The primed SNARE–complexin– synaptotagmin complex for neuronal exocytosis

Qiangjun Zhou^{1,2}*, Peng Zhou¹*, Austin L. Wang^{1,2}, Dick Wu¹, Minglei Zhao^{1,2}†, Thomas C. Südhof¹ & Axel T. Brunger^{1,2}

Synaptotagmin, complexin, and neuronal SNARE (soluble *N***-ethylmaleimide sensitive factor attachment protein receptor) proteins mediate evoked synchronous neurotransmitter release, but the molecular mechanisms mediating the cooperation between these molecules remain unclear. Here we determine crystal structures of the primed pre-fusion SNARE–complexin–synaptotagmin-1 complex. These structures reveal an unexpected tripartite interface between synaptotagmin-1 and both the SNARE complex and complexin. Simultaneously, a second synaptotagmin-1 molecule interacts with the other side of the SNARE complex via the previously identified primary interface. Mutations that disrupt either interface in solution also severely impair evoked synchronous release in neurons, suggesting that both interfaces are essential for the primed pre-fusion state. Ca2⁺ binding to the synaptotagmin-1 molecules unlocks the complex, allows full zippering of the SNARE complex, and triggers membrane fusion. The tripartite SNARE–complexin–synaptotagmin-1 complex at a synaptic vesicle docking site has to be unlocked for triggered fusion to start, explaining the cooperation between complexin and synaptotagmin-1 in synchronizing evoked release on the sub-millisecond timescale.**

During synaptic transmission, Ca^{2+} influx into a presynaptic terminal triggers fusion of neurotransmitter-filled synaptic vesicles with the presynaptic plasma membrane^{1,2}. The SNARE proteins synaptobrevin-2/VAMP2 on the synaptic vesicle and syntaxin-1A and SNAP-25 on the plasma membrane initiate vesicle fusion by forming a *trans-*SNARE complex before Ca²⁺ triggering^{3,4}. In addition to SNAREs, synaptotagmin-1 (Syt1) is vital for Ca²⁺-triggered synaptic vesicle fusion^{5,6}. Syt1 contains a single transmembrane-spanning domain and two C-terminal cytoplasmic C2 domains, termed C2A and C2B (or C2AB together)^{7,8}. Syt2 and Syt9 are also involved in evoked synchronous neurotransmitter release for subsets of neurons⁹, while Syt7 mediates asynchronous release $^{10,11}.$

Syt1 interacts with both anionic membranes and SNARE complexes^{12–23}. In addition to Syt1, the SNARE complex interacts with complexin (Cpx), a small soluble protein that both activates evoked release and suppresses spontaneous release²⁴. Syt1, Cpx, and SNAREs cooperate to activate synchronous release upon action potential arrival in the synaptic terminal^{25,26} and regulate spontaneous release^{27,28}.The previously determined structures of the SNARE–Cpx complex²⁹ and of the Syt1–SNARE complex²¹, along with functional studies, suggested that each binary interaction is essential for evoked release, but these studies explained neither the cooperativity between Syt1, Cpx, and SNAREs, nor the dominant-negative effect of certain Syt1 C2B domain mutations $30,31$.

Pre-fusion complex of SNAREs, Cpx, and Syt1

We designed a soluble *trans*-SNARE complex mimetic suitable for structural studies by truncating the C-terminal end of the synaptobrevin-2 SNARE motif two layers past the central ionic zero layer, thus preventing full zippering of the SNARE complex (Fig. 1a). We co-crystallized this *trans*-SNARE complex mimetic with a Syt1 C2AB fragment (or with separate C2A, C2B domains) and a Cpx fragment that was fully active in evoked release (amino acids 1–83) to capture the primed pre-fusion state. Crystal structures of these complexes were determined in two different crystal forms to 1.85 Å resolution (co-crystallized with the C2AB fragment, referred to as Syt1–SNARE–Cpx–Syt1 C2AB crystal structure) and 2.5Å resolution (co-crystallized with both individual C2A, C2B domains, referred to as Syt1–SNARE–Cpx–Syt1 C2B crystal structure) (Fig. 1 and Extended Data Table 1).

By design, the C-terminal ends of the syntaxin-1A and SNAP-25 components are partly unstructured in the absence of the C-terminal residues of the synaptobrevin-2 SNARE motif (Fig. 1a, b, d and Extended Data Fig. 1a, b). With the exception of the unstructured C-terminal region, the structure of the truncated SNARE complex superimposes well on the structure of the fully assembled SNARE complex³² (Protein Data Bank (PDB) accession number 1N7S, rootmean-square difference $(r.m.s.d.) = 0.63 Å$).

The SNARE–Cpx–Syt1 tripartite interface

Both crystal structures contain the previously identified 'primary' interface between Syt1 C2B and the SNARE complex²¹ (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Video 1), illustrating structural conservation in different molecular packing environments ($r.m.s.d. = 0.39 \text{ Å}$; Extended Data Fig. 1e, f). More importantly, the new structures reveal a novel tripartite interface between a second C2B domain, the SNARE complex, and the Cpx central α-helix (Fig. 1b–d and Supplementary Video 1) (referred to as the SNARE–Cpx–Syt1 tripartite interface). The tripartite interface is very similar in both crystal forms $(r.m.s.d. = 0.30 \text{ Å})$ (Fig. 1b, d and Extended Data Fig. 1a, b).

The interactions between the Cpx central α -helix and the SNARE complex are similar to those found in the SNARE–Cpx

¹Department of Molecular and Cellular Physiology, Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305, USA. ²Department of Neurology and Neurological Sciences, Department of Structural Biology, Department of Photon Science, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305, USA. +Present address: Department of Biochemistry & Molecular Biology, The University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60637, USA. *These authors contributed equally to this work.

Figure 1 | **Crystal structures of the Syt1–SNARE–Cpx–Syt1 complex. a**, Domain diagrams. The numbers delineate the boundaries of the fragments used for crystallization. TM, transmembrane region. **b**, Cartoon representation of the Syt1–SNARE–Cpx–Syt1 C2AB crystal structure. The Syt1 C2B domain (orange), Cpx (cyan), and the SNARE complex (blue, red, green) form the tripartite interface. A second Syt1 C2B domain (grey, related by crystallographic symmetry) forms the primary interface with the SNARE complex²¹. For clarity, we omit the C2A domain of the Syt1 molecule involved in the primary interface (Extended Data Fig. 1c).

subcomplex^{29,33,34}. The Syt1 C2B domain of the tripartite interface binds to this SNARE–Cpx subcomplex by forming a large interface (interface area 990 \AA^2) with both the SNARE and Cpx components (Fig. 1b–e). Strikingly, the α -helix HA of the Syt1 C2B domain extends the Cpx central α -helix (Fig. 1b–f) and, together with the short 3₁₀ helix T3 of the Syt1 C2B domain and the SNARE complex, forms a six-helix bundle (Fig. 1c, e). The HA helix is structurally conserved in known structures of C2B domains of all synaptotagmins, Doc2 and rabphilin3A, but is absent from synaptotagmin C2A domains or Munc13 C2 domains (Extended Data Fig. 2d).

The tripartite interface does not involve Ca^{2+} binding sites, implying Ca^{2+} -independent binding. The residues involved in either the SNARE– Cpx–Syt1 tripartite or the Syt1–SNARE primary interfaces have relatively low temperature *B*-factors, among the lowest in these structures (Extended Data Fig. 1c, d), suggesting genuine stable interactions.

The tripartite interface is specific

There is excellent shape complementarity between the molecules involved in the SNARE–Cpx–Syt1 tripartite interface (Fig. 2, Extended Data Fig. 2a, b and Supplementary Video 1). In addition, specificity is conferred by hydrogen bonds and salt bridges between the C-terminal end of the Cpx central α-helix and the N termini of the Syt1 C2B HA and syntaxin-1A SNARE motif helices (Fig. 2a, b), and by a large hydrophobic interface (Fig. 2c, d). Primary sequence alignments show that residues that are involved in specific sidechain interactions are highly conserved in Syt1, 2, and 9, that is, isoforms that are involved in fast evoked release (Extended Data Fig. 2c). There are several ordered water molecules at the periphery of the interface between the Syt1 C2B domain and the SNARE–Cpx subcomplex (Fig. 2a, b), and a few that are involved in contacts between Syt1 C2B and syntaxin-1A (Extended Data Fig. 2a). Consequently, the buried interface area of the tripartite interface is largely independent of all ordered water molecules.

A large hydrophobic interface connects the Syt1 C2B domain (including the C-terminal end of α -helix HA and the short 3₁₀ helix T3)

c, Rotated view of **b**, showing a section that includes 3₁₀ helix T3 and α-helix HA of the Syt1 C2B domain. **d**, Cartoon representation of the Syt1–SNARE–Cpx–Syt1 C2B crystal structure. The molecular surface of the complete cytoplasmic SNARE complex structure (PDB accession number 1N7S) is superimposed. **e**, Schema of the Syt1–SNARE–Cpx–Syt1 C2AB crystal structure. **f**, Close-up view of the tripartite interface (same orientation as in **b**), showing the continuation of α -helix HA of the Syt1 C2B domain into the central α -helix of Cpx. Helical dipoles are indicated by $δ^+$ and $δ^-$.

to syntaxin-1A (Fig. 2c). Several residues of this interface participate in three hydrophobic layers of the above-mentioned six-helix bundle (Fig. 1c, e). In particular, Syt1 residues Leu394 and I352 form a hydrophobic coiled-coil-like interaction with syntaxin-1A residue Ile203 (Fig. 2d). In addition, α-helix HB of C2B and the loop between β8 and HB also interact with Cpx (Extended Data Fig. 2b).

Specific mutations disrupt the tripartite interface

To test the functional significance of the SNARE–Cpx–Syt1 tripartite interface, we designed two sets of mutations. The L387Q/L394Q mutations (referred to as LLQQ mutant) were chosen to disrupt the hydrophobic interaction that is an integral part of the interface between α -helix HA of Syt1 C2B and the SNARE complex, while the T383Q/G384Q mutations (referred to as TGQQ mutant) were chosen to disrupt interactions at the periphery of the interface (Fig. 2 and Supplementary Video 2). We also tested potential interactions that involve the polybasic region of Syt1 C2B by mutating two Lys residues (K326A/K327A, referred to as KA mutant) to disrupt any dynamic binding modes involving the highly charged polybasic region^{20,22}. All mutants of the Syt1 C2B domain are properly folded (Fig. 2, Extended Data Fig. 3 and Methods). Additionally, we used the quintuple mutations of the primary interface in the Syt1 C2B domain (R281A/E295A/Y338W/R398A/R399A, referred to as $C2B^{Q}$ or quintuple mutant) and the SNARE complex (SNAP-25 K40A/ $D51A/E52A/E55A/D166A$, referred to as SNARE^Q mutant) to selectively disrupt the Syt1–SNARE primary interface and to study the tripartite interaction without the background of the primary interface²¹.

We performed isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) experiments to characterize the effect of these mutations on the various molecular interactions in solution in the absence of Ca^{2+} (Fig. 3 and Extended Data Fig. 4). Injection of the wild-type (WT) Syt1 C2B domain into a cell containing the WT SNARE complex produced an endothermic heat trace (Fig. 3a, b and Extended Data Fig. 4a). The quintuple mutation of

Figure 2 | **Close-up views of the SNARE–Cpx–Syt1 tripartite interface. a**–**d**, Interacting residues are shown in stick representation and labelled, water molecules are shown as red balls, hydrogen bonds and salt bridges as dashed lines. Molecular surfaces (dark grey) are shown in **a** and **d**. **a**, Interactions between the α-helix HA of the Syt1 C2B domain and syntaxin-1A. Leu387 in Syt1 C2B protrudes into the cavity of syntaxin-1A, and Ser391 in Syt1 C2B forms a water-mediated hydrogen bond with Gln207 in syntaxin-1A. **b**, Close-up view of the interactions between the N-terminal end of the α -helix HA of the Syt1 C2B domain and the C-terminal end of the Cpx central α -helix. The main chain carboxyl of Asp68 in Cpx forms hydrogen bonds with the main chain of Glu386 in the Syt1 C2B domain and is involved in water-mediated hydrogen bonds with the side chain of the same residue. The main chain carboxyl of Lys69 in Cpx interacts with side chain Oγ of Thr383 in the Syt1 C2B domain, while the main chain of Gly384 in Syt1 C2B domain is involved in water-mediated hydrogen bonds with the side chain of Glu211 in syntaxin-1A. **c**, **d**, Close-up views of a region of hydrophobic interactions involved in the tripartite interface. Hydrophobic residues are shown in sphere representation. Dashed lines in **c** indicate the depth of the section shown in **d**. Inset, schema showing the approximate locations of the mutations.

the primary interface in the SNARE complex and the Syt1 C2B domain did not abolish binding (Fig. 3a, b and Extended Data Fig. 4b–d), suggesting that multiple interactions between the Syt1 C2B domain and the SNARE complex occur in solution (Extended Data Fig. 5). The KA mutant of the Syt1 C2B domain (C2B^{KA}) produced an exothermic heat of injection trace that could be well fitted to a first-order reaction (Fig. 3a, b and Extended Data Fig. 4e). Upon additional mutation of the primary interface, little binding was observed between Syt1 C2B^{KA} and the SNARE^Q complex, and between Syt1 C2B^{KA-Q} and the SNARE complex (Fig. 3a, b and Extended Data Fig. 4f, g), suggesting that the only significant interactions in solution in the absence of Ca^{2+} are the primary Syt1–SNARE interaction 21 and promiscuous interactions involving the polybasic interface of Syt1 C2B (Extended Data Fig. 5). Although each of these individual interactions with the polybasic region may be considerably weaker than the primary interface,

Figure 3 | **Probing the interfaces between the SNARE complex and the Syt1 C2B domain by ITC. a**, **c**, Schema showing the approximate locations of the mutations used for ITC experiments in **b** and **d**, separately. **b**, ITC binding traces and dissociation constants (inset) of the Syt1 C2B domain and C2B^{KA} mutant titrated into the SNARE or SNARE^Q complex. **d**, Sample ITC binding traces and dissociation constants (inset) of the Syt1 C2B domain and its mutants titrated into the SNARE^Q complex or the SNARE^Q-Cpx complex. Three independent experimental repeats were performed, and standard deviations of the dissociation constants are provided. ND, not detectable. Extended Data Fig. 4 shows further ITC data and analyses.

collectively they will dominate the overall ITC binding trace, obscuring the specific binding signal. In the presence of anionic phospholipid membranes, the membrane interactions stabilize the Syt1–SNARE primary interface²¹, lowering the dissociation constant, K_d , to 0.9 μ M (ref. 23). Moreover, the localization of Syt1 to the same membrane as synaptobrevin may further lower the dissociation constant in the physiological context.

To test the SNARE–Cpx–Syt1 tripartite interface in solution, we combined the quintuple mutants of the primary interface and the KA mutant of the polybasic region (Syt $C2B^{KA-Q}$, SNARE^Q) since these combined mutations disrupt the Syt1–SNARE primary interface and the weak interactions involving the polybasic region of the Syt1 C2B domain, resulting in total loss of SNARE binding to the Syt1 C2B domain in the absence of Cpx (Fig. 3c, d and Extended Data Fig. 4i). Upon addition of the Cpx central α -helix, we observed an exothermic binding trace $(K_d=16\pm11\,\mu\text{M};$ Fig. 3c, d and Extended Data Fig. 4j), consistent with formation of the tripartite interface. Indeed, the LLQQ mutant diminished this binding, whereas the TGQQ mutant—which only affects peripheral interactions between the molecules—did not affect the tripartite interface $(K_d=30\pm9 \,\mu\text{M}; \text{Fig. 2a, b and 3c, d and}$ Extended Data Fig. 4k, l).

Evoked release requires the tripartite interface

To determine the physiological role of the SNARE–Cpx–Syt1 tripartite interface in neurons, WT Syt1, and its TGQQ and LLQQ mutants were separately expressed in cultured cortical neurons derived from double-mutant mice harbouring Syt1 conditional and Syt7 constitutive knockout (KO) alleles^{21,30}. Consistent with a previous report¹¹, Syt7 KO neurons maintained normal synchronous synaptic release, while double removal of Syt1/7 suppressed synchronous and asynchronous release and increased spontaneous mini-release, as indicated by the decreased amplitude of evoked inhibitory postsynaptic currents (IPSCs; Fig. 4a) and the increased frequency of miniature IPSCs (mIPSCs; Extended Data Fig. 6b). These phenotypes could be fully rescued by expression of WT Syt1 (Syt1 \rm{W} T) or TGQQ mutant Syt1, but not by LLQQ mutant Syt1 (Fig. 4a and Extended Data Fig. 6a, b), consistent with our ITC binding studies that showed that the LLQQ mutant, but not the TGQQ mutant, abolishes the tripartite interface (Fig. 3). Therefore, the tripartite interface plays an indispensable role

Figure 4 | **Ca2+ triggering of release by Syt1 requires both the SNARE– Cpx–Syt1 and the Syt1–SNARE interfaces. a**, Recordings of IPSCs from cultured cortical neurons with Syt1 conditional KO and Syt7 constitutive KO, infected with lentiviruses expressing ∆Cre/Cre recombinase and WT Syt1 or Syt1 mutants. **b**, **c**, IPSCs and EPSCs from WT cultured cortical neurons infected with lentiviruses expressing Syt1 mutants. **d**, **e**, Recordings of IPSCs and EPSCs from cultured cortical neurons infected with lentiviruses expressing Syt 1^{WT} or Syt 1^{DA} , without or with lentiviruses expressing Cpx1/2 shRNAs (Cpx1/2 DKD). Sample traces

in synaptic release. Besides LLQQ, the quintuple mutant (Syt1^{Quintuple}), which disrupts the Syt1–SNARE primary interface, also failed to rescue synaptic release (Fig. 4a and Extended Data Fig. 6a, b), as previously reported²¹. Taken together, our results indicate that Syt1-mediated $Ca²⁺$ triggering of evoked synchronous release and inhibition of spontaneous release commonly require both the primary and tripartite interfaces.

Role of Ca2⁺ binding for interface function

To further test the physiological significance of the primary and tripartite interfaces, we recorded synaptic responses in cultured WT cortical neurons, with expression of either exogenous WT or D309A/D363A/D365A-mutant (Syt1^{DA}) Syt1. Syt1^{DA} abolishes Ca²⁺dependent liposome binding to the Syt1 C2B domain³⁵, and expression of Syt1^{DA} in WT neurons blocks both endogenous Syt1 and Syt7 function, thus suppressing evoked neurotransmitter release³⁰ (Fig. 4b, c) and prompting us to examine whether Syt1^{DA} is dominant-negative for release by locking the SNARE–Cpx–Syt1 tripartite complex into place. We tested the effect of the additional TGQQ, LLQQ, and quintuple mutations on the dominant-negative activity of Syt1^{DA} (Fig. 2). While Syt1^{WT} in cultured WT neurons induced no phenotype, Syt1^{DA} expression, as expected, reduced the amplitudes of both evoked IPSCs (Fig. 4b) and evoked excitatory postsynaptic currents (EPSCs; Fig. 4c), and increased the frequencies of both mIPSCs (Extended Data Fig. 6d) and miniature EPSCs (mEPSCs; Extended Data Fig. 6e).

Remarkably, the dominant-negative activity of Syt1 $\rm ^{DA}$ was eliminated by the LLQQ mutant but not by the TGQQ mutant (Fig. 4b, c and Extended Data Fig. 6c–e), indicating the importance of the tripartite interface for the Syt1DA dominant-negative phenotypes. Conversely, the Syt1^{Quintuple} mutation of the primary interface had no effect on the Syt 1^{DA} phenotype (Fig. 4b, c and Extended Data Fig. 6c–e), indicating that the Syt1–SNARE primary interface is not involved in producing the dominant-negative effect of $Svt1^{DA}$.

Inhibition of spontaneous release by Syt1 depended on both the tripartite and primary interfaces (Extended Data Fig. 6d, e): that is, elimination of either interface resulted in an increase in mini frequency. The increased mini-release in Syt1-deficient neurons is mediated by another Ca^{2+} sensor with a lower Ca^{2+} cooperativity than typically observed with synaptotagmins²⁶. Consistent with this observation, we found that the increased mini-release induced by dominantnegative Syt1^{DA} expression and measured as mIPSCs was blocked by the LLQQ mutations and by the intracellular Ca^{2+} chelator BAPTA-AM (Extended Data Fig. 7).

(left) and quantification of peak amplitudes (right) of evoked IPSCs (**a**, **b**, **d**) or of EPSCs (**c**, **e**) elicited by single action potentials. Quantifications of IPSC charge transfer from the same neurons are shown in Extended Data Fig. 6a, c, f. Shown are means \pm s.e.m.; the numbers of neurons/independent cultures are indicated. Statistical significance was assessed by Student's *t*-test (***P*<0.01; ****P*<0.001; NS, no significant difference) with respect to either the Cre (red) or the $Cre + Svt1$ group (black) in **a**, either the control (black) or the Syt1^{DA} group (red) in **b** and **c**, and between Syt1^{WT} and Syt1^{DA} with or without Cpx1/2 DKD in **d** and **e**.

The role of Cpx in the tripartite interface

The LLQQ mutant prevented the control of synaptic release by Syt1 (Fig. 4a–c). However, the LLQQ mutant only probed the interaction between α-helix HA of Syt1 C2B and the SNARE complex. To further probe the role of Cpx in the SNARE–Cpx–Syt1 tripartite interface, we asked whether complexin is required for the dominant-negative lock imposed on release by mutant Syt1^{DA}. We combined exogenous Syt1 expression with Cpx1/2 double knockdown (DKD) in WT neurons as previously described^{25,36}. Again, compared with Syt1^{WT}, exogenous Syt1DA expression without Cpx1/2 DKD severely suppressed the amplitude of IPSCs (Fig. 4d) and EPSCs (Fig. 4e), and increased the frequency of mIPSCs (Extended Data Fig. 6g) and mEPSCs (Extended Data Fig. 6h).

In WT neurons with exogenous Syt1^{WT} expression, the Cpx1/2 DKD partly decreased the evoked IPSC and EPSC amplitudes, and increased mIPSC and mEPSC frequencies (Fig. 4d, e and Extended Data Fig. 6f-h)²⁵. However, in WT neurons with exogenous Syt1^{DA} expression, the Cpx1/2 DKD partly reversed the massive dominantnegative effect of Syt1DA. As a result, synaptic responses in Cpx1/2 DKD neurons were identical in neurons with Syt1^{WT} and Syt1^{DA} expression. Considering that the effect of Cpx1/2 DKD is milder than the effect of expression of Syt1^{DA}, this result cannot be explained by saturation due to overexpression. Rather, the dominant-negative effect of the Syt1^{DA} mutant requires Cpx, consistent with the tripartite interface observed in our crystal structures. The Cpx1/2 DKD is expected to greatly reduce SNARE–Cpx–Syt1 tripartite complexes, and, in turn, to reduce the effect of the dominant-negative Syt 1^{DA} mutant. Interestingly, the phenotypes of expression of Syt1^{WT} or Syt^{DA} with Cpx1/2 DKD are not as severe as the Cpx1/2 DKD alone²⁵, suggesting that both exogenously expressed Syt $1^{W\hat{T}}$ or Syt^{DA} in WT neurons can partly compensate for the Cpx deletion.

The readily releasable pool requires both interfaces

We measured the presynaptic readily releasable pool of synaptic vesicles, thought to correspond to vesicles primed in a pre-fusion state, in cultured cortical neurons by monitoring IPSCs induced by hypertonic sucrose, which stimulates Ca^{2+} -independent exocytosis of all primed synaptic vesicles³⁰. Consistent with earlier studies³⁰, Syt7 KO neurons maintained normal sucrose-induced release, while double removal of Syt1/7 induced an ∼60% decrease (Fig. 5a). This decreased readily releasable pool could be fully rescued by expression of either Syt1^{WT} or Syt1^{DA} or Syt1^{DA&TGQQ}, but neither by Syt1^{DA&LLQQ} nor by Syt1^{DA&Quintuple} (Fig. 5a). Thus, both the primary and tripartite interfaces are, surprisingly, required for supporting the

Figure 5 | **Unlocking and triggering the primed Syt1–SNARE–Cpx–Syt1 complex. a**, Recordings of IPSCs evoked by a 30-s application of 0.5 M hypertonic sucrose to induce exocytosis of the readily releasable pool (RRP) from cultured cortical neurons with Syt1 conditional KO and Syt7 constitutive KO. Cultures were infected with lentiviruses expressing ∆Cre/Cre recombinase and WT Syt1 or Syt1 mutants. Sample traces (left) and summary graph of the IPSC charge transfers from the readily releasable pool (right). Shown are means \pm s.e.m.; the numbers of neurons/ independent cultures are indicated. Statistical significance was assessed by Student's *t*-test (**P*<0.05; ***P*<0.01; ****P*<0.001) with respect to either the Cre (marked in red) or the Cre+Syt1 group (black). **b**, **c**, Orthogonal views (cartoon representation, upper; schema, lower) of the Syt1–SNARE– Cpx–Syt1 crystal structure with the membrane interacting elements of the primary Syt1/SNARE subcomplex located in a plane perpendicular to the page. **d**, Model of a primed Syt1–SNARE–Cpx–Syt1 ('Locked') complex situated between the synaptic vesicle and plasma membranes. **e**, Upon unlocking and Ca^{2+} triggering the SNARE complex fully zippers, and fusion occurs. There are likely to be intermediate states between **d** and **e** that may involve conformational changes of Cpx^{41} or partial dislodging⁴². For clarity, we omit the transmembrane domains of the Syt1 molecules. Two or more such complexes are probably involved (see, for example, Extended Data Fig. 9).

readily releasable pool, suggesting that both are required for fusion competence.

Discussion

Two Syt1 molecules simultaneously interact with two binding interfaces on opposite sides of the SNARE complex: the SNARE–Cpx–Syt1 tripartite interface discovered here and the previously described primary interface (Fig. 1). Both binding interfaces are essential for Ca^{2+} -triggered neurotransmitter release (Figs 3 and 4): when the tripartite interface is disrupted while the primary interface is intact, or vice versa, little evoked release occurs (Fig. 4a), suggesting that both the primary and tripartite interfaces are required for Ca^{2+} -triggered synaptic vesicle fusion. The functional role of the two interfaces, however, is different.

The Syt1–SNARE primary interface is specific for fast Ca^{2+} sensors $(Svt1, Svt2, Svt9)^{9,21}$. In contrast, the newly discovered tripartite interface may be a more general interface involving other synaptotagmins, given the sequence conservation of the T3 and HA helices (Fig. 1e) among all synaptotagmin C2B domains (Extended Data Fig. 2d). Different types of synaptotagmin-regulated exocytosis are mediated by similar Cpx-dependent fusion mechanisms $9,37-39$, so it is conceivable that these other synaptotagmins could participate in a tripartite interface.

The tripartite interface involves the central α -helix, but not the accessory helix of Cpx or any other part of Cpx (Fig. 1b and Extended Data Fig. 8a–c). Previous *in vitro* fusion experiments showed that the accessory domain can be entirely eliminated while maintaining the activating function of Cpx: that is, the N-terminal and central domains of Cpx can be reconstituted as separate fragments⁴⁰. Our Syt1-SNARE-Cpx–Syt1 structures now explain the functional requirement of the central α -helix of Cpx since it is an integral part of the tripartite interface, while the Cpx N-terminal domain can independently interact with the splayed-open *trans*-SNARE complex⁴¹.

We propose that the structure of the Syt1–SNARE–Cpx–Syt1 complex is the pre-fusion state of the complex (Fig. 5b, c). The tripartite interface at the same time activates and 'locks' the complex, keeping the energized *trans*-SNARE complex half zippered and the membranes apart, thereby preventing membrane fusion (Fig. 5d). Consistent with this model of the primed state, constitutive insertion of Cpx into the SNARE complex locks release⁴², and inclusion of Cpx increases the separation between membranes in a reconstituted system as observed by electron cryo-tomography⁴³.

The Ca^{2+} binding loops of the C2 domains in the crystal structures of the primed complex are not involved in the primary and tripartite interfaces. Upon Ca^{2+} binding to the C2 domains of the primed complex, we propose that the tripartite interface is unlocked, allowing Ca^{2+} -triggered fusion to proceed. Upon Ca^{2+} binding, the Syt1 molecule that is involved in the tripartite interface probably triggers a cascade of molecular rearrangements, including dissolution of the tripartite interface (Fig. 5e) with possible liberation of Cpx^{42} . In the crystal structure, the Syt1 C2A domain of the tripartite complex is close to the Cpx core helix and the C terminus of the synaptobrevin-2 SNARE motif (Fig. 5d), and is flexibly linked to the C2B domain (Extended Data Fig. 8d). Thus, the C2A domain might cooperate with the C2B domain in either process, consistent with importance of the Ca^{2+} binding sites of both the C2A and C2B domains in neurotransmitter release²⁶. There are probably two or more synaptic complexes involved in Ca^{2+} -triggered fusion that could potentially interact with each other. For example, one Syt1 C2B domain could bridge two SNARE complexes via the primary and tripartite interfaces (Extended Data Fig. 9). Moreover, the presence of the membranes will probably affect the conformation of the pre-fusion complex. Upon unlocking the primed complex, the *trans*-SNARE complex will fully zipper up and trigger fusion, possibly in conjunction with Ca^{2+} -dependent membranebending action of all C2A and C2B domains—including those of the primary interface—as previously proposed²¹ (Fig. 5e).

The Syt1–SNARE–Cpx–Syt1 structure explains why the Cpx DKD or double knockout (DKO), and the Syt1 KO, impair Ca^{2+} -evoked release, since the primed state of the system cannot form. Similarly, if the Syt1^{DA} mutant is bound to a particular complex, the complex is primed, but the Syt 1^{DA} mutant is unable to unlock the complex. When some of the tripartite interfaces that participate in a docked synaptic vesicle remain locked, triggered fusion cannot occur, which explains the dominant-negative phenotype of the Syt1^{DA} mutant. Taken together, we thus propose an atomic model that accounts for vesicle priming and the cooperation between Cpx, Syt1, and SNAREs in synchronizing and activating evoked release on the sub-millisecond timescale.

Online Content Methods, along with any additional Extended Data display items and Source Data, are available in the online version of the paper; references unique to these sections appear only in the online paper.

Received 14 February; accepted 14 July 2017. Published online 16 August 2017.

- 1. Südhof, T. C. Neurotransmitter release: the last millisecond in the life of a synaptic vesicle. *Neuron* **80,** 675–690 (2013).
- Rothman, J. E. The principle of membrane fusion in the cell (Nobel lecture). *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **53,** 12676–12694 (2014).
- 3. Sutton, R. B., Fasshauer, D., Jahn, R. & Brunger, A. T. Crystal structure of a SNARE complex involved in synaptic exocytosis at 2.4 Å resolution. *Nature* **395,** 347–353 (1998).
- 4. Weber, T. *et al.* SNAREpins: minimal machinery for membrane fusion. *Cell* **92,** 759–772 (1998).
- 5. Geppert, M. et al. Synaptotagmin I: a major Ca²⁺ sensor for transmitter release at a central synapse. *Cell* **79,** 717–727 (1994).
- 6. Fernández-Chacón, R. *et al.* Synaptotagmin I functions as a calcium regulator of release probability. *Nature* **410,** 41–49 (2001).
- 7. Perin, M. S., Fried, V. A., Mignery, G. A., Jahn, R. & Südhof, T. C. Phospholipid binding by a synaptic vesicle protein homologous to the regulatory region of protein kinase C. *Nature* **345,** 260–263 (1990).
- 8. Perin, M. S. *et al.* Structural and functional conservation of synaptotagmin (p65) in *Drosophila* and humans. *J. Biol. Chem.* **266,** 615–622 (1991).
- 9. Xu, J., Mashimo, T. & Südhof, T. C. Synaptotagmin-1, -2, and -9: Ca^{2+} sensors for fast release that specify distinct presynaptic properties in subsets of neurons. *Neuron* **54,** 567–581 (2007).
- 10. Wen, H. *et al.* Distinct roles for two synaptotagmin isoforms in synchronous and asynchronous transmitter release at zebrafish neuromuscular junction. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **107,** 13906–13911 (2010).
- 11. Bacaj, T. *et al.* Synaptotagmin-1 and synaptotagmin-7 trigger synchronous and asynchronous phases of neurotransmitter release. *Neuron* **80,** 947–959 (2013).
- 12. Brose, N., Petrenko, A. G., Südhof, T. C. & Jahn, R. Synaptotagmin: a calcium sensor on the synaptic vesicle surface. *Science* **256,** 1021–1025 (1992).
- Davletov, B. A. & Südhof, T. C. A single C2 domain from synaptotagmin I is sufficient for high affinity Ca²⁺/phospholipid binding. *J. Biol. Chem.* 268, 26386–26390 (1993).
- 14. Chapman, E. R. & Davis, A. F. Direct interaction of a Ca^{2+} binding loop of synaptotagmin with lipid bilayers. *J. Biol. Chem.* **273,** 13995–14001 (1998).
- 15. Fernandez, I. *et al.* Three-dimensional structure of the synaptotagmin 1 C2B-domain: synaptotagmin 1 as a phospholipid binding machine. *Neuron* **32,** 1057–1069 (2001).
- 16. Kuo, W., Herrick, D. Z., Ellena, J. F. & Cafiso, D. S. The calcium-dependent and calcium-independent membrane binding of synaptotagmin 1: two modes of C2B binding. *J. Mol. Biol.* **387,** 284–294 (2009).
- 17. Choi, U. B. *et al.* Single-molecule FRET-derived model of the synaptotagmin 1–SNARE fusion complex. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **17,** 318–324 (2010).
- 18. Kochubey, O. & Schneggenburger, R. Synaptotagmin increases the dynamic range of synapses by driving Ca²⁺-evoked release and by clamping a near-linear remaining Ca²⁺ sensor. *Neuron* **69**, 736–748 (2011).
- 19. Vrljic, M. et al. Post-translational modifications and lipid binding profile of insect cell-expressed full-length mammalian synaptotagmin 1. *Biochemistry* **50,** 9998–10012 (2011).
- 20. Brewer, K. D. *et al.* Dynamic binding mode of a Synaptotagmin-1–SNARE complex in solution. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **22,** 555–564 (2015).
- 21. Zhou, Q. *et al.* Architecture of the synaptotagmin–SNARE machinery for neuronal exocytosis. *Nature* **525,** 62–67 (2015).
- 22. Pérez-Lara, Á. et al. PtdInsP₂ and PtdSer cooperate to trap synaptotagmin-1 to the plasma membrane in the presence of calcium. *eLife* **5,** 115–118 (2016).
- 23. Wang, S., Li, Y. & Ma, C. Synaptotagmin-1 C2B domain interacts simultaneously with SNAREs and membranes to promote membrane fusion. *eLife* **5,** 209–217 (2016).
- 24. Mohrmann, R., Dhara, M. & Bruns, D. Complexins: small but capable. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* **72,** 4221–4235 (2015).
- 25. Maximov, A., Tang, J., Yang, X., Pang, Z. P. & Südhof, T. C. Complexin controls the force transfer from SNARE complexes to membranes in fusion. *Science* **323,** 516–521 (2009).
- 26. Xu, J., Pang, Z. P., Shin, O.-H. & Südhof, T. C. Synaptotagmin-1 functions as a Ca2⁺ sensor for spontaneous release. *Nat. Neurosci.* **12,** 759–766 (2009).
- 27. Jorquera, R. A., Huntwork-Rodriguez, S., Akbergenova, Y., Cho, R. W. & Littleton, J. T. Complexin controls spontaneous and evoked neurotransmitter release by regulating the timing and properties of synaptotagmin activity. *J. Neurosci.* **32,** 18234–18245 (2012).
- 28. Dhara, M. *et al.* Complexin synchronizes primed vesicle exocytosis and regulates fusion pore dynamics. *J. Cell Biol.* **204,** 1123–1140 (2014).
- 29. Chen, X. *et al.* Three-dimensional structure of the complexin/SNARE complex. *Neuron* **33,** 397–409 (2002).
- 30. Wu, D. *et al.* Postsynaptic synaptotagmins mediate AMPA receptor exocytosis during LTP. *Nature* **544,** 316–321 (2017).
- 31. Lee, J., Guan, Z., Akbergenova, Y. & Littleton, J. T. Genetic analysis of synaptotagmin C2 domain specificity in regulating spontaneous and evoked neurotransmitter release. *J. Neurosci.* **33,** 187–200 (2013).
- 32. Ernst, J. A. & Brunger, A. T. High resolution structure, stability, and synaptotagmin binding of a truncated neuronal SNARE complex. *J. Biol. Chem.* **278,** 8630–8636 (2003).
- 33. Bracher, A., Kadlec, J., Betz, H. & Weissenhorn, W. X-ray structure of a neuronal complexin-SNARE complex from squid. *J. Biol. Chem.* **277,** 26517–26523 (2002).
- 34. Kümmel, D. *et al.* Complexin cross-links prefusion SNAREs into a zigzag array. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **18,** 927–933 (2011).
- 35. Shin, O.-H., Xu, J., Rizo, J. & Südhof, T. C. Differential but convergent functions of Ca2⁺ binding to synaptotagmin-1 C2 domains mediate neurotransmitter release. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **106,** 16469–16474 (2009).
- 36. Yang, X., Kaeser-Woo, Y. J., Pang, Z. P., Xu, W. & Südhof, T. C. Complexin clamps asynchronous release by blocking a secondary Ca^{2+} sensor via its accessory α helix. *Neuron* **68,** 907–920 (2010).
- 37. Schonn, J.-S., Maximov, A., Lao, Y., Südhof, T. C. & Sørensen, J. B.
Synaptotagmin-1 and -7 are functionally overlapping Ca²⁺ sensors for exocytosis in adrenal chromaffin cells. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 105, 3998–4003 (2008).
- 38. Gustavsson, N. & Han, W. Calcium-sensing beyond neurotransmitters: functions of synaptotagmins in neuroendocrine and endocrine secretion. *Biosci. Rep.* **29,** 245–259 (2009).
- 39. Cao, P., Yang, X. & Südhof, T. C. Complexin activates exocytosis of distinct secretory vesicles controlled by different synaptotagmins. *J. Neurosci.* 33, 1714–1727 (2013).
- 40. Lai, Y. *et al.* N-terminal domain of complexin independently activates calcium-triggered fusion. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **113,** E4698–E4707 (2016)
- 41. Choi, U. B., Zhao, M., Zhang, Y., Lai, Y. & Brunger, A. T. Complexin induces a conformational change at the membrane-proximal C-terminal end of the SNARE complex. *eLife* **5,** e16886 (2016).
- 42. Tang, J. *et al.* A complexin/synaptotagmin 1 switch controls fast synaptic vesicle exocytosis. *Cell* **126,** 1175–1187 (2006).
- 43. Gipson, P. *et al.* Morphologies of synaptic protein membrane fusion interfaces. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1708492114 (2017).

Supplementary Information is available in the online version of the paper.

Acknowledgements We thank P. Gipson, J. Leitz, A. Lyubimov, and W. I. Weis for discussions, S. Muennich and S. Pokutta for assistance with ITC, and the National Institutes of Health (NIH) for support (R37 MH63105 to A.T.B.; P50 MH086403 to T.C.S.). Crystal diffraction screening and data collection were performed at synchrotron facilities provided by the Advanced Photon Source at Argonne National Laboratory, the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource, California, and the Advanced Light Source, Berkeley, California, funded by Department of Energy (DOE) under contracts DE-AC02-06CH11357 (Advanced Photon Source), DE-AC02-76SF00515 (Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource), and DE-AC02-05CH11231 (ALS). We thank the staff at these beamlines for help with diffraction data collection. The Northeastern Collaborative Access Team (NECAT) beamlines are funded by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (NIGMS) from the NIH (P41 GM103403). The Pilatus 6M detector at the 24-ID-C beam line is funded by an NIH-ORIP HEI grant (S10 RR029205). The Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource Structural Molecular Biology Program including beam line BL12-2 is supported by the DOE Office of Biological and Environmental Research, and by the NIH NIGMS (P41 GM103393). The contents of this publication are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of NIGMS or NIH.

Author Contributions Q.Z., P.Z., T.C.S., and A.T.B. designed experiments. Q.Z. performed biochemical and structural studies. P.Z. and Q.Z. performed electrophysiological studies. A.L.W. assisted with protein purification. D.W. generated cKO mice. M.Z. helped with crystallographic data collection. Q.Z, P.Z., T.C.S., and A.T.B. wrote the manuscript.

Author Information Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints. The authors declare no competing financial interests. Readers are welcome to comment on the online version of the paper. Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to A.T.B. (brunger@stanford.edu).

Reviewer Information *Nature* thanks R. Heidelberger, C. Montecucco and the other anonymous reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

METHODS

No statistical methods were used to predetermine sample size. No formal randomization process was used for all experiments. Investigators in the experiments described in Figs 4 and 5a and Extended Data Figs 6 and 8 were blinded to allocation and outcome assessments; all other experiments were not randomized and investigators were not blinded. All statistical tests are two-sided. All animal experiments were evaluated and approved by the Stanford University Administrative Panel on Laboratory Animal Care.

Expression and purification of recombinant proteins. For the *trans*-SNARE complex mimetic used in the crystallizations, the decimal-histidine-tagged and C-terminally truncated rat synaptobrevin-2 fragment (amino-acid range 28–66), the rat syntaxin-1A fragment (amino-acid range 191–256), the rat SNAP-25_N fragment (amino-acid range 7–83), and the rat SNAP-25_C fragment (amino-acid range 191–256) were cloned into the Duet expression system (Novagen) following previous work with the neuronal SNARE complex⁴⁴ (Fig. 1a). These four protein constructs were co-expressed in *Escherichia coli*, leading to complex formation in the host (referred to as the *trans*-SNARE complex mimetic). Specifically, *E. coli* BL21(DE3) were grown overnight at 37°C using auto-inducing LB medium⁴⁵. After harvesting the cells by centrifugation, the pellet was re-suspended in lysis buffer (50mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 300mM NaCl, 20mM imidazole, 0.5mM TCEP) and subjected to sonication and centrifugation. The cleared lysate was bound to Ni-NTA agarose beads (Qiagen) equilibrated in the lysis buffer. Beads were harvested by centrifugation and poured into a column, washed with the lysis buffer, urea buffer (50mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 300mM NaCl, 60mM imidazole, 0.5mM TCEP, 7.5M urea), and wash buffer (50mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 300mM NaCl, 60mM imidazole, 0.5mM TCEP). The *trans*-SNARE complex mimetic was eluted with the lysis buffer supplemented with additional 330mM imidazole. The fresh eluent of the Ni-NTA affinity purified *trans*-SNARE complex mimetic was supplemented with tobacco etch virus protease and dialysed against buffer A1 (50mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 50mM NaCl, 0.5mM TCEP, 1mM EDTA) overnight at 4 °C. After removal of uncleaved sample, the His-tag-free complex was subjected to anion exchange chromatography (buffer A1: 50mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 50mM NaCl, 0.5mM TCEP, 1mM EDTA; buffer B1: 50mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 500mM NaCl, 0.5mM TCEP, 1mM EDTA) using a linear gradient of NaCl starting at 50mM and ending at 500mM. The peak was eluted at ∼250mM NaCl. The peak fractions were pooled and concentrated to a final concentration of ∼ 1 mM and stored at −80°C for crystallization.

For the SNARE complex used for circular dichroism and ITC experiments, the decimal-histidine-tagged rat synaptobrevin-2 fragment (amino-acid range 28–89), the rat syntaxin-1A fragment (amino-acid range 191–256), the rat SNAP-25_N fragment (amino-acid range 7–83), and the rat SNAP-25_C fragment (aminoacid range 191–256), as well as the quintuple mutation of the SNARE complex (SNAP-25 K40A, D51A, E52A, E55A, D166A, referred to as SNARE^Q), were cloned, expressed, and purified similarly to the *trans*-SNARE complex mimetic.

The rat complexin-1 fragment (amino-acid range 1–83) was cloned into the pGEX-6P-1 vector and expressed in *E. coli* BL21(DE3) cells at 37 °C overnight using auto-inducing LB medium45. After harvesting the cells by centrifugation, the pellet was re-suspended in lysis buffer (50mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 300 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM TCEP, 1 mM EDTA) and subjected to sonication and centrifugation. The cleared lysate was bound to glutathione–sepharose beads (GE Healthcare) equilibrated in the lysis buffer. The resin was harvested by centrifugation and poured into a column, extensively washed with the lysis buffer, and subsequently washed with the lysis buffer and wash buffer (50mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 1M NaCl, 0.5mM TCEP, 1mM EDTA). The Cpx fragment was cleaved from the GST moiety by PreScission protease in 10ml lysis buffer at 4 °C overnight. The protein was eluted from the glutathione–sepharose resin with lysis buffer, then concentrated and loaded onto a Superdex 75 10/300 GL column (GE Healthcare) that was pre-equilibrated with SEC buffer (25mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 300mM NaCl, 0.5mM TCEP, 1mM EDTA). The peak fractions were pooled, concentrated, and stored at −80°C.

The rat complexin-1 central α -helix fragment (amino-acid range 48–73) was cloned and expressed similarly to the longer Cpx(1–83) fragment. However, it was difficult to concentrate Cpx central α-helix fragment to high concentration. Therefore, the cleaved protein was eluted from the resin with buffer A2 (50mM MES, pH 6.2, 50mM NaCl, 0.5mM TCEP, 1mM EDTA), then purified by cation exchange chromatography on a MonoS column (GE Healthcare) in buffer A2 using a linear gradient from 50mM to 500mM NaCl. The peak fractions were pooled and stored at −80°C.

The rat Syt1 C2AB fragment (amino-acid range 140–421), C2A domain (amino-acid range 140–263), C2B domain (amino-acid range 271–421), and mutant Syt1 C2B domains were cloned into the pGEX-6P-1 vector and expressed as GST-tagged fusion proteins in *E. coli* BL21(DE3) cells at 37 °C for 3–4h first, then

decreased to 25°C overnight using auto-inducing LB medium45. After harvesting the cells by centrifugation, the sample was re-suspended in lysis buffer (50mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 300mM NaCl, 0.5mM TCEP, 1mM EDTA) and subjected to sonication and centrifugation. The supernatant was incubated to glutathione–sepharose beads equilibrated in the lysis buffer. The resin was extensively washed with the lysis buffer, and subsequently washed with the lysis buffer, CaCl₂ buffer (50 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 1 M NaCl, 0.5 mM TCEP, 50 mM CaCl₂), and wash buffer (50 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 1M NaCl, 0.5mM TCEP, 1mM EDTA). The Syt1 fragments were cleaved from the GST moiety by PreScission protease in 10 ml lysis buffer at 4°C overnight. The protein was eluted from the resin with lysis buffer. For the Syt1 C2A domain, Syt1 C2BKA-Q mutant, Syt1 C2BKA-Q-TGQQ mutant, and Syt1 $C2B^{KA\text{-}Q\text{-}LLQQ}$ mutant, the fresh eluent was concentrated and loaded onto a Superdex 75 10/300 GL column pre-equilibrated with SEC buffer (25mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 300 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM TCEP, 1 mM EDTA). The peak fractions were pooled and concentrated. For other proteins, the fresh eluent was dialysed in buffer A2 at 4°C for 3–4h and purified by cation exchange chromatography on a MonoS column in buffer A2 using a linear gradient from 50 to 500mM NaCl. The peak fractions were pooled and stored at −80°C.

Crystallization, data collection, and structure solution. Before setting up crystal trays, the *trans*-SNARE complex mimetic, Cpx(1–83), and Syt1 C2AB fragment (or both Syt1 C2A and C2B domain fragments) were mixed to obtain a molar ratio of 1:1.2:1.5 and dialysed in crystallization final buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 50 mM MgCl₂, 0.5 mM TCEP) at 4°C overnight. Crystals were grown by the hanging-drop vapour diffusion method at 20 °C by mixing 2 µl protein solution with an equal volume of reservoir solution. Note that the concentration of the *trans*-SNARE complex mimetic in protein solution was 100–200 µM. For the Syt1–SNARE–Cpx–Syt1 C2AB crystals, the reservoir contained 100 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 15–20% PEG3350, 200 mM ammonium formate. For the Syt1–SNARE–Cpx–Syt1 C2B crystals (co-crystallized with both Syt1 C2A and C2B domain fragments), the reservoir contained 100mM HEPES, pH 7.0, 15–17% PEG3350, 240mM sodium malonate. Both crystals were flashfrozen in a cryo-protecting solution containing the same constituents as the crystallization condition supplemented with 20% (v/v) glycerol.

Both diffraction data sets (Extended Data Table 1) were collected at beamline 24ID-C of the Advanced Photon Source at Argonne National Laboratory (Argonne, Illinois, USA). Diffraction data of the best crystals of both the Syt1–SNARE–Cpx–Syt1 C2AB complex and the Syt1–SNARE–Cpx–Syt1 C2B complex were indexed and integrated using the XDS software⁴⁶, and scaled and merged using the SCALA program in the CCP4 package⁴⁷

The phases for both crystal forms were determined by molecular replacement with Phaser⁴⁸ using the SNARE–Cpx complex (PDB accession number 1KIL), the rat Syt1 C2A domain (PDB accession number 3F04), and the rat Syt1 C2B domain (PDB accession number 1UOW) as search models. The asymmetric unit consists of one SNARE–Cpx complex and one C2AB fragment for the Syt1–SNARE–Cpx–Syt1 C2AB crystal structure, and of one SNARE–Cpx complex and one C2B domain for the Syt1–SNARE–Cpx–Syt1 C2B crystal structure. We did not observe electron density for the Syt1 C2A domain in the Syt1–SNARE–Cpx–Syt1 C2B crystal structure even though it was included in the crystallization condition as a separate fragment. Moreover, the electron density of the C2A domain in the Syt1–SNARE–Cpx–Syt1 C2AB crystal structure is relatively weak, resulting in high *B*-factors (Extended Data Fig. 1c) and suggesting conformational variability of the C2A domain. The N terminus of Cpx and the C terminus of the SNARE complex also exhibit relatively high *B*-factors in both structures. The structures were iteratively rebuilt and refined using the programs Coot⁴⁹ and phenix.refine⁵⁰ (Extended Data Table 1). Ramachandran analysis with MolProbity⁵¹ indicated that 96% (Syt1–SNARE–Cpx–Syt1 C2AB crystal structure) and 98% (Syt1–SNARE– Cpx–Syt1 C2B crystal structure) of the residues are in the favoured regions and none are in disallowed regions.

Validation and structure analysis. MolProbity⁵¹ was used to evaluate the geometry and quality of the models (Extended Data Table 1). All structure figures were prepared with PyMol (http://www.pymol.org). Interface areas were calculated by PISA⁵²; note that the commonly used 'buried surface area' is twice the 'interface area'.

Circular dichroism spectroscopy. Circular dichroism measurements were conducted with circular dichroism spectrometer Model 202-01 (Aviv Biomedical) equipped with a temperature controller. Data were collected with 10μ M samples of WT and mutant Syt1 C2B proteins, the SNARE complex, as well as the SNAREQ complex mutant in 10mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 100mM NaCl buffer (with 5 mM EGTA or 5 mM CaCl₂) over a wavelength range of 200-260 nm, with 1 nm increments, in a 1mm path length cell at 25°C. Temperature denaturation experiments were performed at a wavelength of 216nm (for C2B and its mutants) or 220nm (for the SNARE complexes) by increasing the temperature from 25 to

100 °C in 3 °C temperature increments, a 2min temperature equilibration time, and a 3s averaging time. The fraction of unfolded protein at each temperature was calculated by using the formula (*I*obs−*I*f)/(*I*u−*I*f), where *I*obs is the observed mean residue ellipticity, and I_u and I_f are the mean residue ellipticities of the unfolded and folded states, respectively. I_{u} and I_{f} were estimated by extrapolation of the linear regions of the extremes of the denaturation curves.

ITC. We tested different buffer compositions and protein concentrations for ITC experiments on an ITC200 microcalorimeter (Microcal, GE Healthcare). The best results were obtained when the NaCl concentration was 100mM and the protein concentration in the sample cell was higher than $50 \mu M$. These conditions were used for all following ITC experiments. We used the Cpx central α -helix Cpx(48–73) in the ITC experiments since it corresponded to the structured part of Cpx in our crystal structures.

Final ITC measurements (shown in Fig. 3 and Extended Data Fig. 4) were performed on a VP-ITC calorimeter (Microcal, GE Healthcare) at 25 °C. All protein samples were dialysed against a buffer solution containing 10mM HEPES (pH 7.4), 100mM NaCl, and 0.5mM TCEP three times for 3h, 5h, and overnight. The final buffer was used as washing buffer for the ITC instrument. Samples were degassed for 10 min before the experiment. The SNARE or SNARE^Q complex or SNARE/Cpx(48–73) or SNARE^Q/Cpx(48–73) subcomplex solutions (10–50 μ M) were placed in the sample cell. Solutions of Syt1 C2B or its mutants or Cpx(48–73) (120–800 μ M) were loaded into the syringe. The titration processes were performed by injecting a series of multiple injections of varying volume aliquots of Syt1 C2B (20µl) or its mutants (20µl) or Cpx(48–73) (5µl into the SNARE complex, 3µl into the $SNARE^Q$ complex) into the cell. For each experiment, a control run in which the same concentration of Syt1 C2B protein solution was injected into buffer alone was used for baseline subtraction. In addition, control runs with buffer alone titrated into 50μ M SNARE^Q/Cpx(48–73) subcomplex solution, and C2BKA-Q, C2BKA-Q-TGQQ, C2BKA-Q-LLQQ titrated into 50µM Cpx(48–73) solution were performed. All these controls confirmed that there was no heat of injection by the buffer alone and that the solvents were well matched. Two additional control experiments for SNARE versus Cpx(48-73) and SNARE^Q versus Cpx(48-73) were performed (Extended Data Fig. 4m, n). The measured sub-micromolar binding affinities implied that the SNARE^Q-Cpx(48-73) complex was mostly formed in the experiments that tested the tripartite interface, since in these experiments we used a cell concentration of 50 μ M. Note that the dissociation constant K_d for C2B^{KA} titrated into the $\text{SNARE}^\text{Q}-\text{Cpx}(48-73)$ complex (Extended Data Fig. 4h) is similar to that for C2B^{KA-Q} titrated into the SNARE^Q-Cpx(48-73) complex (Extended Data Fig. 4j): that is, the tripartite interface is independent of the presence of the quintuple mutations in the C2B domain. Data were analysed with the AFFINImeter and Origin ITC software supplied by the instrument's manufacturer.

We note that both endothermic and exothermic interactions are often observed for protein–protein interfaces and protein–ligand interactions $\boldsymbol{^{53-55}}$

Neuronal cultures. Cortical neurons were cultured from newborn male and female mice for all electrophysiology experiments as previously described⁵⁶. Briefly, mouse cortices were dissected from postnatal day 1 (P1) of Syt1 cKO/ Syt7 KO^{21,30} or WT CD-1 mice, dissociated by papain digestion (10U ml−¹) for 20min at 37°C, plated on Matrigel-coated circular glass coverslips (12mm diameter), and cultured in MEM (Gibco) supplemented with 2mM glutamine (Gibco), 0.4% w/v glucose (Sigma), 2% B-27 (Gemini), and 5% fetal bovine serum (Atlanta Biological). At 1 day *in vitro* the culture media were changed to Neurobasal-A (Gibco) supplemented with 2mM glutamine, 2% B-27, and 5% serum, with 2µM Ara-C (Sigma) added at 3 days *in vitro*. Neurons were infected with lentiviruses at 3–4 days *in vitro*, and analysed at 13–16 days *in vitro*.

Plasmid construction. We used the same lentiviral construct as previously described21 carrying a synapsin promoter, an optional rat *Syt1* cDNA, internal ribosome entry site, and a green fluorescent protein (GFP)–Cre recombinase fusion sequence. The control plasmid contained no cDNA, with plasmids carrying the following cDNAs: WT, TGQQ (T383Q/G384Q), LLQQ (L387Q/L394Q), quintuple (R281A/E295A/Y338W/R398A/R399A), DA (D309A/D363A/D365A), DA and TGQQ, DA and LLQQ, DA and quintuple.

The complexin1/2 DKD construct was described previously²⁵.

Lentiviruses production. Lentiviral expression vectors and three helper plasmids (pRSV-REV, pMDLg/pRRE, and pVSVG) were co-transfected into HEK293T cells (American Type Culture Collection, Virginia), at 6, 2, 2, and 2μ g of DNA per 25 cm² culture area, respectively⁵⁷, by using calcium phosphate. Cell-culture

supernatants containing the viruses were collected 48 h after transfection and directly used for infection of neurons. All steps were performed under level II biosafety conditions.

Electrophysiological recordings. Recordings were performed in whole-cell patch-clamp mode using concentric extracellular stimulation electrodes⁵⁸. Evoked synaptic responses were triggered by a bipolar electrode placed 100–150µm from the soma of neurons recorded. Patch pipettes were pulled from borosilicate glass capillary tubes (Warner Instruments) using a PC-10 pipette puller (Narishige). The resistance of pipettes filled with intracellular solution varied between 2 and 3 MΩ. After formation of the whole-cell configuration and equilibration of the intracellular pipette solution, the series resistance was adjusted to $8-12 \text{ M}\Omega$. Synaptic currents were monitored with a Multiclamp 700B amplifier (Molecular Devices). The frequency, duration, and magnitude of the extracellular stimulus were controlled with a Model 2100 Isolated Pulse Stimulator (A-M Systems) and synchronized with Clampex 9 data acquisition software (Molecular Devices). The whole-cell pipette solution contained (in mM) 120 CsCl, 5 NaCl, 1 MgCl₂, 10 HEPES, 10 EGTA, 0.3 Na-GTP, 3Mg-ATP, and 5 QX-314 (pH 7.2, adjusted with CsOH). The bath solution contained (in mM) 140 NaCl, 5 KCl, 2 MgCl_2 , 2 CaCl2, 10 HEPES, and 10 glucose (pH 7.4, adjusted with NaOH). IPSCs and EPSCs were pharmacologically isolated by adding the AMPA (α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazole propionic acid) and NMDA (N -methyl-p-aspartate) receptor blockers CNQX (10µM) and AP-5 (50µM), or the GABA_A (γ -aminobutyric acid)receptor blocker picrotoxin (100 μ M) with AP-5 (50 μ M), to the extracellular solution. Spontaneous mIPSCs and mEPSCs were monitored in the presence of tetrodotoxin (1μ M) to block action potentials. Miniature events were analysed in Clampfit 9 (Molecular Devices) using the template matching search and a minimal threshold of 5 pA, and each event was visually inspected for inclusion or rejection by an experimenter blind to the recording condition. Sucrose-evoked release was triggered by a 30-s application of 0.5M sucrose in the presence of AP-5, CNQX, and TTX, puffed by Picospritzer III (Parker).

Data availability. The coordinates of the atomic models and corresponding structure factors have been deposited in the PDB under accession numbers 5W5C and 5W5D. All other relevant data are included with the manuscript as source data or Supplementary Videos. The original and/or analysed data sets generated during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

- 44. Cipriano, D. J. *et al.* Processive ATP-driven substrate disassembly by the *N*-ethylmaleimide-sensitive factor (NSF) molecular machine. *J. Biol. Chem.* **288,** 23436–23445 (2013).
- 45. Studier, F. W. Protein production by auto-induction in high density shaking cultures. *Protein Expr. Purif.* **41,** 207–234 (2005).
- 46. Kabsch, W. Xds. Acta Crystallogr. *D* **66,** 125–132 (2010). 47. Winn, M. D. *et al.* Overview of the CCP4 suite and current developments.
- *Acta Crystallogr. D* **67,** 235–242 (2011). 48. McCoy, A. J. *et al.* Phaser crystallographic software. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **40,** 658–674 (2007).
- 49. Emsley, P. & Cowtan, K. Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics. *Acta Crystallogr. D* **60,** 2126–2132 (2004).
- 50. Adams, P. D. *et al.* PHENIX: Building new software for automated crystallographic structure determination. *Acta Crystallogr. D* **58,** 1948–1954 (2002)
- 51. Chen, V. B. *et al.* MolProbity: all-atom structure validation for macromolecular crystallography. *Acta Crystallogr. D* **66,** 12–21 (2010).
- 52. Krissinel, E. & Henrick, K. Inference of macromolecular assemblies from crystalline state. *J. Mol. Biol.* **372,** 774–797 (2007).
- 53. Choi, H.-J. *et al.* αE-catenin is an autoinhibited molecule that coactivates vinculin. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **109,** 8576–8581 (2012).
- 54. Radhakrishnan, A., Stein, A., Jahn, R. & Fasshauer, D. The Ca^{2+} affinity of synaptotagmin 1 is markedly increased by a specific interaction of its C2B domain with phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate. *J. Biol. Chem.* **284,** 25749–25760 (2009).
- 55. Evans, C. S. *et al.* Functional analysis of the interface between the tandem C2 domains of synaptotagmin-1. *Mol. Biol. Cell* **27,** 979–989 (2016).
- 56. Maximov, A., Pang, Z. P., Tervo, D. G. R. & Südhof, T. C. Monitoring synaptic transmission in primary neuronal cultures using local extracellular stimulation. *J. Neurosci. Methods* **161,** 75–87 (2007).
- 57. Pang, Z. P. & Südhof, T. C. Cell biology of Ca2⁺-triggered exocytosis. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* **22,** 496–505 (2010).
- 58. Zhou, P. *et al.* Syntaxin-1 N-peptide and Habc-domain perform distinct essential functions in synaptic vesicle fusion. *EMBO J.* **32,** 159–171 (2013).

 $\mathbf b$ a Crystal structure of the Syt1-SNARE-Cpx-Syt1 C2AB complex Crystal structure of the Syt1-SNARE-Cpx-Syt1 C2B complex $\mathbf c$ $\mathbf d$ Crystal structure of the Syt1-SNARE-Cpx-Syt1 C2AB complex Crystal structure of the Syt1-SNARE-Cpx-Syt1 C2B complex Syt1 C2E Syt1 C2A Svt1 SNARE Syt1 C2A Syt1 C2B Syt1 C2B B-factor (A^2) B-factor (\hat{A}^2) 25 $\frac{1}{150}$ 180 f e Crystal structure of the SNARE-Syt1 C2AB complex Crystal structure of the Syt1-SNARE-Cpx-Syt1 C2AB complex (Short unit cell crystal form, PDB ID: 5CCH) SNARE-Syt1 Syt1 C2B **Primary Interface** Syt1 C2B Syt1 C2A **SNARE** SNAR Syt1 C2A Syt1 C2A Syt1 C2E **SNARE SNARE** Syt1 C2B **SNARE** Svt1 C2A Syt1 C2B Syt1 C2A Syt1 C2A SNARE Syt1 C2B

Extended Data Figure 1 | **Crystal packing and** *B***-factors.**

a, **b**, Views of the crystal lattice of the Syt1–SNARE–Cpx–Syt1 C2AB (**a**) and Syt1–SNARE–Cpx–Syt1 C2B (**b**) crystal structures. The red rectangles highlight the C-terminal ends of the SNARE complexes. They are unstructured and form no crystal contacts in either crystal form. **c**, **d**, *B*-factor coloured cartoon representations of the Syt1–SNARE–Cpx–Syt1 C2AB (**c**) and Syt1–SNARE–Cpx–Syt1 C2B (**d**) crystal structures (the second Syt1 molecule is related to the first by crystallographic symmetry). Both the primary and tripartite interfaces have low *B*-factors. **e**, **f**, Views of the molecular packing arrangements

around the SNARE (coloured) and Syt1 C2B (orange) components that form that primary interface in the Syt1–SNARE–Cpx–Syt1 C2AB crystal structure (**e**) and the SNARE–Syt1 C2AB crystal structure (**f**, PDB accession number 5CCH). The molecular packing arrangements around the SNARE and Syt1 C2B components that form that primary interface are vastly different in these crystal structures, illustrating that the formation of the primary interface is entirely independent of crystal packing environments. We also note that the protein constructs and crystallization conditions were very different for these structures.

Extended Data Figure 2 | **Additional details of the Syt1–SNARE– Cpx–Syt1 tripartite interface and primary sequence alignment. a**, Close-up view of the contact interface between a pseudo-helix in Syt1 C2B (including the 310 helix T3 situated between β5 and β6, and the loop between α -helices β 7 and HB), syntaxin-1A, and SNAP-25_N. Syt1 Lys354 and SNAP-25 Glu24 as well as Syt1 Glu350 and SNAP-25 Arg17 interact via salt bridges. Syt1 Gln353 and syntaxin-1A His199, Syt1 Glu350, and SNAP-25 Gln20 form separate hydrogen bonds. **b**, Close-up view of the contact site between the HB α-helix of the Syt1 C2B domain and the Cpx central α-helix. Interacting residues are shown as sticks and are labelled, while water molecules are shown as red balls. Dashed lines indicate hydrogen bonds or salt bridges. **c**, Sequence

alignment of rat synaptotagmin isoforms, rat Doc2A/B, and rabphilin3A, for residues around the SNARE–Cpx–Syt1 tripartite interface. The arrows show the residues involved in specific sidechain interactions in the tripartite interface (see also discussion the text). The red stars show the mutated residues used in this study. The alignment was performed using Clustal Omega (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalo/). The figure was prepared with Boxshade3.21 (http://www.ch.embnet.org/software/BOX_ form.html). **d**, Cartoon representation of the known crystal structures of C2A and C2B domains of synaptotagmin isoforms, Doc2 isoforms, rabphilin3A (Rph), and Munc13-1. The black arrows indicate the presence of the HA or T3 helices in these structures.

Extended Data Figure 3 | **The Syt1 C2B mutants and the SNARE^Q complex are well folded. a**, Top: circular dichroism spectra of WT and mutant Syt1 C2B domains in the absence of Ca²⁺. Bottom: circular dichroism thermal melting curves, monitored at 216 nm in the absence of Ca²⁺ (black) and in the presence of 5 mM Ca²⁺ (red). The specified

melting temperatures were estimated by the mid-point of the melting curves (Methods). **b**, Circular dichroism spectra of WT SNARE complex (left) and SNARE^Q (right). **c**, Circular dichroism thermal melting curves of WT SNARE complex (black circle) and SNARE^Q complex (red triangle), monitored at 220 nm.

240

Tm=55 $^{\circ}$

60 80

260

particular experiments. The ITC experiments produce well-determined *n* values for the following experiments: C2BKA titrated into the SNARE complex ($n = 0.94 \pm 0.02$), Cpx(48–73) titrated into the SNARE complex $(n=0.97\pm0.02)$ or the SNARE^Q complex ($n=0.98\pm0.01$). For the other ITC experiments, it was difficult to achieve high enough concentrations of the injected sample to obtain optimal conditions for reliable determination of *n*. ND, not detectable. Experimental conditions are described in the Methods.

Extended Data Figure 5 | **Superposition of observed interactions between the Syt1 C2B domain and the SNARE complex in solution and in crystal structures. a**, Close-up view of a small contact between the polybasic region of the Syt1 C2B domain and the SNARE complex in the Syt1–SNARE–Cpx–Syt1 crystal structures. Interacting residues are shown as sticks and are labelled. Dashed lines indicate hydrogen bonds or salt bridges. **b**, The primary interface (PDB accession number $5CCG²¹$, and structures in this work), the small contact shown in **a**, and the deposited five conformers derived from solution NMR experiments involving the

polybasic region of Syt1 C2B (sticks and balls indicate residues of the polybasic region of Syt1 C2B). These NMR studies revealed that there are dynamic binding modes between the polybasic region of Syt1 C2B and the SNARE complex in solution²⁰. Although other interfaces between Syt1 and the SNARE complex have been observed (for example, secondary and tertiary interfaces in the crystal structure PDB accession number 5CCG), the ITC data (Extended Data Fig. 4) suggest that these are the only interactions and interfaces that occur in solution (see text).

Extended Data Figure 6 | See next page for caption.

Extended Data Figure 6 | **Additional analysis of electrophysiology experiments in neuronal cultures. a**, Quantification of IPSC charge transfer (from the same neurons as in Fig. 4a). **b**, Recordings of mIPSCs from cultured cortical neurons with Syt1 conditional KO and Syt7 constitutive KO infected with lentiviruses expressing ∆Cre/Cre recombinase and WT Syt1 or Syt1 mutants. Left to right: sample traces, cumulative probability plot of inter-event intervals, and quantification of event frequency, amplitude, and 10–90% rise time. **c**, Quantification of IPSC charge transfer (from the same neurons as in Fig. 4b). **d**, **e**, mIPSCs (**d**) and mEPSCs (**e**) from cultured cortical neurons infected with lentiviruses expressing Syt1 mutants. Left to right: sample traces, cumulative probability plot of inter-event intervals, and quantification of event frequency, amplitude, and 10–90% rise time.

f, Quantification of IPSC charge transfer (from the same neurons as in Fig. 4d). **g**, **h**, Recordings of mIPSCs and mEPSCs from cultured cortical neurons infected with lentiviruses expressing Syt1^{WT} or $\text{Syt1}^{\text{DA}},$ without or with lentiviruses expressing Cpx1/2 shRNAs (Cpx1/2 DKD). Left to right: sample traces, cumulative probability plot of inter-event intervals, and quantification of event frequency, amplitude, and 10–90% rise time. Shown are means \pm s.e.m.; the numbers of neurons/independent cultures are indicated. Statistical significance was assessed by Student's *t*-test $(*P<0.05; **P<0.01; ***P<0.001; NS$, no significant difference) with respect to either the Cre (red) or the Cre + Syt1 group (black) in **a** and **b**, either the control (black) or the Syt1DA group (red) in **c**–**e**, and between Syt1WT and Syt1DA with or without Cpx1/2 DKD in **f**–**h**.

Extended Data Figure 7 | **Syt1DA increases mIPSC frequency in a Ca2+-dependent manner.** Recordings of mIPSCs from cultured cortical neurons infected with lentiviruses expressing Syt 1^{WT} or Syt 1^{DA} , without or with 10μ M BAPTA-AM preincubated for 30 min at 37 °C . Sample traces (left), cumulative probability plot of inter-event intervals (middle), and quantification of event frequency, amplitude, and 10–90% rise time (right) of mIPSCs. Shown are means \pm s.e.m.; the numbers of neurons/ independent cultures are indicated. Statistical significance was assessed by Student's *t*-test (***P*<0.01; ****P*<0.001; NS, no significant difference) with respect to either the Syt1^{WT} (black) or the Syt1^{DA} group (red). The

absence of a dominant-negative effect of the Syt1^{DA} group on spontaneous release in the presence of BAPTA-AM is consistent with the notion that spontaneous release largely depends on a different Ca^{2+} sensor. We speculate that this mini-release Ca^{2+} sensor may compete with Syt1 in binding to the tripartite interface. Elimination of the primary interface or presence of the Syt1 DA mutant would affect the binding equilibrium,</sup> possibly explaining the increase of spontaneous release. We note that the effect of the Syt 1^{DA} mutant on spontaneous release (as assessed by mIPSCs and mEPSCs) is opposite to the effect on evoked release (as assessed by IPSCs and EPSCs) (Fig. 4).

Extended Data Figure 8 | **Superpositions of the Syt1–SNARE–Cpx–Syt1 crystal structures and other known crystal structures. a**–**c**, Conserved and variable regions of the SNARE–Cpx subcomplex in the Syt1–SNARE– Cpx–Syt1 C2B crystal structure (coloured), and crystal structures of the SNARE–Cpx subcomplex (PDB accession number 1KIL29 (black) and PDB accession number 3RK3 (ref. 34) (white)). The interaction between the central α-helix of Cpx and the SNARE complex is essentially identical in all crystal structures, while the angle at which the accessory helix

protrudes away from the SNARE complex is variable. Such variability was also observed by single-molecule fluorescence resonance transfer experiments⁴¹. **d**, The superposition of the Syt1 C2B domain of the Syt1–SNARE–Cpx–Syt1 C2AB crystal structure with crystal structures of uncomplexed C2AB fragments (PDB accession numbers are indicated in the figure) illustrates variability of the position of the C2A domain relative to the C2B domain of Syt1.

Model of one Syt1 C2B domain bridging two SNARE complexes

Extended Data Figure 9 | **A possible supramolecular arrangement of one Syt1 C2B molecule bridging two SNARE complexes.** Orthogonal views (cartoon presentation, left; schema, right) of an arrangement where one Syt1 C2B domain bridges two SNARE complexes via the primary and tripartite interfaces, respectively. Directions (N terminus to C terminus) of the α-helices of the SNARE complex and Cpx are indicated by crosses (pointing into the page) and dots (pointing out of the page). The bridged complex would be sandwiched between two membranes as suggested in the schema in the lower right panel.

Extended Data Table 1 | **Crystallographic data and refinement statistics**

*Values in parenthesis are for the respective highest-resolution shell.