle docking, activation, and fusion. *Cell* 75(3):409–18

138. Söllner T, Whiteheart SW, Brunner M, Erdjument-Bromage H, Geromanos S, et al. 1993. SNAP receptors implicated in vesicle targeting and fusion. *Nature* 362(6418):318–24

139. Stein A, Weber G, Wahl MC, Jahn R. 2009. Helical extension of the neuronal SNARE complex into the membrane. *Nature* 460(7254):525–28

140. Stevens DR, Wu ZX, Matti U, Junge HJ, Schirra C, et al. 2005. Identification of the minimal protein domain required for priming activity of Munc13-1. *Curr. Biol.* 15(24):2243–48

141. Südhof TC. 2012. The presynaptic active zone. *Neuron* 75(1):11–25

142. Südhof TC. 2013. Neurotransmitter release: the last millisecond in the life of a synaptic vesicle. *Neuron* 80(3):675–90

143. Südhof TC, Jahn R. 1991. Proteins of synaptic vesicles involved in exocytosis and membrane recycling. *Neuron* 6(5):665–77

144. Sugita S, Han W, Butz S, Liu X, Fernández-Chacón R, et al. 2001. Synaptotagmin VII as a plasma membrane Ca^{2+} sensor in exocytosis. *Neuron* 30(2):459–73

145. Sun J, Pang ZP, Qin D, Fahim AT, Adachi R, Südhof TC. 2007. A dual-Ca^{2+}-sensor model for neurotransmitter release in a central synapse. *Nature* 450(7170):676–82

146. Sutton RB, Davletov BA, Berghuis AM, Südhof TC, Sprang SR. 1995. Structure of the first C2 domain of synaptotagmin I: a novel Ca^{2+}/phospholipid-binding fold. *Cell* 80:929–38

147. Sutton RB, Fasshauer D, Jahn R, Brunger AT. 1998. Crystal structure of a SNARE complex involved in synaptic exocytosis at 2.4 Å resolution. *Nature* 395(6700):347–53

148. Tang J, Maximov A, Shin O-H, Dai H, Rizo J, et al. 2006. A complexin/synaptotagmin 1 switch controls fast synaptic vesicle exocytosis. *Cell* 126(6):1175–87

149. Trimbuch T, Xu J, Flaherty D, Tomchick DR, Rizo J, Rosenmund C. 2014. Re-examining how complexin inhibits neurotransmitter release. *eLife* 3:e02391

150. Tucker WC, Weber T, Chapman ER. 2004. Reconstitution of Ca^{2+}-regulated membrane fusion by synaptotagmin and SNAREs. *Science* 304(5669):435–38

151. van den Bogaart G, Holt MG, Bunt G, Riedel D, Wouters FS, Jahn R. 2010. One SNARE complex is sufficient for membrane fusion. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 17(3):358–64

152. van den Bogaart G, Meyenberg K, Risselada HJ, Amin H, Willig KI, et al. 2011. Membrane protein sequestering by ionic protein–lipid interactions. *Nature* 479(7374):552–55

153. van den Bogaart G, Thutupalli S, Risselada JH, Meyenberg K, Holt M, et al. 2011. Synaptotagmin-1 may be a distance regulator acting upstream of SNARE nucleation. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 18(7):805–12

154. Varoqueaux F, Sigler A, Rhee J-S, Brose N, Enk C, et al. 2002. Total arrest of spontaneous and evoked synaptic transmission but normal synaptogenesis in the absence of Munc13-mediated vesicle priming. *PNAS* 99(13):9037–42

155. Verhage M, Maia AS, Plomp JJ, Brussaard AB, Heeroma JH, et al. 2000. Synaptic assembly of the brain in the absence of neurotransmitter secretion. *Science* 287(5454):864–69

156. Vrljic M, Strop P, Hill RCC, Hansen KCC, Chu S, Brunger ATT. 2011. Post-translational modifications and lipid binding profile of insect cell-expressed full-length mammalian synaptotagmin 1. *Biochemistry* 50(46):9998–10012

157. Wang J, Bello O, Auclair SM, Coleman J, Pincet F, et al. 2014. Calcium sensitive ring-like oligomers formed by synaptotagmin. *PNAS* 111(38):13966–71

158. Wang J, Li F, Bello OD, Sindelar CV, Pincet F, et al. 2017. Circular oligomerization is an intrinsic property of synaptotagmin. *eLife* 6:e27441

159. Wang S, Choi UB, Gong J, Yang X, Li Y, et al. 2017. Conformational change of syntaxin linker region induced by Munc13s initiates SNARE complex formation in synaptic exocytosis. *EMBO J.* 36(6):816–29

160. Wang S, Li Y, Ma C. 2016. Synaptotagmin-1 C2B domain interacts simultaneously with SNAREs and membranes to promote membrane fusion. *eLife* 5(9):e14211

161. Wang SSH, Held RG, Wong MY, Liu C, Karakhanyan A, Kaeser PS. 2016. Fusion competent synaptic vesicles persist upon active zone disruption and loss of vesicle docking. *Neuron* 91(4):777–91

162. Weber T, Zemelman BV, McNew JA, Westermann B, Gmachl M, et al. 1998. SNAREpins: minimal machinery for membrane fusion. *Cell* 92(6):759–72

163. Weimer RM, Gracheva EO, Meyrignac O, Miller KG, Richmond JE, Bessereau J. 2006. UNC-13 and UNC-10/Rim localize synaptic vesicles to specific membrane domains. *J. Neurosci.* 26(31):8040–47

164. Wen H, Linhoff MW, McGinley MJ, Li G-L, Corson GM, et al. 2010. Distinct roles for two synaptotagmin isoforms in synchronous and asynchronous transmitter release at zebrafish neuromuscular junction. *PNAS* 107(31):13906–11

165. Weninger K, Bowen ME, Choi UB, Chu S, Brunger AT. 2008. Accessory proteins stabilize the acceptor complex for synaptobrevin, the 1:1 syntaxin/SNAP-25 complex. *Structure* 16(2):308–20

166. Weninger K, Bowen ME, Chu S, Brunger AT. 2003. Single-molecule studies of SNARE complex assembly reveal parallel and antiparallel configurations. *PNAS* 100(25):14800–5

167. Wragg RT, Snead D, Dong Y, Ramlall TF, Menon I, et al. 2013. Synaptic vesicles position complexin to block spontaneous fusion. *Neuron* 77(2):323–34

168. Wu D, Bacaj T, Morishita W, Goswami D, Arendt KL, et al. 2017. Postsynaptic synaptotagmins mediate AMPA receptor exocytosis during LTP. *Nature* 544(7650):316–21

169. Wu Z, Schulten K. 2014. Synaptotagmin's role in neurotransmitter release likely involves Ca^{2+}-induced conformational transition. *Biophys. J.* 107(5):1156–66

170. Xu J, Camacho M, Xu Y, Esser V, Liu X, et al. 2017. Mechanistic insights into neurotransmitter release and presynaptic plasticity from the crystal structure of Munc13-1 C_1C_2BMUN. *eLife* 6:e22567

171. Xu J, Mashimo T, Südhof TC. 2007. Synaptotagmin-1, -2, and -9: Ca^{2+} sensors for fast release that specify distinct presynaptic properties in subsets of neurons. *Neuron* 54(4):567–81

172. Xu J, Pang ZP, Shin O-H, Südhof TC. 2009. Synaptotagmin-1 functions as a Ca^{2+} sensor for spontaneous release. *Nat. Publ. Gr.* 12(6):759–66

173. Xu Y, Su L, Rizo J. 2010. Binding of Munc18-1 to synaptobrevin and to the SNARE four-helix bundle. *Biochemistry* 49(8):1568–76

174. Xue M, Craig TK, Xu J, Chao H-T, Rizo J, Rosenmund C. 2010. Binding of the complexin N terminus to the SNARE complex potentiates synaptic-vesicle fusogenicity. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 17(5):568–75

175. Xue M, Lin YQ, Pan H, Reim K, Deng H, et al. 2009. Tilting the balance between facilitatory and inhibitory functions of mammalian and *Drosophila* Complexins orchestrates synaptic vesicle exocytosis. *Neuron* 64(3):367–80

176. Xue M, Reim K, Chen X, Chao H-T, Deng H, et al. 2007. Distinct domains of complexin I differentially regulate neurotransmitter release. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 14(10):949–58

177. Xue M, Stradomska A, Chen H, Brose N, Zhang W, et al. 2008. Complexins facilitate neurotransmitter release at excitatory and inhibitory synapses in mammalian central nervous system. *PNAS* 105(22):7875–80

178. Yang B, Steegmaier M, Gonzalez LC, Scheller RH. 2000. nSec1 binds a closed conformation of syntaxin1A. *J. Cell Biol.* 148(2):247–52

179. Yang X, Cao P, Sudhof TC. 2013. Deconstructing complexin function in activating and clamping Ca^{2+}-triggered exocytosis by comparing knockout and knockdown phenotypes. *PNAS* 110(51):20777–82

180. Yang X, Kaeser-Woo YJ, Pang ZP, Xu W, Südhof TC. 2010. Complexin clamps asynchronous release by blocking a secondary Ca^{2+} sensor via its accessory α helix. *Neuron* 68(5):907–20

181. Yang X, Pei J, Kaeser-Woo YJ, Bacaj T, Grishin NV, Südhof TC. 2015. Evolutionary conservation of complexins: from choanoflagellates to mice. *EMBO Rep.* 16(10):1308–17

182. Yang X, Wang S, Sheng Y, Zhang M, Zou W, et al. 2015. Syntaxin opening by the MUN domain underlies the function of Munc13 in synaptic-vesicle priming. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 22(7):547–54

183. Zanetti MN, Bello OD, Wang J, Coleman J, Cai Y, et al. 2016. Ring-like oligomers of Synaptotagmins and related C2 domain proteins. *eLife* 5:e17262

184. Zhao M, Brunger AT. 2016. Recent advances in deciphering the structure and molecular mechanism of the AAA + ATPase *N*-ethylmaleimide-sensitive factor (NSF). *J. Mol. Biol.* 428(9):1912–26

185. Zhao M, Wu S, Zhou Q, Vivona S, Cipriano DJ, et al. 2015. Mechanistic insights into the recycling machine of the SNARE complex. *Nature* 518:61–67

186. Zhou K, Stawicki TM, Goncharov A, Jin Y. 2013. Position of UNC-13 in the active zone regulates synaptic vesicle release probability and release kinetics. *eLife* 2:e01180

187. Zhou P, Pang ZP, Yang X, Zhang Y, Rosenmund C, et al. 2013. Syntaxin-1 N-peptide and H_{abc}-domain perform distinct essential functions in synaptic vesicle fusion. *EMBO J.* 32(1):159–71

188. Zhou Q, Lai Y, Bacaj T, Zhao M, Lyubimov AY, et al. 2015. Architecture of the synaptotagmin–SNARE machinery for neuronal exocytosis. *Nature* 525(7567):62–67

189. Zhou Q, Zhou P, Wang AL, Wu D, Zhao M, et al. 2017. The primed SNARE–complexin-synaptotagmin complex for neuronal exocytosis. *Nature* 548:420–25

190. Zick M, Wickner WT. 2014. A distinct tethering step is vital for vacuole membrane fusion. *eLife* 3:e03251

Contents

Indexes

Errata

An online log of corrections to *Annual Review of Biophysics* articles may be found at
http://www.annualreviews.org/errata/biophys